1	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY
2	WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18, 2014 5:09 P.M.
3	
4	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER ONE CIVIC PLAZA, NW
5	ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	APPEARANCES
11	
12	COUNCILLOR KLARISSA J. PENA, Chairwoman
13	COMMISSIONER MAGGIE HART STEBBINS, Vice Chairman
14	COUNCILLOR REY GARDUNO, Member
15	COMMISSIONER DEBBIE O'MALLEY, Member
16	COUNCILLOR TRUDY E. JONES, Member
17	COMMISSIONER ART DE LA CRUZ, Member
18	TRUSTEE PABLO RAEL, Ex-officio Member (Excused)
19	MAYOR RICHARD BERRY, Member (Excused)
20	MR. ROB PERRY, Admin. Officer, Alternate Member
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
CHAIRWOMAN PENA: I call this June 18, 2014,
 1
 2
    meeting of the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water
    Utility to order. Let the record reflect that
 3
    Trustee Rael is excused. All other members are
 4
 5
    present.
              At this moment, we'll take a moment of
 6
 7
    silence and Pledge of Allegiance that will be led
 8
    by Mr. Rob Perry.
 9
               (Whereupon, there was a moment of
10
               silence.)
               (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
11
12
               led by Mr. Rob Perry.)
13
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: We'll move on to Item 3,
14
    approval of the minutes. I make a motion to
15
    approve the May 21st, 2014, minutes. Is there a
16
    second?
17
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
18
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: All those in favor, say
19
    yes.
2.0
               (7-0 vote. Agenda Item 3 approved.)
21
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Seeing no proclamations
22
    and awards, we'll move on to public comment.
              Ms. Jenkins, how many people do we have
23
24
    signed up to speak?
25
           MS. JENKINS:
                         Seven.
```

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Will you call the first 1 2 speaker. MS. JENKINS: Bob Wessely, followed by Dave 3 4 McCoy. 5 MR. WESSELY: Madam Chair, Members of the 6 Governing Body. My name is Bob Wessely. 7 Ah, there we go. Much better. Madam Chair, Members of the Governing 8 9 Body, my name is Bob Wessely. I'm president of 10 the Middle Rio Grande Water Assembly. I wanted to 11 give you a little bit of a background. 12 We're an all-volunteer, nonprofit 13 organization, and dedicated to improving our water 14 future. We, in partnership with the Middle Rio 15 Grande Council of Governments, led the regional 16 water planning for the Middle Rio Grande region. That's Sandoval, Bernalillo and Valencia Counties. 17 18 We're a diverse group, and our role is to bring together multiple interests in the water 19 2.0 situation. 21 It's been several years since the water 22 assembly has come before this group, and I see 23 mostly new faces. Thank you. Welcome.

The reason I'm here today is to invite

you to our forum on June 28. Let me see if I can

24

25

make this thing show up on your screen. 1 2 don't quite make it, so I'll tell you about it. 3 The forum on June 28 is entitled, "Our Water Imbalance. Creating Adaptive Strategies 4 Together." 5 6 Some introductory words, we're going to 7 have Professor Bruce Thompson bring forward an update of the water budget. The last water budget 8 9 for the region was put out in 1999. And he and a 10 group of technical folks have been working on an 11 update for the more or less 15 years since then. 12 Then Dagmar Llewellyn of the bureau of reclamation will give us a talk on what the future 13 14 looks like. They've done a --15 Am I three minutes already? 16 MS. JENKINS: Two and a half. 17 MR. WESSELY: Oh, okay. 18 -- on the future water. Then Howard 19 Purcell is going to give us some ideas of 20 strategies to go forward. And finally, an audience discussion selecting some of the better 21 22 strategies. 23 And since you're water-interested folks,

I'd like to invite you all to the forum, Saturday

June 28, 9:00 to 12:30. 8:30 for a continental

24

25

```
breakfast. UNM, Dean Smith Hall, 120. And it's
1
2
    free. Thank you.
 3
          CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Thank you for the
    invitation.
4
5
              The next speaker.
          MR. WESSELY: Well, I have a flyer. Could
6
7
    you maybe pass these out?
          MS. JENKINS: Uh-huh.
8
9
              Dave McCoy, followed by Kurt Krumperman.
10
          MR. MCCOY: Good evening, Dave McCoy,
11
    Citizen Action, New Mexico. I wanted to support
12
    the R-14-11, declaring the water utility
    authority's position that ethylene dibromide will
13
14
    not be allowed to enter the potable drinking water
    system. I think that's an excellent resolution
15
16
    for the authority to adopt.
              I'd like to address a second item, which
17
18
    is not on the agenda, and that is, once again, the
19
    mixed-waste landfill. And I want to approach it
20
    this way. You've got WIPP, a $6 billion facility,
21
    half a mile down in the ground, in a salt mine,
22
    highly engineered structure. We're told it's
23
    going to protect the state for 10,000 years from
    radiation, leaking.
24
              Now let's take the mixed-waste landfill,
25
```

million and a half cubic feet of radioactive and hazardous mixed waste in unlined open pits and trenches, leaching 24 hours a day towards Albuquerque's drinking water. There was supposed to be a five-year review of excavation of that. It was extended for another It's not been done. five years, past the four years that it's already been allowed to go by.

This is how accidents happen, is because you think it's not going to happen here. This is what happened with Kirtland Air Force Base jet fuel spill. It's what happened with the BP spill. It's what happened with Love Canal.

Now, you really need to start addressing this, folks, and get on top of the New Mexico Environment Department about ordering that five-year review of excavation. That dump can be dug up now, hopefully safely, like the chemical waste landfill was. But if you wait on this, those drums are going to deteriorate, you're going to get more spread of tritium in the sail. You're going to get more contamination of your groundwater. You don't need it. You've already got enough contamination here in the Albuquerque aguifer.

1 Thank you.

2.0

2 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Thank you.

Next speaker.

MS. JENKINS: Kurt Krumperman, followed by Maureen May.

MR. KRUMPERMAN: Hello, Chair Pena, and the rest of the authority board. I wanted to thank you for the work you're doing on the issue of the Kirtland oil spill, and particularly now with the resolution of R-14-11, which I'm speaking in support of.

I'm very much pleased with the more assertive, more public aggressive stand that the authority is taking now. I think it's really important that you've done that. I want to particularly thank Commissioner O'Malley, Commissioner Hart Stebbins and Councillor Garduno for your press conference on Monday, and all the other public statements that you've made.

That kind of pressure on Kirtland has been -- is really needed. Live in Nob Hill, active in the Nob Hill association, written an article about the spill in the newsletter, gone to some of the Citizen Action -- or excuse me, citizen advisory board meetings that Kirtland had.

I've been very disappointed and frustrated with
those meetings. So the public pressure that
you're beginning to put on Kirtland and raising
the awareness of the citizens of Central
New Mexico on this issue is incredibly important,
and I just want to express my appreciation for

t.hat..

It's key to the future of our community, it's key to jobs, it's key to our health. So your actions and your pending action are really, really important, and I want to thank you for that.

MS. JENKINS: Maureen May, followed by Michael Jensen.

MS. MAY: Maureen May. I also want to thank the water authority for what -- I've been following this very carefully in the newspapers, and I've been going to all the CAB meetings, and I would like to echo what Kurt Krumperman said. The frustration the people in the community have felt over this issue is just -- you can -- I can hardly put it into words. This is an issue -- a public health issue of enormity. It's an issue -- an economic issue.

And I will sum up by saying we've lived here for only four years. When we moved here, my

```
husband had several job offers. And we very
1
2
    carefully considered which job he was going to
 3
    take. I will be very honest with you. If I had
    known about this four years ago, he would not have
4
5
    moved here. And that's just a personal note.
6
    It's the type -- it's the kind of thing on an
7
    individual level that Albuquerque is going to have
    to face. If this is not dealt with, we are
8
9
    looking at a severe contraction of our community.
10
              So thank you for your time.
11
          CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Thank you.
12
              The next speaker.
13
          MS. JENKINS: Michael Jensen, followed by
14
    Lewis Nichols [sic].
15
          MR. JENSEN: Michael Jensen. Good evening.
    I have a couple of really short things, and then a
16
17
    little longer comment.
              I noticed on -- in the consumer
18
19
    conversations report that among the things that
20
    people felt comfortable having water reviews put
21
    to, that direct reviews actually got 49 percent
22
    approval. And direct reviews is wastewater to
23
    drinking water. I was actually astounded. So if
24
    Katherine is -- whoever gives the report could
25
    expound on that, I mean, what they heard from
```

residents, that would be awesome.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then I notice that in the water use report, the water use is still going down. And, you know, what that might have for budget I ever indication.

The third thing is, I am happy to R-14-11 on the agenda. I think it's a good sign to tell Kirtland Air Force Base that you all are serious. I just want to provide a reminder, because I think you've heard this before, but not for a while, that Kirtland Air Force Base, even though they said they discovered this in 1999, there are documents from the Air Force between Air Force -- Kirtland Air Force Base command and the Air Force command going back to the early '80s, at least, where the base is asking for a waiver of conducting stress tests on their fuel system, the pipes, the underground pipes, the pumps everything. And the Air Force granted them waivers twice on condition that they conduct inspections of the system to find out where it might need to be repaired, make needed repairs so that it would be in a position to actually conduct a test without the fear of components failing.

So maybe they didn't nope, but they had

a really good basis for knowing that something was wrong with their system if they were asking for waivers of these tests. And they violated their own chain of commands rules by not conducting the inspections they were ordered to inspect, to carry out.

And we have Dave McCoy to thank for all of that documents, because he fought, and fought, and fought through IPRA requests to get these documents. So I for one, think that Dave ought to get award at some point for keeping up on this and bringing it to everybody's attention, because he gets a lot of heat for doing what he does. Thank you.

MS. JENKINS: Lewis Nichols, followed by Elaine Hebbard.

MR. NICHOLAS: Hello, Madam Chair and the other Board Members. My name is Lewis Nicholas. I support Resolution 14-11 and I urge you all to vote for it, and it's a good start. However, I really think that it's time to look at filing a lawsuit. If NMED is not going to have the backbone to do it, I don't know what power you all have to file a lawsuit against the Air Force, but it's time not to be nice with them.

I am retired Air Force. I retired as a captain. I've worked with POL pipelines, petroleum, oil, lubricant pipelines in Germany. And the Germans, in the 1980s, on our air bases, were pushing for replacements of the pipelines with stainless steel for various reasons. And it was good they did, because probably their lines were corroding also. Be what I have learned was the Germans were not going to let the U.S. Air Force destroy their communities, like our Air Force is going to Albuquerque.

They're playing games with you. They don't care about cleaning this up. They're going to stall. They're just interested in buying their weapons and using them. That's it. And you need to get tough with them. And just waiting for them to come with something new, as it gets closer and closer to our drinking wells, the most important wells, is crazy. It's time to get tough with them. I know the Air Force. All right? Thank you.

MS. JENKINS: Elaine Hebbard.

MS. HEBBARD: Wow. I, too, want to support R-14-11. And I hope that you realize that the comments that I make in front of this board are my

attempts, anyway, to add some positive thinking and some constructive feedback.

By addressing the consumer conversation report, which is Item 10A, in reality, I'm urging a change in the way the customer advisory committee is chosen, operates and reports.

showed them one of the three games that was going to be played at the consumer conversations, and then said you'll have to come to them to see the other games that we're going to play. So they did. They didn't have meetings for October and November. And now you have a report that says the CAC hosted them. Well, that's a funny way of saying, CAC hosted. But the idea is, what value does the CAC have for you. Is it giving you and staff insights and advice when they are receiving all of their information from the staff itself.

There are two objectives that I think are very important from FY15. One is Goal 4, Objective 8, which the -- is to develop a customer outreach strategy to educate customers on the value of water and infrastructure rehabilitation needs. And the other one is Goal 1, Objective 13, which is to update the water resource, management

strategy. Both of those take, I think, a very engaged CAC, one that's diverse. And right now, given the future and near future rate increases, having an advisory committee that represents the diversity of this region is I think also critical.

\$705,000 a year, rate increases may not bother you. But if you're making 10,000, 15,000, and you've got gas, water, groceries and everything else, property taxes I think I saw, also going up, then those things start piling up. And having somebody who is either affected like that or who at least represents an advocacy group that does represent folks with those kind of struggles I think is really important when we talk about rates.

So I would recommend nominating -- there are three positions that are going to come up this summer. Nominating people with diverse interests from various segments of the community, request that the group and not to staff prepare a work plan, suggest outside speakers be brought in to talk to the CAC, consider making the CAC actually a semi independent group. There are some other CACs like that. And also, host the forum,

especially this upcoming rate forum with other 1 2 people, not just staff. For example, that does 3 advice on rates and utility infrastructure. 4 Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Thank you. 5 6 Is that it with the speakers? 7 Well, I just want to add to what some of the speakers are talking about, is I really 8 9 appreciate the two commissioners and the 10 councillor for really putting pressure on Kirtland 11 to better respond to the Kirtland spill. I think 12 it's an important issue that needs to be distressed. 13 14 You know, they give us an arbitrary 15 number of anywhere -- from getting to our 16 groundwater, anywhere from five to 40 years. mean, that's really kind of tough. Because if 17 18 it's five, we're in lots of trouble. 19 So thank you, guys. Appreciate it. 20 Next item on the agenda, we have 21 announcements and communication. Item A, next 22 scheduled meeting is August 20, 2014, at 5:00 p.m. 23 in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers. 24 Next item, introduction of legislation. 25 Ms. Jenkins, is anyone signed up to

speak on the ordinance? 1 2 MS. JENKINS: Yes, one person. 3 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: One person. Okay. Thank 4 you. MS. JENKINS: Elaine Hebbard. 5 6 MS. HEBBARD: Thanks again. 7 In looking at the ordinance, my thoughts have to do with both the actual mechanism as well 8 9 as the amounts that are being sought. First of 10 all, the budgets that were being -- were approved 11 last month suggest that we're going to have a 12 shortfall for FY14. Well, it's not the first time. In fact, we have had short false since 13 14 FY09. 15 And so when we're talking about 16 mechanisms to balance the income and the outgo, is 17 this going to be sufficient since we know we had a 18 shortfall in FY13 of about 15 million, we're going to have another one at least of about 9:00 19 20 physical for FY14. What about FY15. If things 21 are going to have to be delayed or deferred, what 22 is going to be deferred this year? 23 So, again, I would suggest that we 24 have -- I'm trying to find my notes, and I'm sorry 25 to be flipping around. So I would suggest that

the CAC work on budget issue for this year. I mentioned that they needed to have a work plan.

And I think looking at the various pieces of the budget, or form another group to work with the finance committee here.

We need to be proactive. Because if we only are supplying 50 percent of the water needs through the drinking water prong, we may have to be looking elsewhere for other water sources. Statement, the surface water supplies look to be that they are going to be reduced in terms of climate change, hotter weather, whatever you want to call it.

So if we don't get our ducks in a row -and you can see our borrowing has also gone up
over the same time period. That's 2004 to 2010,
'13, and those Y axis are millions of dollars. If
we don't get our ducks in a row and really start
bringing down both the infrastructure backlog, the
difference between revenue and income and that
borrowing, how are we going to deal with meeting
to get new future water applies and the other
issues that I think climate change gives to us in
terms of not being -- having the choices that we
had even ten years ago. Thank you.

1 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Ms. Hebbard, I don't know if this goes to you, but you mentioned something about the CAC being one of the places that this could be studied, and I don't know how staff feels about that. I object that at one point I belonged to a can you be committee of three, I guess it was, and a finance committee. And I don't know if that continues to meet. And if they do, if that could be part of what they -- where they gather some of that information.

I don't know, Mr. Sanchez, if you want to respond to that or...

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor

Garduno, yes, in fact the audit committee dozen

gauge if the budget and in all financial reports

that come to this board, so they will in the

future.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And I think it's a good idea, I don't know if anyone else, if there was some level of involvement of the CAC in those areas of finance, budgetary, planning.

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor Garduno, part of the work plan for next year is to

actually get engaged in part of that process.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Good. Thank you.

3 Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Thank you, Councillor.

Now we'll move on to Item A and B, I think, Mark, Mr. Sanchez, we can do those at the same time, you said. 0-14-2, authorizing the issuance of the sale of the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority subordinate lien joint water and sewer system refunding revenue bond serious 2014 in the principal amount of 183,425,000. Katherine Creagan and Paul Cassidy.

And then R-10. Can I read them both

right away.

MR. SANCHEZ: Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: So R-14-10, approving the sale of the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority's subordinate lien joint water and sewer system refunding revenue bonds.

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, before Katherine and Paul begin, what we're doing essentially is refunding existing debt. We're not extending the term. The market is such that we can realize some savings, about 15 million present value savings, and about a million a year going forward, in terms

of recurring costs. So potentially, as conservation perhaps goes beyond what we planned, between that savings and our rate reserve funding, which is 2 million, we feel we're adequately covered.

MS. CREAGAN: Good evening, Madam Chair and Members of the Board. I'm Katherine Creagan. I'm with the Modrall Sperling law firm, and we serve as your bond counsel.

Tonight you have before you the ordinance and the confirming resolution authorizing these refunding revenue bonds. It will be, as Mark mentioned, a refunding only. No new projections will be funded out of this. And really, the purpose is to achieve debt service savings and to restructure some of the outstanding debt that the authority has.

To accomplish the refunding will establish an escrow for the refunded portions of three outstanding obligations of the authority.

And the principal and interest for those obligations will be paid out of the escrow until their first optional call date. And then for the outstanding New Mexico Environment Department loan, we will be able to prepay that upon the

closing of the bonds.

The draft ordinance in your packet contemplates that the bond will be issued on a subordinate lien. But as the financing strategy has evolved, a good portion, approximately half the bonds will be issued on a senior lien basis and the remains amount will be issued on a subordinate lien basis.

We'll continue to work with the financing team to optimize the best financing strategy for the authority. And your -- the current timetable, the -- we'll have a meeting with the rating agencies in late July, and then the preliminary official statement, which is the disclosure document for the offering of the bond, will be posted early August, with the pricing of the bonds in approximately August 18 and 19, and will come back to the board then on August 20, with the final terms of the bond. We anticipate then closing the bonds in September, late September.

And I'm happy to answer any questions related to the ordinance. And I know Paul will get into more of the numbers with you.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 1 2 So what you're saying is, in the 3 refunding, we're going oh realize a savings, I get that. But if our rate amounts go down because 4 people are using less water, are we not going to 5 6 have an excess where those two numbers are going 7 to, you know, not jibe? If we're not taking as much money in, 8 and you're planning on X amount of money coming 9 10 in, how are we going to make that up going 11 forward. 12 MS. CREAGAN: Well, it should benefit --Madam Chair, and something, it should benefit the 13 14 authority no matter what rate structure is in 15 place. Because right now, you have certain 16 obligations that are outstanding, with principal and interest payments due at certain rates that 17 18 are established at this point. 19 By refinancing them and restructuring 20 them, you're actually reducing your payments on 21 those obligations, so --22 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I get that. 23 MS. CREAGAN: Okay. 24 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: But I'm just wondering, whether going forward, if we're going to be able 25

```
to then take into account the refund amount if we
1
2
    don't bring in as much money as we had hoped to.
 3
    Is that making sense?
              I don't know. Mr. Sanchez.
 4
          MS. CREAGAN:
5
                         Okay.
6
          MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor
7
    Garduno, there is for relationship between the
    two. However, if you recall, the rate adjustment
8
    that took place effective July 1st of 2014 is on
9
    the fixed portion of the bill, not on the
10
11
    commodity. So there will be no variation.
12
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. And I get that.
13
    But I guess my question is, if we're going to safe
14
    that much money, 15 million is that what you said?
15
          MR. SANCHEZ: Present value over the term of
16
    the --
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO:
17
                                Right.
18
          MR. SANCHEZ: It will be about a million
19
    annually.
20
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Right. So that money
    is going to be used how?
21
22
          MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor
23
    Garduno, if you recall, during the budget
24
    presentation, we made reference to refunding this
25
    debt.
```

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: 1 Right. 2 MR. SANCHEZ: So that's part of our 3 financial plan going forward. That's been assumed in the budget. 4 5 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. So it's subsumed 6 /TKPWAR. 7 MR. SANCHEZ: Yes. COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. Now I'd like to 8 9 hear from Mr. Cassidy. 10 MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair and members of the 11 authority board, good evening. 12 If I could, for Councillor Garduno's 13 benefit, not address it right away, but go through 14 the whole presentation and show you the cash flow savings, and then I'll directly address your 15 question. And I think the bottom line is that the 16 17 interest on the bonds is fixed. If revenues fall, 18 the payment is still the same no matter what. And 19 authority board would need to take that into 20 account in your budget process from year to year. 21 So let's see how we do this. I know there's a way to -- oh, just by -- hey, how about 22 that. Okay. 23 24 First of all, I'd like to share with the 25 authority board the outstanding debt, and I know

these are numbers that are kind of hard to read, and I apologize for that. There are approximately 20 different bond issues and loan agreements that the authority board has entered into over time.

And the loans and bonds outstanding date back to as early as about 2001, and currently go forward.

Some of the debt prior to that has been refinanced or a majority of it paid off.

The current amount outstanding is about \$653 Million, of which about 410 to 420 million is callable at one point in time or another. So what we do, we analyze this at least annually and sometimes more often, and we've identified four different bond issues of the authority that are highlighted in yellow that are refinanceable in -- if you look at your debt policy that the board approved a year ago, the criteria within that say how we're going to refinance it, under what terms and what minute economic thresholds we will do that, and so these all meet your economic thresholds of your debt management policy. And they have various interest rates, and I'll cover that in a moment.

We will replace this debt with debt at a lower interest rate. We wouldn't do the

refinancing if in August interest rates have spiked up and it didn't make sense to do it. I want to let you know we'll follow the authority board's policy. So no new money is being issued. It's just refinancing of existing debt.

the serious 2005 bonds. There's \$110 million outstanding currently, with a final maturity of 2025. And they mature, not all, in 2025, but a little bit every year through that year. The interest rate the 4.67 percent. And 2015 is when we can call them in. So we'll issue bonds now, we'll fund an escrow to pay off the bonds maturing after 2015 on 2015.

There's a 2005 New Mexico Finance
Authority loan outstanding in the amount of 16
million. The interest rate is a little over
4 percent, 4.05. It's callable October of 2015
without any prepayment penalty as well. There's a
2006 A bond issue currently outstanding in the
amount of 109.7 million. The interest rate on it
is 4.79 percent. It's callable in 2016, July 1,
2016. We'll do the same there, we'll issue bonds
now, escrow the funds, pay those off, replace
those with a new bond issue. And then there's a

loan agreement, with the New Mexico Environment
Department, with a 3 percent interest rate. It's
smaller, a little over 6 million outstanding that
can be called at any time by the authority.

So we would issue bonds to replace these bonds. Some of the debt will be issued on a senior lien basis, which is the way we've issued all of outstanding debt historically. And we're going to create a rated sub lien structure to help with the pressure on the rate covenant duty revenue volatility because of conservation. We want to be able to raise the coverage on your senior lien debt, move it to some of the debt, the subordinate lien, so we're not hitting that 133 rate covenant and challenging our senior lien rating as we have done in the past.

And we're going to create another coverage covenant on the subordinate lien debt at 1.2 percent. And I think this will alleviate some of the management issues that we've had going forward.

We're only going to move enough of the debt to make sense to create that -- that breathing room, if you will, in your coverage.

Subordinate lien debt costs a little more than

senior lien debt, about 10 to 15 basis points more. And will apply for a rating on that and just like your Moody's Double A two, Standard & Poor's, Double A plus, Fitch Double A ratings, we've have a rating like that for the subordinate lien debt that will be one notch below that, is what we anticipate. We'll talk to the ratings agencies in July and early August and report back to you.

So we anticipate, as a result of this transaction and conservatively in today's interest rate market, to generate, on a present /SRAPL basis, savings of about \$15 million to the authority, which is about 7 percent, 7.7 percent of the amount of bond that we'll be refinancing. And that general late on a cash flow basis, and if I go to the next page, between now and 2025, cash flow of 17 million, which translates into today's dollars a little over 15 million. And on an annual basis, savings of about a million dollar in 2015, fiscal year, 1.3 to 1.6 million a year thereafter. And then in 2020 six, actually, about 745,000 in savings. So this makes sense. reduces four expenses and it, you know be hopefully will help with the revenue volatility

issue that we've been experiencing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The savings per bond issue is demonstrated on this next slide. The 2005 bond issue, the interest rates on that are in blue. You can see the rates up there, you know, on -between four and 5 percent, closer to 5 percent. We would replace with interest rates the red line as the yield on the bonds, and same for the other two. So that -- the 2005 bonds, at 7.5 million of the savings number, and New Mexico Finance Authority loan would be about 911,000 of present value savings. The 2006 bonds, about 6.9 million. And the NMED loan has the lowest savings of \$232,000. Because of creating the new subordinate lien structure, we would also like to refinance that NMED loan to remove it so we do not have to negotiate it, and it just happens to work.

So actually, in today's market, the interest rate would be about just a little under two and a half percent that we're replacing on a higher cost debt with. And in today's market, it's -- the rates would be probably closer to 2.3 percent, and that would generate savings, if we were able to do it today, of about 18 million. So they they're showing in numbers that we feel are

really conservative.

Now, with Stan Allred and his good offices, we've generated this analysis from a coverage standpoint, the result of the transaction using your 2015 budget and proforma numbers that staff have put together. And this is where you take and compute the coverage, first of all, to reach the covenant levels that we've talked about, which is highlighted in the black area. Your coverage covenant on your senior lien debt will be 133. And on the subordinate lien debt, at the very bottom, Line 17, it would be 1.2. So we're generating really good margins over those coverages with this refinancing and debt restructuring.

Your annual dealt service expense and aggregate goes down. We anticipate that in 2014, your debt service -- 2015, rather, your debt service will be about \$74 million. In 2016, about 73 million, and in about 76 million in 2017. And it looks like the proformas as done by staff will easily cover that and we'll meet our thresholds. And we all know that the -- the challenge will be, you know, what happens with conservation, will people continue to buy the product you're

1 producing. 2 And Katherine Creagan from Modrall has 3 already talked about the time schedule. And with that, I'll pause and address any questions. 4 5 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Are there any questions? Councillor Garduno. 6 7 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you. So let's go back. I know that there's 8 9 no direct relationship, but what is the after knew 10 of revenue for the water authority. 11 MR. CASSIDY: It's -- Madam Chair, and Councillor Garduno, it's water charges to your 12 13 constituents within that authority. 14 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Right. A so we pay all 15 bonds, we pay all expenses from that? 16 MR. CASSIDY: Correct, yes, sir. COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: If that goes down, as 17 18 far as collection, our ability to pay goes down. We have no other place --19 2.0 MR. CASSIDY: I very much agree. 21 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: There's no other place 22 for us to go and get some other money. 23 MR. CASSIDY: We can't tax. We can't do 24 anything other than levy rates and charges 25 sufficient to meet your ONM expenses, and your

debt service obligation and your rate covenant of 133 and 120.

2.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So it's -- although some people like to cackle, it's not true that there's no relationship between debt and the inability to pay that debt if there is no revenue coming in, or at least not as much has had been planned for, expected, desired; is that correct?

MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair, Councillor Garduno, that is correct. We need to levy rates and charges sufficient to pay your obligations to the debt.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: That was the point -- MR. CASSIDY: Yes. Agreed.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: -- that I brought up.

So for us to think that we can then go somewhere else and sell widgets and make up the difference, it's just not true. Or we can tax the ratepayers in a separate situation, it's not true. We can raise the rates, but we can't squeeze that turnip anymore.

MR. CASSIDY: And, in fact, Madam Chair, and Councillor Garduno, the ordinance that Katherine Creagan summarized for you, and it's before you and it's in a form that we've adopted many times

going back historically, back into the '80s at least, there's a covenant in there that the board will annually levy rates and charges sufficient to pay operation and maintenance expenses and the debt service obligations for debt incurred by the board.

So every year you're going to need to look at your ONM, your revenue coming in, to see if they match up, and then to pay your debt service.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Right.

MR. CASSIDY: So there's no other source, other than that. No other taxing sources are available.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And the attempt or the -- actually, the -- what the ratepayers have done is helped us out by not using as much water. Well, there was a story about how there were some that used a lot of water. But that's the reverse effect, because we're not able to gain as much revenue as if people were using more water. So we're in a conundrum.

But beyond that, we also, I think, have to be careful that we don't put our plan after the fact. And one of the reasons I think that some

folks in the public have said we ought to have
these discussions prior to budgets being formed
and then backing up this board in a way that they
have to vote for a rate hike when maybe there was
something else that could have been done, I don't
know. But we certainly haven't done that,
necessarily. Would you agree with that?

MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair and Councillor Garduno, planning is essential, and forward looking planning is essential. I know the water authority has decade plans and they have a lot of other planning documents that they -- that they operate from and constantly review.

The rate ordinance that you adopted last month contains a lot of really good information on how they look at it and do that. And those are items that share -- review and share with the rating agencies and the investors that buy your bonds. And they're summarized in a preliminary official statements that describes it.

So forward looking planning, good budgeting, good capital planning is essential to make the whole process work.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And effects capacity.

MR. CASSIDY: Effects capacity, sure.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: 1 Thank you. 2 Thank you, Madam Chair. 3 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: No other questions? Well, with that, I just want to say that 4 5 I think you guys have done a phenomenal I don't know. Councillor Garduno, you know, talking about 6 7 these issues and talking about planning for the future, I think this was a great step in saving 8 9 the constituency dollars by refinancing these 10 dollars, so thank you. 11 With that, we'll move on to Item C, 12 R-14-11, declaring the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority's position that 13 14 ethylene dibromide at any detectible level will and be allowed to enter the potable drinking water 15 16 system. Is there a position to move this item to 17 18 7 C, R-14-11, to approvals for action during the 19 meeting tonight? 2.0 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Madam Chair, move approval to move it to the -- for the action item. 21 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: All in favor of the 22 23 motion, please say aye. 24 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 25 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Opposed, same sign.

Motion passes, so that will be moved. 1 2 (7-0 vote. Motion approve.) 3 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Next item, approval of the consent agenda, seeing no items, we will be moving 4 5 on to Item 9, which is approvals. 6 So R-14-11 is now moved to approvals, 7 and guess what, we will now be on Item A, R-14-11, declaring the Albuquerque Bernalillo County --8 9 Mr. Rick Shean. 10 MR. SHEAN: Madam Chair, Members of to 11 Board, thank you very much, Rick Shean, water 12 quality hydrologist with the water utility 13 authority. 14 Resolution R-14-11 does three things: 15 Makes two requests of the Air Force, Kirtland Air 16 Force Base and its contractors, and a policy statement for the water authority. 17 18 The first request is the water 19 authority -- that the Air Force and Kirtland Air 20 Force Base and contracts immediately develop an 21 implement an appropriate remediation plan prevents 22 the further migration of the ethylene dibromide 23 plume, the downgradient dissolved phased plume

We also request that the U.S. Air Force

24

25

from the jet fuel spill.

and Kirtland and contractors continue to month to the location of the plume and to install additional monitoring wells that could track the movement of the plume if it continues to move toward to production wells, those acting at sentinel wells or warning wells for the water authority.

And also, water authority will make it a policy not to allow EDB contaminated water in any level to enter the possible drinking water system.

I'll pause for questions.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Commissioner O'Malley.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you, Madam
Chair.

I want to thank the staff for working with me and the other members of the board who represent this area where the spill is, who have been working diligently with the agencies, have been in conversations with the community about this problem.

As was stated by the speakers earlier, the resolution before us is really about the future of the community, it's about the economy, our health, the health of our grandchildren, our children. Because when our water supply is

threatened, of course, all those things we hold dear are threatened.

This contaminant or chemical, receipt
lien dibromide, is now move are toward water
authority production wells, and it is a suspected
carcinogen. The acceptable level in our water is
zero. And we ask that this be put forward because
we want to see a plan from the Air Force that
yields this result, no detectible levels of EDB.

This resolution -- and, you know, I and others asked that we -- I think it was important that the water authority make as strong a statement as possible. As elected officials, we respond to our constituency. And they wanted to -- they kept -- you know, we've had meetings after meeting where people have come and said, "This is a serious problem." There is an urgency here that we're not hearing. And I think that given what our authority is and our response to the community, our customers, the public, that it's important that we did make a statement, as strong a statement as possible regarding this issue. So it does do that.

And so I just want to say that I appreciate the work that was done on this and

appreciate the support and would really appreciate the support of every one on this board to pass this resolution.

Thank you very much.

5 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Councillor Garduno, do you 6 want to...

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Commissioner Hart Stebbins, did you want to say anything.

COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: No.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Well, let me just touch -- just like I did at the press conference, I wanted to make sure that people understood that, from my viewpoint, and I talked to a lot of folks and you heard tonight from some of the folks from the area that I represent, and the frustration is at a high level.

And the reason is that not very much has happened. And for that, I say enough is enough. I think we need to start talking in very strong terms about how we're going to take care of this as a community. Kirtland Air Force Base happens to be part of the community. I would never CAFR them out one way or another. They have taken responsibility and they have committed to clean it up. Now we just need to make sure that the rest

of us are behind them in a very strong sense.

So, you know, as a major stakeholder, the water authority has a unique position. Our charge is to make sure that the water is clean, that we have potable water for the service area that we are in charge of. For my part, I represent District 6, which is, in this spill, is almost epicenter, almost in the middle of my district, so I hear about it a lot. And I hear a lot about it because people are very concerned.

But I also hear from people who have been following this for many, many years, like Mr. McCoy, Dave McCoy, who I thank for having the fortitude and I think Mr. Jensen mentioned that he has been in some cases if not vilified certainly called chicken little, you know, those kinds of terms. But thank goodness we have people like that, that take seriously an issue and don't let go of it.

So I think that the solution needs to happen as soon as possible. The idea of guessing where it is and trying to characterize it in so many other ways that we are at a point where I think we have characterized this thing in a thousand ways and haven't removed a single gallon

1 of fuel.

So for that -- and I realize that the problem is complex. I realize that we need to, you know, make sure that we don't make it worse. Because I think one of the plans that was moved forward by Kirtland Air Force Base -- thank goodness for NMED, New Mexico Environmental Department, they put a stop to it because they felt like the way they were going to do it might have jeopardized other clean water by drawing the fuel, the constituents towards the clean water. So I'm glad that those things are happen. But we still need to move quickly. This community cannot afford to lose 20 percent of it's water, or its fields, the well fields, because we haven't acted in due time.

I will continue working with everyone involved. I will make sure that when I'm asked the question by my constituents they have the best for them, and I will continue asking the questions because I think they deserve to have an answer. But even more than that, they deserve to have clean water.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Commissioner O'Malley.

```
1
           COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Move approval.
2
           COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS:
                                        Second.
 3
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: With that, we have a
    motion and a second to move approval of R-14-11.
4
    All those in favor, signify by saying yes.
5
6
           ALL MEMBERS: Yes.
7
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Opposed, same sign.
              Motion passes.
8
9
              (7-0 vote. Agenda Item 9A approved.)
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Next item we have on the
10
11
    agenda is Item B, C-14-17, authorizing an
12
    agreement with Steve D. Gallegos for legislative
    representation at the State of New Mexico
13
14
    legislature.
15
              Mr. Sanchez.
16
           MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Members of the
    Authority, this would simply authorize a two-year
17
18
    extension to an existing agreement with
    Mr. Gallegos. He's part of our lobbying team with
19
20
    Shoats and Weaks. Their contract is on a
21
    different time schedule, and that's why this issue
22
    is before you.
23
              I'd answer any questions.
24
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Any questions?
25
              Councillor Garduno.
```

```
COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you.
 1
 2
              Is Mr. Gallegos here today?
 3
           MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor
    Garduno, no, he's not. He's traveling.
 4
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And it's already for us
 5
 6
    to do a two-year contract?
 7
           MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor
    Garduno, this would be a two-year term, renewable
 8
9
    annually.
10
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Annually?
11
          MR. SANCHEZ: Correct.
12
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. After that, so
    in 2016.
13
14
          MR. SANCHEZ: Correct.
15
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you.
16
              Thank you, Madam Chair.
           CHAIRWOMAN O'MALLEY: Thank you.
17
18
              Seeing no other questions, is there a
19
    motion?
2.0
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So moved.
21
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Second?
           COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Second.
22
23
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Second, we already did
24
    that, didn't we? Sorry.
25
              All in favor, signature by saying yes.
```

1 ALL MEMBERS: Yes. 2 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Opposed, same sign. 3 Motion passes. (7-0 vote. Agenda Item 9B approved.) 4 CHAIRWOMAN O'MALLEY: With that, we'll move 5 on to other business, Item A, OB-14-10, consumer 6 7 conversations report. Mr. Frank Roth. MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Members of the 8 Board, before I get started, I just want to 9 10 recognize to contributions of staff on this project, the steering committee, John Stomp, 11 12 Katherine Yuhas, David Morris, and many of the 13 staff who were involved in developing, 14 implementing, testing and also anticipating some 15 of the meetings. 16 So I'm going to first have David Morris start it out, and then Katherine Yuhas is gag to 17 18 describe a couple activities. And then I'll close with the last activity. 19 20 MR. MORRIS: Members of the Board, Madam Chair, I'm David Morris, public affairs manager 21 22 for the water authority. What you're about to see 23 is the presentation on the outcome of a public 24 engagement program undertaken by the authority 25 over the last 12 months called customer

conversations.

2.0

As you know, this board instructed the authority, by way of the 2013 goals and objectives to obtain input from the community on agency activities. We do this in a number of ways, through our customer opinion survey, for example, and through public meetings, like the recent one on fluoridation. But customer conversations was conceived as an innovative way to solicit input that would allow maximum engagement interaction by the participants.

So we had some 203 customers who parted attending the four customer conversation meetings that were held in the past fiscal year. And we generated some 624 comments, which were received and recorded during the meetings. The gatherings were hosted by the customer advisory committee, whose members were, by their presence, given the opportunity to hear participants' views firsthand.

So three topics were covered. The first one long term water supply priorities. In other words, how do we want to use our water once basic needs are met. Do we want to grow more urban gardens, build water parks, plant more Therese.

So Katherine will go into more about the results,

of course, in a minute.

But the second topic was our water waste enforcement program, which is due for an upgrade and for which we wanted to hear some ideas on improvement.

And finally, there was a topic of water reuse, using recycled water for drinking.

Probably still a ways off for this community, but the time to start engaging customers, we feel, is now.

So we'll go more detail at this point.

And doing that will be Katherine Yuhas.

MS. YUHAS: Before I get into this too far, I just want to tell you that our customers had a lot of fun add these meetings. We had games for them to play and so they were engaged the whole time. They were in the evening, people were not falling asleep. And I was really humbled to see how many of customers are so well informed and so concerned about our water resources. So that's just my little op-ed on this.

The first activity was to talk about long term water supply priorities. And, again, as David said, you know, once our basic needs are met, where do we want to use our water. And

really, with the idea that the water authority will develop policy and promote some of these ideas that customers want. So you'll see the nine items listed here and then appoint value associated with them. And those points are to give the customers idea of the relative amount of water needed for these activities.

2.0

So a whole lot more water is needed for the bosque ecosystem than for a water park. And they're not perfect numbers, but they're giving the customers a ball mark idea. And I would just comment that the bosque should really be about a hundred on this scale, because, of course, you know, an enormous amount of water is needed for that ecosystem. But our customers only had ten water drops to play with, so -- we capped it at ten.

So here's a picture of our customers having the conversation with a facilitator at their table. That's the top left. They discussed these ideas, and each individual customer had ten little water drops to allocate themselves to whichever area they wanted. But the caveat was that they had to buy in at the point value of that item. So if they wanted to pick parks, they had

to put in five water drops. And that was to force them to make choices. Obviously we'd like to have all those things, but we may not be able to the them all. So which were the items they valid most. And then when they had worked at their tables, we then had graduated cylinders and they took all their beads from all the tables and put them in the graduated cylinders, so we could get a picture of everyone's priorities.

So these are the number of water drops allocated to each of call categories. And you can see pretty quickly, you know, where priorities fell out, the bosque, parks, urban Therese and then urban farms. And we did some further analysis of this using -- and we're going to use both these graphs together. We divided these -- this graph you're looking at by the number of water drops people had to put in to figure out how many people voted for each of these items. So you've got the number of drops and then you've also got the number of people.

So this is looking at the number of people. And you'll see that it's a little different. The bosque of course was really big on that other graph, because they had to put in ten

drops just to buy in. But the number of people willing to sacrifice all ten of their water drops was actually, you know, lower. So using these two graphs together, we're looking at programs. We already have one around urban Therese where we offer a rebate for tree care and we're giving away trees this year. And if you've seen our commercials, they're all about tree care, so that's already an area for us. We're also working already and community gardens and urban farms, and you're going to see more of that planning happening. And that's the results of this one. And this will continue to guide us and we'll be talking about these same ideas with the update of water resources management strategy.

Next we talked with our customers about the water waste enforcement program. The water waste enforcement program has been a part of conservation program since we started in 1995, and it's a very enforcement driven program. We have water cops patrolling the city, customers who violate the water use regulations, customers who put water into the street or water in the middle of the day get a fine on their water bill.

And what we were thinking about --

forgive me, allergies -- was looking at a more 1 2 educational program rather than strictly an 3 enforcement program. So customers looked at things in terms of brackets, just like in 4 basketball and so here's a picture of the 5 6 customers -- excuse me. 7 Thank you, Patty. Looking at the brackets, and then when 8 9 they were all done at their tables, again, we put 10 it up on the wall. And here's a pictures of 11 how -- how things fell out. Customers wanted to 12 link fees to meter size -- I'm very sorry. Linking fees to meter size is looking at the size 13 14 of the customer and the amount of waste and 15 linking the fee to that. 16 No, I'm not feeling well. I'm really 17 sorry. 18 CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Do you need to --MS. YUHAS: And then after the fourth 19 20 violation of a water waste ordinance, having to 21 have an audit, and then finally being allowed to 22 schedule an audit with our division, in lieu of 23 receiving that first fee. 24 Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Yeah, do you need --

25

MS. YUHAS: I'm sorry, I do. I'm going to turn this over to Frank now. He was going to do this anyway. So thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Thank you, Katherine. You do a great job.

MR. ROTH: For the third and final activity, it dealt with the topic of water reuse, and specifically using recycled, reclaimed water for drinking water purposes. Participants were provided these four scenarios, and the purpose of this activity was to obtain their comfort level around these four scenarios through multiple choice questions.

customers used devices, as shown in the lower picture, to indicate their comfort level. After each question, the participants would be able to review the results of the live poll on a projected screen. And here is the results from the spined four meetings. You can see that the aquifer scenario received the highest comfort level of the four, followed by the river scenario, at 66 percent. The third and fourth received basically half and half support, the lake and reservoir scenario received just a little bit more

support, and then the direct raw water pipeline was almost evenly split as well.

As so this was a first step of engaging our customers in learning about water reuse, potable reuse, and --

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: We have a question from Councillor Garduno.

MR. ROTH: If I $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$ -- I just have a few more comments, and then --

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: I just want to know what one of the alliance is. What's the direct route water pipe.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Commissioner De La Cruz, direct raw water pipe is when you use -- divert the recycled water, reclaimed water from the treatment plant, and you divert it through a pipeline, and it blends with the raw water from the river.

Currently, we have a diversion structure in the river that water is diverted through a raw water pipeline to the treatment plant. So in this scenario, the reclaimed water blends with the raw water before it gets to the water plant. Of the -- the first three that you see here are

considered indirect, where there's an intervening step. And the last one, there's no intervening step.

2.0

So we will continue to research and study this and continue to engage our customers on the next steps of what we planned on doing with water reuse.

And lastly, at the end of the meeting, we requested feedback on the meeting process from your customers, and we /PROEUTed five statements for them top provide comments on. And as you can see, on a scale of 1 to 5, they scored 4.5 or above on these five statements. And we received several hundred comments just on the meeting process, a very valuable comments.

And as Katherine said, they were very engaged and activities were a different process in terms of obtaining input.

Any questions?

CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Any questions?

No questions -- Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

So this was, during the whole process,

360 people or so participated; is that right.

MR. ROTH: Councillor Garduno, 203

customers, 624 comments, I believe. 1 2 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. But nonetheless, 3 and I think Ms. Yuhas mentioned that people were forced to make choices because you only had so 4 5 much per person; is that correct? 6 MR. ROTH: That is correct. On the first 7 two activities --COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: 8 Right. MR. ROTH: -- it was a ranking process to 9 help narrow down what really they're concerned 10 11 about or what their priorities were. 12 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Good. I think it's a 13 great way to find out this information. Because 14 I'm amazed at how it jibes with what people are 15 saying about water and where we are as a 16 community. 17 So thank you for this, and I didn't know 18 what was going on. I wish I had gone over there. I would have put all my ten whatever drops in --19 20 probably in river restoration. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRWOMAN O'MALLEY: Thank you, Councillor 23 Garduno. So I want to thank you, too. I really 24 25 appreciate the report. Listening to you guys and

```
seeing all the participation, you actually made me
 1
 2
    wish that I was there, as Councillor Garduno
 3
    stated. So really appreciate it.
              And with no other questions, then we'll
 4
 5
    move on to Item B. And with that, maybe I should
 6
    defer this, because I know we got one last time.
 7
    So would it be okay to defer.
 8
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Move deferral.
 9
           CHAIRWOMAN O'MALLEY: Okay. There was a
10
    motion to move deferral.
11
           COUNCILLOR JONES: Second.
12
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: A second.
13
              All those in favor, say yes?
14
           ALL MEMBERS: Eye.
15
           CHAIRWOMAN PENA: Opposed?
16
              Motion passes.
              Seeing no further business, we are
17
    adjourned.
18
19
               (Proceedings adjourned at 6:23 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, Kelli Gallegos, New Mexico Provisional
7	Reporter, No. P-409, working under the direct
8	supervision of Paul Baca, NM CCR #112, do hereby
9	certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings
10	in stenographic shorthand and the pages are a true
11	and correct transcript of those proceedings and
12	were reduced to printed form under my direct
13	supervision.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
15	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
16	attorneys in this matter and that I have no
17	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
18	
19	
20	KELLI GALLEGOS Provisional License P-409
21	License Expires: 9/7/14
22	
23	
24	
25	