
Meeting Date: January 29, 2014
Staff Contact: Charles S. Leder, Manager – Plant Operations Division

TITLE: C-14-2 - Recommendation of Award, P2014000014, Rotary Lobe Pumps

ACTION: Recommend Approval

SUMMARY:

The Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority (Water Authority) issued the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit proposals from qualified vendors to provide rotary lobe pumps for miscellaneous pumping applications at the SWRP and other Water Authority facilities. The RFP was posted on the SICOMM website and advertised in the local newspapers. Four responses were received and submitted for evaluation. On January 10, 2014, the Ad Hoc Evaluation Committee reviewed, evaluated, and scored the responses in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP.

The responses were reviewed, evaluated and scored by an ad hoc committee consisting of Charles Leder, Plant Operations Division Manager; Jeff Romanowski, SWRP Chief Engineer; Anthony Montoya, Water Resources Planning and Engineering Chief Engineer, Jeff Romero, SWRP Maintenance Superintendent; and Joey Nogales, SWRP Operations Superintendent. Listed below are the scores of the four respondents.

<u>Offeror</u>	<u>Total Composite Score</u>
JCH / James Cooke and Hobson	4249.9
Boerger	3455.0
Pioneer Equipment	3359.0
Phoenix Equipment	2752.0

The committee recommended the award of a contract to **JCH / James, Cooke, and Hobson**, as that company had the highest composite score, is qualified to perform the work, and meets the requirements of the RFP.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The funding for this RFP has been appropriated in the Decade Plan.



Memo

To: Mark S. Sanchez, Executive Director
From: Charles S. Leder, Manager – Plant Operations Division
Date: 1/13/2014
Re: Recommendation Of Award, P2014000014, Rotary Lobe Pumps

The Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority issued the referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit proposals from qualified vendors to provide Rotary Lobe Pumps.

The RFP was posted on the Sicomm website and advertised in the local newspapers. Four (4) responses were received and submitted for evaluation. The ad hoc evaluation committee reviewed, evaluated, and scored the responses in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP, and selected James, Cooke, and Hobson.

I concur with the committee's recommendation for award. Listed are all the respondents' composite scores with small and/or local preferences applied for the offeror with an asterisk. The largest total composite score possible without preferences applied is 5,000.

<u>Offeror</u>	<u>Total Composite Score</u>
James, Cooke, & Hobson *	4249.9
Boerger	3455.0
Pioneer	3359.0
Phoenix Pumps	2752.0

Water Authority Board approval is required for this procurement. Negotiations with the vendor shall begin immediately upon your approval.


Approved:

Recommended:



Mark S. Sanchez
Executive Director

1/15/14
Date



Charles S. Leder
Manager – Plant Operations Division

1/13/14
Date

Attachments: Composite Score Sheet

ADMINISTRATION

Original:	Thomas Courtin, Senior Buyer
Copy:	Lorraine Nunez, Purchasing Officer
File:	P2014000014

ROTARY LOBE PUMPS
P2014000014

Charles A. Leder
Manager - Plant Operations Div
January 13, 2014

EVALUATOR	EVALUATION CRITERIA	EVALUATION FACTORS	OFFERORS			
			Phoenix Pumps	Boerger	Pioneer	JCH
CL	The Offeror's general approach and plans to meet the requirements of the RFP including the thoroughness of technical information about the equipment being proposed as demonstrated by the Offeror's response to Part 2, Section 2.1.3.1.	Up to 125	65	100	60	110
AM			100	25	25	100
JJR			100	100	105	125
JN			115	120	110	125
JR			120	100	100	120
	SUB TOTAL		500	445	400	580
CL	Experience and qualifications of Offeror's project team members to perform the tasks described in Part 3, Scope of Services as demonstrated by the Offeror's response to Part 2, Section 2.1.2.1.	Up to 125	25	75	75	110
AM			100	50	50	100
JJR			100	100	100	125
JN			110	115	95	120
JR			75	100	75	125
	SUB TOTAL		410	440	395	580
CL	The Offeror's past performance on projects of similar scope and size as demonstrated by the Offeror's response to Part 2, Sections 2.1.2.2 and 2.1.3.3.	Up to 300	50	250	150	185
AM			150	250	50	200
JJR			100	200	200	275
JN			250	290	200	280
JR			150	200	200	150
	SUB TOTAL		700	1190	800	1090
CL	O&M Requirements and Offeror's Support / Service Program as demonstrated by the Offeror's response to Part 2, Section 2.1.3.2.	Up to 160	25	130	125	175
AM			50	150	25	150
JJR			150	150	125	175
JN			150	150	140	200
JR			100	100	150	200
	SUB TOTAL		475	680	565	900
CL	Offeror's normal delivery times for complete pumps and pump spare parts as demonstrated by the Offeror's response to Part 2, Section 2.1.3.4.	Up to 50	45	40	44	30
AM			40	5	25	25
JJR			40	40	35	40
JN			50	50	45	45
JR			50	0	50	25
	SUB TOTAL		225	135	199	165
	SUB TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE		2310	2890	2359	3315
CL	Cost Proposal - The costs proposed by the Contractor as described in Section 2.2 of this RFP to perform the tasks listed in Part 3, Scope of Services. The evaluation of this section will occur after the technical evaluation, based on a cost/price analysis.	Up to 200	88.4	113	200	109.7
AM			88.4	113	200	109.7
JJR			88.4	113	200	109.7
JN			88.4	113	200	109.7
JR			88.4	113	200	109.7
	SUB TOTAL		442	565	1000	548.5
	SUB TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE	Up to 5000	2752	3455	3359	3864
	5% LOCAL BUSINESS PREFERENCE					193.2
	NM RESIDENT CONTRACTOR PREFERENCE					
	5% SMALL BUSINESS PREFERENCE					193.2
	NM VETERANS BUSINESS PREFERENCE					
	TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE		2752.0	3455.0	3359.0	4249.9