1	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY
2	Wednesday, January 28, 2015
3	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
4	ONE CIVIC PLAZA, NW ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102
5	Before: Paul Baca
6	PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105
7	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
8	
9	
10	APPEARANCES
11	
12	COMMISSIONER MAGGIE HAR STEBBINS, Chairman
13	COUNCILLOR TRUDY JONES, Vice Chairman
14	COUNCILLOR REY GARDUNO, Member
15	COMMISSIONER DEBBIE O'MALLEY, Member
16	COMMISSIONER ART DE LA CRUZ, Member
17	COUNCILLOR KEN SANCHEZ, Member
18	TRUSTEE PABLO RAEL, Ex-officio Member
19	MAYOR RICHARD BERRY, Member (Excused)
20	MR. ROB PERRY, Admin. Officer, Alternate Member
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Good
 1
 2
    evening. I call to order this January 28, 2015,
 3
    meeting of the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water
    Utility Authority. Let the record reflect that
 4
 5
    all members of the board are present, with the
    exception of Trustee Pablo Rael, who is excused
 6
 7
    from this evening's meeting.
              We're going to begin tonight's meeting
 8
 9
    with a silent invocation, followed by the Pledge
10
    of Allegiance, led by Councillor Sanchez.
11
               (Whereupon, there was a moment of.
12
               silence.)
              (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance
13
14
               was led by Councillor Ken Sanchez.)
15
           VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Our first
    order of business is to approve the minutes of
16
    November 19, 2014. I move approval.
17
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
18
           VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a
19
20
    second from Commissioner De La Cruz. All in
21
    favor, say aye.
22
           ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
23
           VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
              That motion passes unanimously.
24
25
               (7-0 vote. Agenda Item 3 approved.)
```

VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: At this point in time, I would like to start by recognizing a very important individual who's joined us tonight. I think many of you may have expected to see her here in this chair. But I would just recognize City Councillor Klarissa Pena for her year as chair of the water utility authority.

So, Councillor Pena, I was pretty sure I saw you here. I'd like to just invite you up. At the beginning of last year, it was kind of baptism by fire. I don't know if you might be the first board of this chair in your first term. But I want to thank you and say that you were a seasoned pro at running these meeting. So I want to thank you for all of service and we're going to miss you.

COUNCILLOR PENA: Thank you. It was an interesting year. Yes, baptism by fire. I really appreciate serving on the board. I just really want to thank Mr. Sanchez, all his staff. They worked diligently.

Ms. Yuhas, I'm going to miss the drought report.

And, really, to thank people like Elaine

```
and Michael who come all the time to all the
1
2
    meetings and attend. And they're really advocates
    for the community, as well as the work that you
 3
    guys do. I think you put your heart and soul into
4
    making sure that you protect our precious
5
    resource, which is water.
6
7
              So thank you all. I really appreciate
    it. I've enjoyed it. And like I told Mr. Sanchez
8
9
    earlier, hopefully I'll be back.
10
          VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner
11
    De La Cruz.
12
          COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Madam Chair.
    Thank you, Madam Chair.
13
14
              Councillor, I would like to also thank
15
    you for your service last year. You did a great
16
    job as the chair.
              And I don't know, Madam Chair, if we
17
18
    could maybe have Mr. Sanchez have Katherine Yuhas
    give Councillor Pena her person drought report.
19
2.0
              Thank you, Madam Chair, Madam Chair.
21
          COUNCILLOR PENA:
                             Thank you.
22
          VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
23
              Councillor Sanchez.
          MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
24
```

I wasn't on the board last year,

25

```
Councillor Pena, but I want to thank you for your
1
2
    work as chairman of the board. And I think this
 3
    board can drink to that, but that's going to be a
    glass of water.
4
          VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
5
6
              So if you would join us, we have a
7
    little token of our appreciation. Thank you.
              And next, Councillor Jones.
8
           COUNCILLOR JONES: Thank you, Madam Chair,
9
10
    Madam Acting Chair. Thank you.
11
              Along the lines with Councillor Pena,
    former chair, we have a little token of
12
    appreciation for you as the vice chair, the 2014
13
14
    vice chair. Thank you so much.
15
          VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Well, thank
    you, Madam Chair for that. I appreciate it.
16
17
              Now, we go on to -- if there is no
18
    objection, we will move Item 10A, the election of
    officers, up to this point in the agenda. Are
19
20
    there any objections?
21
              All right. Seeing none, we will start
22
    with nomination for chair. Are there any
23
    nominations?
24
              Commissioner O'Malley.
          COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Madam Chair, I kind
25
```

```
of dropped the ball a little bit there. I would
 1
 2
    like to nominate our acting chair, Commissioner
 3
    Stebbins, for chair position.
          COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
 4
          VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
 5
 6
    We have one nomination. Are there any other
 7
    nominations? Councillor.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
 8
    I move the nominations cease.
 9
10
          VICE CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a
    motion to cease nominations. All in favor, say
11
12
    aye.
          ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
13
14
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
15
              That motion passes unanimously.
              We have one nomination for chair.
16
    would be me. So I guess by acclimatation, I will
17
18
    be chair for the next year. So by acclimation.
19
              (7-0 vote. Motion approved.)
20
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner De
21
    La Cruz.
22
          COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam
23
    Chair. I may be out of order at this point, but I
24
    wanted to make a nomination for vice chair.
25
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I would like to
```

```
hear that nomination for vice chair.
 1
 2
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam
 3
    Chair. I nominate Councillor Jones Councillor
    Jones.
 4
           MR. PERRY: Second.
 5
 6
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion
 7
    and a second to nominate Councillor Jones. Are
    there any other nominations?
 8
              Councillor Garduno.
10
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
11
    I move nominations cease.
12
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion
    to cease nomination. All in favor, say aye.
13
14
           ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
15
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
16
              All right. Nominations are closed. We
    have one nomination for vice chair. That is
17
18
    Councillor Trudy Jones, and she is elected by
19
    acclimatation.
2.0
              Congratulations, Councillor.
21
           COUNCILLOR JONES: Thank you.
22
              (7-0 vote. Motion approved.)
23
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on to
24
    Item Number 4, proclamations awards. It's time
25
    for the water utility's quarterly employee awards.
```

We're going to start with Emerson Silva, who is 1 2 being recognized with \$250 plus eight hours of 3 time off. Emerson worked tirelessly to enhance the current computer-aided assisted drawing file 4 5 accessibility system and organization of the He created a step-by-step guide for all 6 7 staff to use. His effort saves numerous man hours in searching drawings and other information that 8 is now easily accessible and critical for realtime 10 use in the field.

That's outstanding. Thank you for that. And I think all of our ratepayers thank you for that.

MR. SILVA: Thank you very much. Ι appreciate this.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are also recognizing Mauricio Correon, Ronnie Lovato, Amy Ashton, Steve Medina, and Portfilio Maestas. \$100 each. If you are here, we'd like to invite you up.

They're each receiving \$100 for their extreme efforts to repair the critical conveyor system at the Southside Reclamation Plant. The efforts kept the plant running. Failure would have resulted in devastating effects on all the

upstream processes, such as solids would have 1 2 backed up in secondary digesters, primary 3 clarifiers, wasting activated sludge was 4 impossible without anyplace to put it and 5 potentially causing a permit violation. 6 These employees demonstrated a 7 dedication to the plant, their teammates and their leadership and the commitment to the success of 8 9 Southside Reclamation Plant and concern for the 10 environment. 11 I want to thank you for that. That is 12 really outstanding. 13 Next we're recognizing Christopher 14 Cadena with \$250 plus eight hours of time off. 15 Mr. Cadena created an online application for the 16 service availability process. This allows customers to simply follow a process online to 17 18 request service, streamlines the approval process used by the water authority, saving time, 19 20 resources and substantially improves customer 21 service. 22 Mr. Cadena, thank you for your excellent 23 work. 24 MR. CADENA: Thank you, Madam Chair, members

25

of the board.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Next we're recognizing Loretta Lente-Montez with \$100.

Loretta continues to go above and beyond the call of duty in her willingness to do what is necessary and never complains about what is required to get the job done. Loretta has a strong work ethic and operational knowledge of the Southside Water Reclamation Plant. Always eager to train other employees, she's an asset to the organization and helps improve the contributions of everyone around her. So we want to thank Loretta for that.

Next we recognize Deborah Waltz-Burkett and Clifford Hidalgo. They are being recognized with \$300 each for taking the incentive -- the initiative to troubleshoot problems with the customer service database, which was experienced problems with program runs. Due to their efforts, the customer services division is operational at all times during work hours and continues to be 100 percent accurate for billing. So thank you for work. And I apologize if I mispronounced your last name. Thank you very much for your service.

Next we honor Dennis Baldonado and

Robert Moraga, \$250 each plus eight hours of time

off. Dennis and Robert performed the first

in-house rebuild of an industrial engine at the 1 2 cogeneration facility of the reclamation plant, 3 saving the water authority over \$79,000 on potential contractor costs and eliminating any 4 down time. 5 That's fantastic. Thank you so much for 6 7 your service. UNIDENTIFIED MALE EMPLOYEE: Thank you. 8 Appreciate it. 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: You deserve that. 11 And next we recognize Joseph Vargas, \$50 12 plus four hours. Joseph has worked for the water 13 authority for over 23 years. I think we might be 14 missing a name here. 15 I'm sorry, sir. I think we recognized somebody named George as well. I don't have 16 George on here. George Romero, please. 17 18 George, you were not on my list. I 19 apologize. 2.0 Both George and Joseph have gone above 21 and beyond their duties of sludge hauling and 22 disposal of bio-solids, working long hours to keep 23 up with large compost contracts and sludge

regulatory requirements for disposal or compost

disposal. Their knowledge and understanding of

24

25

production helps eliminate potentially costly
violations.

So thank you.

2.0

Bianca Nunez, Celeste Rael and Barbara Romero. Bianca will be recognized with \$175, 250 each for Celeste and Barbara. All three employees were instrumental in changing the newly implemented cash-handling functions in the customer services division, which consisted of integrating the billing and cashiering systems in customer service. Their work saved over \$125,000 in recurring costs.

So you are rightly recognized for that outstanding effort. Thank you.

Commissioner De La Cruz.

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam
Chair. I have to admit that I was a instructor at
CNM once upon a time, and Celeste was one of my
students. Congratulations, Celeste.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Next we have Timothy Chavez, Bianca Nunez and Trina Mooneyham.

Bianca is being recognized with \$175, and \$300 each for Time and Trina for their work in developing the infrastructure and implementing a

new phone network and system. The rollout began Friday after hours and was completed in time for Monday morning calls and will save \$190,000 in recurring costs.

So thank you. That is really outstanding.

And then we recognize David Carruthers,
Louie Sanchez, Jimmy Vigil, David Trujillo, David
Archibeque, Martin Ruiz-Sena, Chi Marquia and
Ruben Martinez, with \$100 each.

The water participates in the PNM
Summertime EnerNOC Peak Saver Program. PNM pays
the water authority to shed power loads around the
utility, which helps PNM have power availability
to other customers throughout the state. In order
to be successful without jeopardizing water
service to the community, the control center
operations and SCADA staff juggle the requirement
of maintaining the broader reserves in the tanks
by selecting and shutting off the right pump
within the required ten minute response time from
EnerNOC's phone call.

During each shedding event, the staff successfully recovered the system to normal operating status. PNM called for seven load shed

events from June through September. Over the last 60 years, the team has managed to earn more than \$1.7 million for the authority.

2.0

Again, that is really outstanding. And thank you very much for your work.

And last, but not least, we recognize

Julie Glasser with \$100, one of our water quality
specialists. Julie is recognized for successfully
completing an entire year of low-level mercury
monitoring at the Southside Water Reclamation

Plant with no violations of the most stringent
permit limit. This monitoring is extremely
challenging, due to the numerous sources of
mercury that could contaminate the sample, such as
smoke and ambient air and mercury fillings.

So congratulations, and thank you for your work.

We are now on public comment. How many people do we have signed up.

MS. JENKINS: We have two people signed up.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have two people signed up. Just a reminder, each speaker will have three minutes, with a warning at two and a half minutes.

Ms. Jenkins, will you please call the

1 first speaker.

2.0

MS. JENKINS: Michael Jensen, followed by Elaine Hebbard.

MR. JENSEN: Hi. I haven't been here for a little while so it's nice to see you all. The last time I was here I was probably working for Amigos Bravos, and I'm no longer working for them. I am now the Middle Rio Grande Urban Water's ambassador for the Urban Water Federal Partnership, which is 14 federal agencies, 28 national nonprofits, and 19 sites around the country.

So I would like perhaps to have the opportunity at some future meeting to do a brief presentation about that to the board, and I will make appointments to talk with staff about ways that the water utility authority can join in this collective effort to improve urban waters in the communities that depend on them in this region.

Now, since it's me, I also have to say some things about stuff on the agenda, if you'll bear with me a little bit.

You're going to get a water use update that has a report on the drought status, and I know that Ms. Yuhas likes to be upbeat, and I have

a lot of sympathy with that. So you'll hear that precipitation is expected to be above normal for the next three months, which I have no reason to doubt that, but multiple agencies -- in January, the USDA, the Rio Grande Basin Supply Outlook Report and MRCOG just the other day are all saying that snowpack is going to be below normal again. Runoff and stream flow in the Rio Grande are going to be below normal again, kind of like last year.

So you all need to know that as early as you can because it impacts conservation, drought management, rate setting, or how the rates might play out, and the budget. So I'm just sort of presenting the other side of that. Not all precipitation is snow, so...

Then the other thing that's on the agenda tonight is the customer advisory committee, and you all know from other comments I've made in the past that I would have preferred the customer advisory committee to follow the original resolution, that it represent the broad interests and diversity of this community that's served by the water utility authority. But it seems like there's going to be a customer technical advisory committee. And in that light, I have a couple of

comments.

seconds.

The summary in the agenda says that the point is to have outside experts, but at least three of the people that are on customer advisory committee right now are not exactly outsiders.

And this is not meant at all to demean those as individuals or their technical capacity, which I know is quite high. But there's somebody representing Carnuel that's trying to get that contract completed to get service from you all.

And it will just take me like 15 more

And there's somebody who works for a senior manager in the company that's marketing the Santolina development. And Mark Sanchez just wrote a letter to the county planning commission saying that you all, the water utility authority, will provide water to those 90,000 residents and the associated businesses. And the chair is the lead on the ASR project.

So there's ways to deal with conflicts of interest within the resolution for the CAC.

But it's just this appearance that a lot of the members are working for entities that really depend on contracts or promised services from the

water utility authority. That's all.

2.0

MS. HEBBARD: Good afternoon. My name is Elaine Hebbard, and I, too, would like to suggest that the CAC needs to represent that geographic and broad interest in the service area so that the advice provided to this council or this board really does represent a lot more than just the technical or just the feedback from a controlled environment such as the customer conversation. You need to have a group that has a deep and common understanding of the issues that not only face the utility but also face the region.

And so that would mean, I think, that the CAC has to be much more involved than they are. Right now, once a month they come together, listen to two or three PowerPoint presentations, make a few comments, and that's about it. I know because I have been going to virtually every one of them since they were formed.

And I think that the fiscal or the financial picture that is facing the utility right now is a really good reason as to why the CAC needs to have timely information as well as the board does. The presentation of the FY14 CAFR is listed on consent agenda so far, and it says that

it has no fiscal impact. But if you'll look at Pages 14 and 15, for example, of the CAFR, the revenues for FY14, instead of what was budgeted, which was 199 million, actually were 182 million. Meanwhile, the expenditures, which were budgeted at 193 came in at 199. So you had a huge difference between revenue and expenditure, something like \$16.8 million in FY14. And I think that has a fiscal impact.

sorry, 15.7 million. This has been ongoing since 2009. So it's not just a matter of consumption in one year or not. And then you look at next -- at FY15. I was given information up through November of last year, and it still projects that there will only be a \$2.5 million shortfall between what was budgeted and what was going to be received. That would mean that revenue would have to jump \$20 million almost. I don't see how it can when at the same time, Ms. Yuhas' report is showing consumption went down. So if consumption is water production and water sales aren't going up, how can you make 20 million more dollars?

So, again, having timely, good information is really needed. Not only for today

but also to be able to fill all those gaps in the
asset management plan and to project for the
future needs. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.

Mr. Sanchez, if it would be appropriate at this

time, could you address the discrepancy that

Ms. Hebert just raised between the budget and the

CAFR numbers.

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, I don't have much of a voice due to a cold. I'll have Stan Allred do that.

But one thing I would say is, if you recall, the rate adjustment that occurred was on the fixed portion of the bill, not on the commodity. And, therefore, consumption will not regulate the amount of revenue derived from that.

But Stan can elaborate more.

MR. ALLRED: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, we're discussing 2015's revenue. Through November, so the first five months of fiscal year '15, revenues were a little over \$6 million above the same period from the prior year. That only equates to four months' worth of revenue. The rates went into effect July 1st, so it was based on July's consumption. So they basically

kicked in on that August. So for the first four months, really, we generated a little over \$6 million in additional revenue from the prior year.

Take into account an estimate of about a million dollars a month going forward and base rate, that's an additional \$7 million. That takes us to approximately 11 million. We're still planning on selling Yale Reservoir for \$3 million. I did reduce projections through the first quarter by two-and-a-half-million dollars. The difference is not \$20 million; it's approximately 17 to 18 million. We still have \$4 million in the rate reserve if revenue drops off. I don't anticipate that at this point in time. But we do have the \$4 million in rate reserve. We did not use the rate reserve in 2014. We met our debt coverage without having to use that.

We're almost \$3 million under budget on the operating side. Of that 3 million, 2 million is due to the refinancing that we just did in September when we closed. So it's \$2 million in savings from that debt service payments. We have that 2 million as well.

So at this point, based on how we're doing with our expenditures, and we still anticipate with the revenue, with the rate increase, I think our projections through the first quarter are pretty reasonable. Little bit of reduction to the projections as was due to the consumption levels due to the fourth quarter of last year.

So that's kind of where we stand.

That's kind of the difference. But a lot of it's been made up of the rate increase that went into effect on July 1st.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Can you explain the difference between the 2014 budget numbers and what's reported in the CAFR? There's a significant difference.

MR. ALLRED: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, if you remember, you were part of the exit conference when we had our exit conference, the CAFR, the expenditures don't tie to what's in the budget because the expenditures on the CAFR include depreciation expense and amortization expense. So those expenses, when we look at our debt coverage and stuff way back, those expenses are operating expenditures. So they're never

really going to tie, because what we're looking at when we do the budget is we're looking at the expenditures of the operating expenditures, we're looking for debt service, and what we pay as our transfers to capital.

The expenditures on the CAFR, just a little bit different animal. They're two different types ways to look at it. And those expenditures include depreciation expense and amortization expense, which are quite substantial.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Thank you.

Any other questions?

Councillor Sanchez.

MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I want to first state that I'm really please to see -- it's been a long time since we've gotten the CAFR to the auditor's office in a timely manner. When the city was doing the audits for the water authority, it was running late and that became a problem with some of our bonding also.

But thank you for your work and your staff's work in assuring that this comprehensive annual financial report was filed in a timely

1 manner with the state auditor. Appreciate the 2 work.

MR. ALLRED: Madam Chair and Commissioner
Sanchez, just to reiterate on that, we were
probably one of the first entities in New Mexico
this year to file the CAFR. We filed the CAFR in
early November. It was approved by the state
auditor for us to go ahead and print it, send it
back up to them by November 29th. It was released
by the state auditor's office after their review
by December 12th.

Our prior audit, we had approximately 11 audit findings on that audit. We knocked six of those audit findings off. We ended up having five audit findings. And of the five, we've already cleared up three of those for the next fiscal year.

The ones that are just still outstanding is, they would like us to bar code all of our moveable assets and make sure we have an inventory of all our moveable assets. And we do that for all the vehicles, but with our generators and those types of things, we need to just kind of do a little bit more work to get those under control.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor

Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Mr. Allred, and I don't know, I'll ask the board, I was going to pull C-15-2 and C-15-3 off of consent for discussion. We could either continue the discussion here or wait till we get to that place.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: What is the will of the board?

Since we're on this, why don't we just have that conversation now, if that's appropriate. Is that okay with you, Councillor? Or shall we just defer this until we get to that agenda item?

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Why don't we do that.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We shall do that.

Thank you, Mr. Allred.

We are now on announcements and communications. Next scheduled meeting of the water utility board will be February 25th, 2015, at 5:00 p.m. here in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.

I would also like to announce a vacancy on the customer advisory committee the board members or the public have any nominations, please direct them to the online application or the water

authority's website, at www.abcwua.org. 1 We are now on first introduction or 2 3 first reading of legislation, Item 7A. We have a request to move that, to suspend the rules and 4 5 move Item 7A to approvals. 6 COUNCILLOR JONES: Second. 7 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion and a second to suspend the rules and move Item 7A 8 9 to approvals. All in favor, say aye. 10 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair. 11 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor 12 Garduno. 13 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, what is 14 the urgency? 15 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Mr. Sanchez, would you like to address that? 16 MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor 17 18 Garduno, this ordinance amendment relates it will Carnuel project. If you recall, we had received 19 20 some state funding from the state finance 21 authority. We had requested a three-month 22 extension, which they've granted, and you've spent 23 the funds. They're requiring us to amend the ordinance to reflect that additional time. That's 24 25 all this ordinance does.

```
COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair.
 1
 2
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor.
 3
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Mr. Sanchez, so what
    we're doing is reversing the timeline so that we
 4
    can comply with the amount of time we've used?
 5
 6
           MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor
 7
    Garduno, yes, in a way. However, we have a
    specific time frame for us to spend the money they
 8
 9
    granted to us. We need an additional three months
10
    because of public meetings citing the reservoir in
11
    the East Mountains. They granted it.
12
    require us to amend the ordinance to reflect the
    actual amount of time that we need.
13
              Duane Brown from the Modrall law firm is
14
15
    here, if you want more detail.
16
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I just wondered whether
    we were in arrears, as it were --
17
18
           MR. SANCHEZ: No.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: -- with the timeline or
19
20
    -- if you want to say something about it fine, but
21
    if I'm --
22
           MR. BROWN: If you're good, I'm good.
23
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I' comfortable with the
24
    fact that we are within time.
25
              Thank you, Madam Chair.
```

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. 1 2 have a motion and a second to suspend the rules 3 and move Item 7A. All in favor, say aye. 4 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed? 5 6 That motion passes unanimously. 7 (7-0 vote. Motion approved.) CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on 8 Mr. Allred, Duane Brown and Eric Harrigan 9 Item B. 10 are here to present this item. 11 MR. ALLRED: Madam Chair, Members of the 12 Board, what you'll be presented is an ordinance 13 and a resolution for a plan borrowing that will 14 close plant in April of this year. It's got two 15 parts to it. The first part is for no money. 16 It's \$57 million for the next two years for our 17 basic program CIP spending. That was in the 18 fiance plan through the budget. And that would be 19 the capital match to the cash transfer. 2.0 Also, \$15 million on the makeup because of the CIP shortfall for FY14 and '15 that we have 21 discussed before. And also in the new money, we 22 23 have \$6 million for the interceptor for odor

We also plan on refinancing the 2007 MFA

control at Central and Yucca.

24

25

loan, 2008 revenue bonds, and 2009 A-1 revenue bonds in the amount of about \$196 million. Based on yesterday's interest rates, the net present value savings would anticipate to be \$16 million. If we add the 16 with the \$20 million in the present value savings from the loans we refinanced in September, total savings will be about \$37 million. And all these loans, there's no change to the terms of those loans, so they won't be extended in time and such.

2.0

The savings also are enough to offset the 15 million and the \$6 million of new money going forward and then still have approximately \$10 million left in savings after those two are paid for. We still plan on -- with this is the ramp up of our CIP spending by \$3 million a year and the rate increases that we'll go forward with, fund the cash transfer and the ramp will be through cash and not through bond proceeds.

And I stand for any questions. And if none, I have Mr. Eric Harrigan with RBC Capital Markets to go through the terms of the pending deal.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you.

Mr. Allred, so am I hearing you say that the amount of money that you mentioned, which is the savings of 37 million, are you able to then apply that to deficits going forward?

MR. ALLRED: Councillor Garduno and Madam Chairman, it's over the life of those six loans. There was six loans we refinanced. They range anywhere from eight years to 15 years, I believe Mr. Harrigan can correct me if I'm wrong. So it would be through the life of those. The 15 and the 6 million would be over a 12-year period. Interest rates are about 2.1 percent as of yesterday, and those moneys, the debt service would be about 26 million versus the 37 million in savings. So it's the life of all these loans we would save us still about \$11 million and still be able to do the 15 and the six.

The first loan that closed in September of last year had for this fiscal year net present value savings of about 2.6 million. The net present value savings anticipated for this fiscal year for the next three loans was to anticipate a savings of approximately 2.4 million, which I believe Mr. Harrigan will discuss as well.

```
1
          COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, if I may.
2
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Yes, please.
 3
          COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Mr. Allred, I just want
    us to make sure that I, for one, don't
4
5
    misunderstand that this 37 million being amortized
6
    over 12, 20, or whatever the numbers are, that
7
    they don't get confused or conflated with debt
    service that will we have right now and that's the
8
    reason we continue going forward. And I think
9
10
    sometimes we hear these numbers and we think,
11
    well, we're in fine shape. But we're not
    necessarily, are we?
12
              Thank you, Madam Chair.
13
14
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor
15
    Sanchez.
16
          COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
              I'd like some clarification based on
17
18
    fiscal impact I'm looking at here in the write-up.
19
    It says: Based upon current market conditions,
20
    the refunding will generate approximately
21
    $7.2 million in present value savings or
22
    10.212 percent.
23
          MR. ALLRED: Councillor Sanchez, Madam
24
    Chairman, those numbers were based on the market
25
    as of January 9 of this year, so when we wrote the
```

report. What I just told you was based on the market values as of yesterday.

There's a lot of volatility in the market today. There's anticipation that the muni rates may drop tomorrow. I believe, we were just talking about it, the ten-year treasuries at 1.75 and the 30 is 2.92. So we're talking about with these three loans, they've got interest rates of like a 5.5 percent interest rate, and taking them down to 2.2 and 2.1 percent. So it's still worth going to market and trying to get these savings.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: And I'm very pleased to see in this ordinance that we are finally going to address the issue -- I know that we've done a good job with the chemicals that have been used on Yucca and Central, but that's been a problem for nearly 20 years, and it looks like there's \$6 million added for that project.

MR. ALLRED: Councillor Sanchez and Madam Chairman, that is correct. It's to realign the interceptor. I'm not an engineer, but from what I understand, it's the way it's designed. So the odors will not be trapped anymore and it will eliminate the problem.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Well, Councillor Pena

and myself and especially Louie Tafoya, the

president of the West Mesa Neighborhood

Association, is going to be very pleased to hear

this news.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.

Mr. Allred, did you have others who wanted to speak on this, Mr. Harrigan?

MR. ALLRED: Eric Harrigan of RBC Capital
Markets. And then we also have Duane Brown, with
Modrall, to discuss the bond ordinance.

MR. HARRIGAN: Good evening, Madam Chair,
Members of the Board. My name is Eric Harrigan
with RBC Capital Markets. We're the authority's
financial advisor.

Mr. Allred did a very good job of summarizing the two transactions that are in the ordinance, the refunding and the new money, so I'll try to keep my comments brief.

The ordinance authorizes the refunding of the seven NMFA loans, the 2008 bonds, the 2009 A-1 bonds. We are constantly monitoring the market, as Councillor Sanchez was just pointing out. In the information that's in your packet, a savings with 7.1 million. The update that was done yesterday, savings have dropped a little bit.

That volatility was going to continue to exist up through till we price these bonds, but we'll continue to monitor those. And if interest rates aren't where they are to make sure that the savings are sufficient and to target the savings that we're looking for, we would potentially drop out of either maturities or drop out of refunding some of those bonds.

2.0

And I'll kind of go through the numbers that we'll see in your packet here. Again, they're a little bit on the old side; they're about a week old. I don't know what I did, but I reset the your iPad. But I will say that the bonds for the 2007, '08 A's and the '09 A's, the average interest rate on those bonds is 5.81 percent. Under current markets, the average rate on that borrowing would be 2.72 percent. That's a difference of 246 basis points, and that's what's generating the present value savings.

On the new money, the average borrowing rate on that is about 2.03 percent, based upon current markets. Both of these, both the refundings and new moneys, comply with the authority's debt policy in that the new money has

a final maturity of 12 years, and refunding

savings meet all of the tests laid out within the

authority's debt policy.

On Page 3, if you have it before you, this is a breakdown of each of the series of bonds. The 2007 NMFA loan generates net present value savings of about 4.27 million or 11.36 percent. The 2008 A bonds generates about 6 million in present value savings, or about 10.85 percent, and the 2009 A generates about 6.85 million and about 8.9 percent in present value savings, for a total of 17.1, or about 10 percent.

As was just talked about a little bit before, that 17 million, that is on a present value basis; that's in today's dollars over the life of the refunding bond issue. If you look at the table on the -- right here, in nominal savings, over the life of the bonds, in actual cash flow, based upon current interest rate environment, those savings are about \$20.4 million. That translates into annual savings to the authority of about a million dollars over the life of the loan.

From a timing standpoint, we would be

back before you in February meeting to consider approving the ordinance and confirming the resolution, and then pricing the bonds in mid March. We come back to the authority with the final interest rates and terms of both the refunding and the new money transaction. Closing would be on April 2nd. The escrow would be funded for the refunding, and funds for the capital projects will be available to the authority.

We've also included a couple of slides relating to the market. I won't through them other than to say that the market is very strong. Interest rates are low. With the exception of the summer of 2013, I believe that municipal interest rates are at their lowest points since then. The federal reserve did meet today and they basically came out and announced they pledged to be patient with raising interest rates. The treasury market, as Stan mentioned, they received that with very good news, ten-year treasure valued at 1.72 percent on a 30-year dropped to an all-time low of 2.92 percent.

With that, I would be happy to answer any questions that you have or turn it over to Duane Brown to go over the draft ordinance and

resolution before you.

2.0

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Members, any questions? Seeing none, please proceed.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. Madam Chair, Members of the Board, I'm Duane Brown with the Modrall Sperling law firm. We're working as your bond counsel on this transaction.

And what you have in your packets is, as Mr. Harrigan described, the bond parameters ordinance which authorizes issuance of the bonds and basically starts the process so that we could issue bonds in an amount up to 196 million for the refunding and up to 79 million for the new money projects.

And there's lots of detail in here with respect to how the bonds are secured and rate covenants and things of that nature. But those matters have been established in your prior bond ordinances and we're continuing to go forward on that basis.

You may recall that last year, when we did the refunding that we have, that Mr. Allred was speaking about, we sorted your lien levels from a senior lien level only to include also a subordinate lien level; that so we could change

some of the rate covenants and some of additional bond status. This finding to me is contemplated to be done all at the senior lien level.

2.0

Now, one of the things that we might discover as we go forward over the next two months with this rating and pricing process and because of the volatility in the interest rate markets, we might be in a situation where we come back to you in February and say, "We need you now to split this into part of it being senior and part of being subordinate." We don't know that at this point, but I want you to be aware that now in the ordinances that we're conducting a first reading is an ordinance all done, all of the financing done at the senior lien level.

I can go through more detail, but I think that sort of summarizes it. And I'd be happy to stand for questions with respect to the ordinance, then we can move to the resolution, Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you for explaining that. One of the things that I thought about is strategically

you talked about dividing it in two and maybe bringing it back to us. For what reason? To take advantage of the market in two different states?

MR. BROWN: Madam Chair, Mr. Garduno, it's possible that one -- as the process goes forward, it might be that some rates have gone up at a particular part of the yield curve, something else could have happened in the market where we would say it's going to be more financially advantageous for the authority to do part of the bonds at the senior lien, part at the seniority lien level level for future planning and borrowing purposes.

I don't know that. I just want to make sure that the board is aware that that is a possibility.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So the caveat is that you're looking at that and at that point, you'll decide whether senior completely or senior and subordinate?

MR. BROWN: Madam Chair, Mr. Garduno, that's correct. As part of the entire finance team, the underwriters, financial advisors, and Mr. Sanchez and Mr. Allred as well.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Who is bond counsel?

MR. BROWN: Madam Chair, Mr. Garduno, my law

firm, Modrall Sperling, is bond counsel to the 1 2 authority. 3 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. 4 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: 5 Thank you. 6 Any other questions? 7 Seeing none, Mr. Sanchez, do we need additional discussion on 7C? 8 9 MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, I think it would 10 be prudent for Mr. Brown to go over what that 11 resolution is. 12 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: That would be 13 OR - 15 - 3. 14 MR. BROWN: Yes. Madam Chair, Members of 15 the Board, the resolution that is in 7C basically 16 confirms the terms of the ordinance. Under the water authority statute, as it was created 10 or 17 18 12 years ago, there's a reference there that bonds of the authority will be issued pursuant to a 19 20 resolution. The practice of the water authority 21 over the years has been to adopt an ordinance just 22 because of the gravity of borrowing this much 23 money; that an ordinance is a better vehicle to 24 go. So what we do with this confirming resolution 25 is simply make reference to the fact that the

```
statute uses the word "resolution" and so we have
1
2
    this confirming resolution just to make sure all
 3
    the I's was are dotted and the T's are crossed.
 4
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any questions,
5
    comments?
6
          COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ:
                                Madam Chair.
7
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor
    Sanchez.
8
9
           COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ:
                                I have one question for
    Mr. Brown or staff. I'm looking at these bonds
10
11
    that we were paying 5.1 percent for back in 2008.
    And now it looks like we're going to get a very
12
13
    good rate at 1.7 percent, 1.8 percent.
14
              Weren't any of these bonds callable
15
    prior to what we're doing now, where we could have
16
    done some sooner and we could have saved
    additional money?
17
18
          MR. BROWN: Madam Chair, Mr. Sanchez, I'll
19
    take a stab at that, and then if I need to get
20
    bailed out, Mr. Harrigan will do that. Typically,
21
    all municipal bonds are sold with what's ten-year
22
    call protection, which means we can't prepay them
23
    for 10 years. So in 2008, we would have had the
    first optional payment date coming up in 2018.
24
25
    And now that interest rates have gone so low, we
```

can actually do an advance refunding of the bonds, 1 2 set the money aside in an escrow account and still 3 obtain savings. But practically speaking, this is about the earliest opportunity that the authority 4 would have had to refinance the 2008 bonds with 5 6 those higher interest rates. 7 COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Seeing no other 8 9 questions, thank you, Mr. Brown. 10 (Member Perry leaves meeting.) 11 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on 12 Item 7D, R-15-4. 13 Mr. Porter. 14 MR. PORTER: Good evening, Madam Chair, 15 Members. I'm here tonight to introduce a 16 development agreement with Affinity Housing. Affinity at Albuquerque is a developer and owner 17 18 of approximately 6.6 acres of land located 19 immediately northeast of the intersection of Unser 2.0 and McMahon Boulevards within the city limits. 21 The planned development is for 160,000 22 square foot age-restricted apartment complex. 23 apartment complex will consist of 154 total units. 24 New water and wastewater infrastructure will be

constructed as part of this development. The new

25

infrastructure will tie the existing water and 1 2 wastewater infrastructure that borders the 3 property. All of the new infrastructure can be considered as in-fill development. 4 5 Service to the property is contingent on 6 developer paying all applicable utility expansion 7 charges and waters supply charges. The utility expansion charge will be based on a multiple-unit 8 9 formula, as detailed in the current water and 10 sewer rate ordinance. There's no UEC 11 reimbursements, as it's not considered master 12 planned facilities. The execution as developed 13 will not have a fiscal impact on the water utility 14 authority.

With that, I'll sit for any questions.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any questions, comments?

Councillor Garduno.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So being the first reading, we'll have an opportunity to ask questions next time around also?

MR. PORTER: Certainly.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Before my questions, till then, but just quickly, I'm wondering why we're doing that at this time. Did somebody come

```
to us and said, "We're going to build this.
 1
 2
    have everything in place. All we need is the
    allocation of water"?
 3
           MR. PORTER: That's correct. We make to
 4
 5
    commitments to service until the development
 6
    agreement is passed by the board.
 7
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you.
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right.
 8
                                                   Wе
 9
    are now on approval of the consent agenda.
10
    Councillor Garduno has requested that Item D be
    taken off the consent agenda and moved under
11
12
    approvals. So this would be approval of R-15-1,
    R-15-2, C-15-1, and C-15-3.
13
14
              I move approval.
15
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
16
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a second
    from Commissioner De La Cruz.
17
18
              Then we are approving just the first
19
    three items on the consent agenda.
              We have a motion and a second. All in
2.0
21
    favor, say aye.
22
           ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
23
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
24
              That motion passes unanimously.
25
               (6-0 vote. Motion approved.)
```

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on 1 2 approvals, so we'll start with 9A, which is 0-15-1 which was moved from first reading. 3 Mr. Brown. 4 MR. BROWN: Madam Chair, Members of the 5 6 Authority, I believe we discussed this thoroughly 7 when we were moving it from the first reading to the approvals. But I'd be happy to stand for 8 9 questions if there are any. Otherwise, I think 10 it's appropriate to proceed with adoption. 11 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any questions? 12 COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Move approval. 13 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion 14 and a second to approve 0-15-1. All in favor, say 15 aye. 16 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed? 17 18 That motion passes unanimously. 19 (6-0 vote. Agenda Item 9A approved.) 2.0 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on 9B, 21 amending the customer advisory committee. 22 MS. EWING: Thank you, Madam Chair and 23 Members of the Board. My name is Amy Ewing and 24 I'm the chair of the customer advisory committee. 25 On the water authority board's request,

the customer advisory committee reviewed and discussed the provisions of CAC resolution at our November meeting. We reviewed that again at the December meeting and we recommended approval of that. We reviewed that again at our December meeting and we recommended approval, with some changes.

2.0

The main changes included establishing a technical advisory committee and renaming that committee as the customer technical advisory committee, and this change was made because of the technical nature and topics of the committee. The members are a technical group of volunteers that represent the core function areas of the utility, and the purpose of our committee is to advise the water authority on those matters.

The second main change to that is a clarification of the selection process. We now submit applications for review by the board chair.

The third main change to that is a change in the business conduct section to allow for having at least four members constitute a quorum. We have nine members, but in some instances, we have vacancies and we want to be able to conduct meetings with a quorum of as many

as four.

2.0

Also, the customer advisory committee has been participating in the recent customer conversations. There have three of those to date in the recent cycle, so there was one in November, one in December and one in January. There will be one more in February. These events have been very well attended. We've been getting quite a lot of positive feedback. I went to the one in November; it was very well run. So we've very involved also in those outreach efforts.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Any questions, comments?

Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you.

Under -- in the -- what you're bringing forward, it says that, and you just mentioned it, conduct business, says a majority shall constitute a quorum. This is to transact business.

What is a majority.

MS. EWING: The majority of nine, but as a many as four. But we've had instances in the past where there were multiple vacancies and it took a couple of meetings to get those filled. So the quorum would be met with four members in

attendance.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: But it doesn't say that here. It just says fill the CTAC positions shall constitute a quorum, on Page 3, under conduct of business.

What I don't want to have happen is that we end up with a majority being two if we have that many vacancies.

MS. EWING: I think the intent of the text would be that there would be at least four.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, I don't see anywhere where it alludes to that. It just says a majority. And if a quorum is established, a quorum remains if three people leave and there were only five to begin with, so that leaves two folks to constitute a majority.

I would feel more comfort if we could add what you just said and what the intent, I heard you say, was to have a majority of four, taking into consideration some of the either vacancies or nonattendance by CTAC folks. Does that make sense?

MS. EWING: Yes, it does.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, is that something that the board would entertain?

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Would you like to propose an amendment, Councillor Garduno?

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Well, there's more discussion, sounds like.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you. I just wanted to bring up -- so this -- the committee votes on things and brings them forward for discussion. So if you have a majority of four, you could end up with a situation where nothing happens because you could have a 2-to-2 vote and nothing happens.

So you probably need to have a five-member majority. And so I think that that probably makes more sense. I realize it might be hard to get members there. Why is it hard to get members there? What were some of the challenges there?

MS. EWING: I think that actually the challenges is that in the event that those seats are absent. So when new members are being selected and then brought before the board for approval, the lag time between when those seats are made vacant and approved, it's really for assessing, you know, that instance rather than nonattendance by members that are sitting.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I think we're just talking about one more person, you know, if you were -- that you're going to end up in a position where you may not be able to move any items if there's disagreement.

2.0

So it probably makes sense to have a five-member majority, even though it might be challenging, because you have a nine-member committee. And that would reduce the members of the committee, I would think, but I think that you would need five to move forward.

Those are just my comments.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor

Garduno, I think you still have -- are you -
COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: That's all.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I agree with Commissioner O'Malley and also

Councillor Garduno. And regarding having the nine

members, you've got to have five for a quorum.

I'm not sure how often you do not have the five

members to conduct business, but it sounds like

it's been problematic on behalf of the committee.

But again, when you've got five committee members

```
who can attend these meetings, I think that's
 1
 2
    going to be the important thing. You want people
 3
    that are going to be there. When they commit to
    these committees, they should be there. We should
 4
 5
    have five members at least voting on these
 6
    initiatives.
 7
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Would
    someone like to propose an amendment? I quess we
 8
 9
    can move and second this, or we can take an motion
10
    to defer it, redraft and bring it back at a future
11
    meeting.
12
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Move to defer.
          MR. ZDUNEK: We have a motion to defer.
13
14
           COUNCILLOR JONES: Second.
15
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a second
16
    from Councillor Garduno.
17
              Any discussion.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair.
18
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor
19
2.0
    Garduno.
21
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you. The only
22
    think I would add is that there are a couple
23
    questions, I have. And I don't know if there's
```

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I think now would

24

25

any other questions.

be a good time to raise them.

2.0

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: On 1.B, in fact, it's the last paragraph, and it talks about a conflict of interest. And it talks about the resolution, which has been changed from an ordinance, that conflict of interest means that the member has a financial interest which could be affected by the outcome of any policy decision or determination by the CATC or one of the committees or work groups on which the member serves.

And we heard earlier that there was concern that there might be membership of folks who might have a conflict of interest. And if that were to be established, we go back to the other discussion at point, which is, if they were to recuse themselves, we would end up again in a place where we have four folks and we have a vote of 2-2 and it nullifies the policy wanting to be moved forward.

So I don't know whether we need to have a more descriptive portion of this so that we find out who, in fact, has a conflict of interest and how that's established. I'd hate to find out after the fact if somebody doesn't know that they are in a position or in a place where they might

have a conflict of interest and vote only to find out that their vote is nullified. So I would like for someone, again, in this deferral to look at that. And I don't know what the exact amendment 4 would be, but it would be something that would 6 speak to that issue.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Thank you.

> Any other questions, concerns? Commissioner O'Malley.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. The item that deals with the conflict of interest, it says that the member shall not -well, it doesn't say "shall," it just says a member voluntarily disqualifies himself or herself.

And maybe as we look at this ordinance to make some recommendations, you might want to have some stronger language there that basically puts more teeth in this. This voluntary disqualification maybe needs to have stronger language, "should," or something like that, or "shall," or whatever. That's just something to think about. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you,

Commissioner.

So, Mr. Sanchez, if the vote to defer is successful, then you have these comments and can work on another draft that can come back before the board.

We have a motion and a second to defer Item 9B, OR-15-5. All in favor, say aye.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?

That motion passes unanimously.

(6-0 vote. Item 9B deferred.)

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. We are now on 10B, which is the New Mexico

Environment Department's 2015 strategic plan for the Kirtland Air Force -- did I skip something?

Oh, I apologize. Yes, we do have two other approvals, forgive me, two items taken off

that consent agenda, 8D, C-15-2, the CAFR.

Mr. Allred.

MR. ALLRED: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, at the beginning of November, we finished the audit of 2014. We had an exit conference with Rogoff, Erickson, Diamond and Walker. It was with the audit committee. Chairman Pena was there, as well as Vice Chairman Stebbins. We went through

the audit, but I kind of go through some of those
things in the audit.

2.0

One thing I'd like to say is that this was the first audit done by the authority on its own, on its own financial system. We had some growing pains, but we did get it done on time. But the system allowed us, as managers of the utility, to try to manage our expenditures a little bit better, look at our revenues a little bit better, and, therefore, we see some issues where we had to do some things.

And one of those things, we had an issue with our revenue and we came to the board and asked for a rate increase for 2015 on the base.

And at this point, it looks like that may have solved some of the issues we had in 2014. The audit, we had a clean opinion from the auditor.

It was approved by the state auditor as well through our new audit adjustments, our new financials. We had no material weaknesses reported on our audit.

We had one significant deficiency that was reported. It was with our accounts payable controls. This is an internal control problem with not having enough staff do our accounts

payable. We realigned the way the staff actually does that work, and that audit finding should come off on the 2015 audit.

The other findings that were on there was reporting of unclaimed property in the State of New Mexico. We do do that now. So that finding will be removed. We do have a CAFR asset inventory. That finding will be removed. I take that back. I'll go back. Cash collateralization, that finding, we fixed that.

The two findings that we're currently working on is controls over equipment tagging and the capital assets inventory. And, basically, as I said before, those were the issues with our new equipment. We do have an inventory of all our vehicles, all our off-road equipment, such as our backhoes and trailers and Bobcats and those types of things. The things that we need to try to get our arms wrapped around is the generators, the equipment that's on the vehicles going out into the field. We need to try to capture those in inventory. All those assets that are movable like that, we need to begin bar coding. And so we're creating a bar coding system to get all those assets in an inventory and have them captured with

bar coding.

We did have six audits that were resolved from the prior year. We had an issue with out bank reconciliation process. When We moved away from City of Albuquerque, our bank separated under the water authority. We worked very diligent with our new system and, in turn, with the bank to work on those -- the reconciliation process in 2014, and we were able to do that. So that audit finding was removed.

We had a finding for timely closing of general ledger and reconciliation of ledgers. We never closed our financials. We thought we would do that on our own. A closed process takes about a month after the end of the month, but we do close on each month as quickly as possible, but it normally takes us about a month to do so.

There's some rules with New Mexico

public law requirements. That's how we do our

rate -- moneys that go with the state of

New Mexico, how we resolve that issue. We were,

again, primarily moving all of our banking stuff

with ourselves.

We had an issue with Workers'
Compensation claims. One of the things we did in

- 1 2014, too, was, we shared our Workers'
- 2 | Compensation with the City of Albuquerque, and we
- 3 | basically brought all that in-house, along with
- 4 our tort. And the way we handle our Workers'
- 5 | Compensation stuff has allowed us to eliminate
- 6 | that audit finding.
- 7 Audit report due date, this was the
- 8 | first audit we filed timely in the last ten years.
- 9 So we do have some work to do, we continue to have
- 10 | some work to do, but that's a big achievement to
- 11 get this CAFR done on time, especially the time we
- 12 | did it this year.
- So those are the audit findings that
- 14 | were removed. So we started off with about ten
- 15 | audit findings and finished the year with five.
- 16 And we've already eliminated three of the five, so
- 17 | we're still working on two.
- 18 The current ratio is at the high point
- 19 | in 2014 than it has been to the last five years.
- 20 | There's still work on that ratio. It's the
- 21 | measure of having enough resources to pay our
- 22 debts for the next 12 months. We will continue
- 23 | work on that and try to make that stronger and
- 24 | stronger. But we are showing improvements, and
- 25 | through FY15 that ratio has been improving.

Our days cash on hand and investments on hand went from 25 days in FY 2013 to 176 days in FY 2014. We had over 60 days of unrestricted cash available at the end of FY 2014, which was a vast improvement from FY 2013. Our debt service coverage ratio maintains at about 1.33, so we feel we're right where we need coverage. So that's a big achievement, because back in 2010, 2011, based on what was going on with our financials with the city and the city system and where we were at, we had had some issues.

So I think that's a quick snapshot overview of the CAFR. So we were improving and we've got one year under our belt with this new system. We're in the second year. We continue to improve and we'll continue to strive so be what you expect of us. I stand for any questions.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Questions?

Councillor Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Again, I just want to compliment you and your staff for your work and you getting the CAFR in in a timely manner. But I have one question regarding Table 4, the schedule of infrastructure and capital assets.

In 2014, we are had 1,232,000,000. In

FY13, we had 1,271,000,000, which was a decrease of about 38,449,000. Why such a large decrease crease in capital assets?

MR. ALLRED: That will continue to decrease

MR. ALLRED: That will continue to decrease as assets start depreciating. So it really decreased during 2008, 2007, when we started building the San Juan Chama project. We haven't really brought on a bunch of brand-new assets. That may change as we start working on the asset management plan and doing some things at the wastewater treatment plant.

Right now, we're working on the pretreatment facility, which is about a \$30 million project. We also have dewatering, which is about a \$20 million project, so that's going to fluctuate a little bit. But over the last couple years, we haven't really brought on a lot of new investments. So that's just depreciation expense.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Mr. Allred, and I, like Councillor

Sanchez, want to make sure that everybody

appreciates the kind of work that you took over,

because as you said and has been mentioned, the CAFR was always late when you were under another agency. I won't mention that agency, but thanks a lot. I think that's the kind of thing that's needed for us to know where we stand. It doesn't say very much for the city at this point because we still suffer under that late CAFR reporting.

But the other thing I was wondering about is that this audit being completed, or at least talked about at this point in the year, fiscal year, when you report it to the then auditor, does it change now that we have a new auditor, or does it just go through without having to necessarily have the new audit office, if you will, revisit a lot of this work?

MR. ALLRED: Councillor Garduno and Madam Chairman, I think I understand the question.

You will not be rereviewed by the new state auditor. It was reviewed by State Auditor Hector Balderas' regime. That's one of the benefits of us getting it done as quickly as we did. When you're those CAFRs that get submitted on December 1st, that's the due date, you'll fall under State Auditor Keller's review. Obviously, the City of Albuquerque, when they file theirs,

that will fall under his review. Our next audit for 2015 will be reviewed by our internal auditor -- or our internal/external auditor, which is Rogoff, Erickson, Diamond and Walker, and they, again, will submit that to the state auditor's office and we will have that submitted before December 1st, and state auditor Keller's staff will review that.

2.0

And we also have an internal auditor, and he also worked with external auditors pretty in depth through a lot of this audit, so we do have an auditor that looks at us on a monthly basis. He will make a presentation to the audit committee next month, with next year's work plan and discussions of anything that he's looked at in the last quarter.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, one more question.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Normally during your exit, many times you will have somebody from the state auditor's office sitting in on those exit audits; is that correct?

MR. ALLRED: Councillor Sanchez and Madam 1 2 Chairman, only if the state auditor's office is 3 also conducting the audit. So I believe it was three or four years ago for two years the state 4 5 auditor, in conjunction with Moss Adams, was 6 auditing the water authority and the city of 7 Albuquerque in conjunction with Moss Adams. After two consecutive years, the state auditor no longer 8 9 found the need to do so with both entities. 10 then at that point in time, both the city and 11 water authority went with Rogoff, Erickson, 12 Diamond and Walker. This fiscal year we're still with that firm and I believe the City of 13 14 Albuquerque is with Moss Adams. 15 COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you. 16 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. other questions, comments? 17 18 Mr. Sanchez, do we need a motion to 19 accept the CAFR? 2.0 MR. SANCHEZ: Yes. 21 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So moved. 22 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion 23 from Councillor Garduno. 24 COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Second. 25 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Second from

```
Councillor Sanchez to accept C-15-2. All in
1
2
    favor, say aye.
 3
          ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
 4
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
              That motion passes unanimously.
5
6
              (6-0 vote. Agenda Item 9C approved.)
7
          THE COURT: We are now on Item 8E, service
    connection agreement for water and sewer service.
8
9
    Mr. Sanchez, would you like to explain this item?
10
              Or Mr. Porter.
11
           COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, while
12
    Mr. Porter comes down, this is simply a
    connection. It is not a development agreement.
13
14
    It's in a developed area within the city
15
    boundaries.
                 It is by the Lowe's in the 528 and
16
    Coors area. Within that development there is a
    commercial building being constructed and they've
17
18
    requested water service.
19
          COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Move approval.
2.0
          COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ:
                                Second.
21
          COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I have a question.
22
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor
23
    Garduno.
24
          COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you. The reason
25
    I asked for it to be pulled off was that it talked
```

about how it's out of the service area right now;
is that correct?

2.0

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor

Garduno, keep in mind that what we call the service area is a fully built-out area. So we have a geographic area where anything outside of that requires extraordinary expenses on the part of the developer. So in other words, the existing ratepayers are not subsidizing those connections.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And, Madam Chair, if I may.

So they have paid for any expense that would have been incurred or has been incurred to make that connection?

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor Garduno, that's correct.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And will they now be carved into the service area, or what's going to be the relationship?

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor Garduno, no, that boundary does not change. It will continue. So any development that occurs outside that fully built area will continue to require a development agreement or connection agreement that this board approves.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So having this 1 2 agreement with the present folks that we're 3 talking about does not preclude anyone having to go through the process of having all the things 4 you just described? 5 6 MR. SANCHEZ: No. 7 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: We do not then extend, as it were, the boundary? 8 9 MR. SANCHEZ: I'd be happy to sit down and go through this in detail with you. 10 11 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Do we have a motion? We have a motion and a second. 13 14 With an abundance of caution, I'm going 15 to recuse myself from this vote. 16 So we have a motion and a second to approve C-15-3. All in favor, say aye. 17 18 FIVE MEMBERS: Aye. 19 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed? 20 That motion is approved 5, with one 21 recusal. 22 (5-0 vote. Agenda Item 3 approved. 23 Commissioner Hart Stebbins recused.) 24 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are on to 25 other business, OB-15-2, the New Mexico

Environment's 2015 strategic plan.

Welcome, Mr. Dennis McQuillan.

MS. ROBERTS: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, while Dennis is getting our PowerPoint up, I wanted to introduce myself. My name is Catherine Roberts. I'm the new resource protection division director for New Mexico Environment Department. And Dennis and I are here to present to you on the Kirtland Air Force Base fuel spill cleanup strategic plan. Thank you for allowing us to present to you this evening.

I also want to convey the sincerest apologies from the secretary. Clearly, I am not him. He actually injured his back and was unable to drive down to Albuquerque this evening. So, again, his sincerest apologies for not being able to be here tonight.

I'm going to go through a couple of high level slides, and then I'm actually going to allow Mr. McQuillan to take you through the meat of the strategic plan.

So as you can see, actually, from this slide, the strategic plan is really the product of several organizations' efforts and expertise, and specially scientific expertise. NMED simply has

the privilege of being able to put it together and present it to you. As you can see, there are quite a few entities on this page who helped us put this together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what is the strategic plan? The strategic plan really presents two things: Where do we want to go on Kirtland, and how do we get there? And the plan will discuss work plans that are going to be required to be submitted to NMED as the work proceeds into the future. However, the due dates are not going to be explicitly called out in the strategic plan.

The reason for that is that the strategic plan, itself, is not a technical work plan. It doesn't contain engineering specifications or Gantt charts or anything of that nature. So you'll see in the plan that there will be general due dates. And one of the things -this was actually brought up in several of the comments that we've received so far, public comments that we've received so far on the plan, which have been very constructive. The plan was issued for public comment on December 19th. Ιt will continue through Friday, January 30th. So that has been very, very helpful to us.

One other quick thing to mention is that the plan will also have links to project documents. And those will be included in the plan.

And finally, for me, I wanted to give you a little bit of background information as it relates to the strategic plan and where we are. So the RCRA, or Resource Conservation Recovery Act, I'm sure some of you are familiar with that acronym, the corrective action process. To Kirtland Air Force Base as issued and reinforce through the department a RCRA permit.

In that permit, we include corrective action requirements. As you can see from this chart, Kirtland is pretty much right towards the tail end of their site assessment and characterization process. Concurrently, we're also in the development and implementation of interim measures. And basically what that means is this allows Kirtland Air Force Base to take some more immediate steps and so we can get that project moving forward. But, clearly, those -- the development and the implementation of interim measures will go concurrently into potentially the public notice for the final remedy that we decide

on for Kirtland Air Force Base.

With that, I'm actually going to turn it over to Dennis and he will give you the much more detailed information that you are looking for related to the strategic plan. Thank you.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, good evening. I'm Dennis McQuillan, a geologist with the New Mexico Environment

Department. I wanted to highlight a couple of the technical issues. The oil that was released we call a light nonaqueous phase liquid. And once it was released into the subsurface, it partitions into additional phases. The vapor or the volatile constituents go into the soil vapor, water soluble constituents dissolve into the groundwater and the soil moisture, and some contaminants actually attach themselves to the soil.

And the reason I'm telling you this is because this is very important in terms of the migration and fate of these compounds and also the remedies we choose to clean the plume up. We can take advantage of these transfers between phases.

So you can see in this diagram, the fuel spill is -- the fuel moved down through about 500 feet of soil we'll call the vadose zone. Vaporous

contaminants diffused into the vapor phase. The oil had been floating on the water table and in the beginning of 2009, thanks to the wonderful water conservation, the extraordinary efforts through this board was done and the people that live in Albuquerque, which is a great thing, the water level rose up because they're pumping the wells less and it slowed the oil that had been floating into the shallow water table. This is a really difficult thing to clean up and it's one of the challenges we have.

Then if we go the water soluble hydrocarbons, we have ethylene dibromide, which is an additive to the aviation gasoline. It's the most toxic of all the constituents.

And that yellow zone there shows a mixture of dissolved ethylene dibromide, or EDB, and dissolved hydrocarbons. The hydrocarbons are biodegrading very rarely, and some of the EDB is getting taken out in that yellow area. And from — the ethylene dibromide migrates beyond that reaction zone, and as far as we can tell, in that red area, there's no degradation of EDB occurring, and that's why it smoothed out way farther than the hydrocarbons, and this is what's threatening

the water supply lines in that area.

We also have upper hydraulic gradients that the water utility and the USGS and others supposed. This means that the water deep in the aquifer is under greater pressure than the water in the shallow zone, and it means that the water is going to try and find a path upward. This is a good thing for the protection of your wells, because the water is going to want to move up and not down if there's a hydraulic connection. So at least we have some things going in our favor.

So the summary of the plan, we have a goal, one goal, and that is simply to protect your aquifer and to protect the water wells in the area, which is the Kirtland Air Force Base wells and the VA Hospital. And we have established proposed strategies to achieve that goal, to protect the groundwater and the wells. And I'm going to go through each strategy separately. And this is also explained in the written plan.

So we have proposed and we're actually conducting robust monitoring. The water utility is monitoring the wells in the area on a monthly basis, and the base commander has ordered that the VA well and the Kirtland wells also be tested on a

monthly basis. The law only requires testing once every three years. So we have very robust monitoring.

And this monthly testing is continuing to show no detections in any of the supply wells in the immediate area. The detection limit is about .01 micrograms per liter. And the state and federal standards are both set at .05. So I can just -- we've also created sentinel wells, and I'll show you that in a minute, located between the plume and the water supply wells, that should give us early detection of any contaminant migration towards those wells. We are not going to allow the EDB to hit anybody's drinking water. That's the bottom line.

So here are the sentinel wells. The wells that are in the blue, aqua, are the water supply wells. That includes yours, as well as other parties. The purple surface are the sentinel wells, typically three wells, shallow to deep, and the ones in purple that are cross-hatched, those are wells that are being drilled by the U.S. Geological Survey. And so we're surrounding this plume, putting these wells in between and these well nests between the plume

and the drinking water supply wells.

We also are going to collapse this dissolved phase, that red area that I showed you in the diagram. And I have an animation that I'm about to show what we mean by that. And we're going to fill data gaps. As you saw in the monitoring well network, we don't have good control of the north and east side of the plume. So the Air Force is putting in 16 new monitoring wells. There are actually two drill rigs drilling today, this week, and Monday there should be four drill rigs putting in wells up in that area of Louisiana and Gibson.

And we're going to -- after we find the bottom of the plume in the area of Gibson and Louisiana, because it's not known how deep is it there, we're going to put in the first of eight extraction wells. And we're going to pump the water out, pipe it out to the base, treat it with granular-activated carbon, and our experience in Santa Fe is that nothing is going to get through that, and then inject the treated water, which will be of drinking water quality, back into the aquifer. And the Air Force is also going to use some for landscape irrigation and dust control.

So here's the diagram from that view of collapsing the plume. And here's that ethylene dibromide plume. Here's the very first extraction well. And our locations of the other extraction wells are not determined yet because we haven't put in those 16 other wells and we don't know how deep the plume is at that location.

Now, the first one that's going in should be operational by the end of June. That's the location right there. They'll put in a conveyance system from that extraction well onto the base, the pipe, double pipe system, leak detection system. They're going to put a granular-activated carbon system in there, which indiscriminately removes all contaminants that have carbon, organic contaminants.

And then they're going to put that treated water into an infiltration gallery, distributing it through the perched aquifer system. So the treated water is going to have to take a very torturous path to get from the infiltration gallery, go through the perched aquifer, then down to the regional aquifer that your well is pumped from.

And then, after we complete these 16

wells, get the extraction well and see how it performs, up to seven additional extraction wells will be put in in that dark red circle that's within that dissolved EDB plume.

So what does collapsing the plume actually mean? And this is an animation that was developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. You can see on the right are the Ridgecrest wells, and then -- I'm showing you this to show you what a collapsing plume looks like, and I'm also showing it to you to illustrate this very powerful modeling tool that we can use to locate the wells. And they're not all going to pump at a hundred gallons per minute. We're going to optimize the pumping so that we can move this stuff back to the source area and move it away from your water supply wells.

So here's the animation. Now, if you look at -- look at the dissolved EDB, that green up there by the wells. You can see initially, some of the dissolved phase moves towards those extraction wells, then it get pulled back. And this is very important. Anything we do there, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to be moving stuff around. We're going moving dissolved phase,

inducing hydraulic gradients, and we're going to capture and we're going to be transferring contaminants from phases, from LNAPL into aqueous phase, and so on. And so if you saw that, you can now see that the extraction wells on the right-hand side are clean, and we've extracted -- this is the view from here, is underground. You're looking up at the bottom of the plume. The gray area is the land surface. So you're buried deep underground. I'm going to play this for you again.

So you're actually looking upwards into the rest, looking at the belly of this plume. So you can see that dissolved EDB is being extracted and it's being just pulled out of the aquifer. So this is not the configuration we're going to use, but I wanted to show you this as one -- there's going to be a lot more modeling before we decide where to locate these other seven wells and how many gallons per minute to pump them. But this is a very powerful tool that we're going to use to do that.

Strategy 3, this is the most technically challenging. How are we going to get the LNAPL out of the groundwater. We don't know how we're

going to do it. We are vetting out technologies, screening them for suitability and interim measures, and then the ones that look promising, we'll scale up laboratory and field testing and hopefully ends up with the answer on how we're going to do this. This is going to be a very expensive, a very technically challenge product.

This is what the LNAPL looks like.

These are core samples on the left. You can see the core in visible light. If you hit it with ultraviolet, the oil actually florescences. And so that blue is the oil that's been trapped by the water table. You can see the green is the water table. And those right side bars are about 1 foot in length.

One possible option is to do -institute treatment, either with biological agents
or chemical agents, to create a circulation cell
and destroy the LNAPL in place. That's one of
many options that's being looked at right now. On
the lower right, you can see the laboratory
technician doing some microcosm studies, where you
take samples of the water and soil, put it in a
bottle and add amendments and see what happens,
what the bacteria do.

Soil available cleanup, this has been ongoing since 2003. And the Air Force has recovered half-a-million gallons of fuel by vacuuming the vapor out of the soil. And we're going to be shutting the system down in middle to late February and see whether we get rebound. And we're going to go attack those hot spots that rebound. And we're actually going to measure the generation of CO_2 and the consumption of oxygen to see how the bacteria are biodegrading this. And by the end of the year, hopefully by fall or so, we should have a much more robust vapor extraction system. It will be designed based on the results of this testing.

extraction. It's basically apply vacuum in the soil above the water. You extract the vapors and you treat it. And this process has to be permitted by the City of Albuquerque Environment Health Department, so we're not going to be taking a ground level soil problem and making it your problem out of it.

And Strategy Number 5, we're going to meet and exceed all the public requirements of the law. We do meetings, we have a list of -- we have

field trips, and we talk to anybody who invites

us. The next public meeting that the Air Force is

holding, it's on March 12th at the

African-American Performing Arts Center by the

state fairgrounds. And our next field trip will be on Saturday, April 18th.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, I have a number of questions, but the first one that comes to mind is, how did the NMED go out and get information? What kind of outreach did you do, the meetings that you talked about?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, Councillor

Garduno, we have published these work plans on our website and we published the work plan approvals on our website. Jill Turner is our information officer. We posted all appropriate plans. If you want to see the plans and specs, and the Gantt charts, that's all available.

The public meetings are a big part of this. And we are putting the strategic plan out for public comment.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: How many of those

meetings have you had?

MR. MCQUILLAN: The Air Force holds quarterly meetings, and we participate in those. We also have had a number of meetings with neighborhood associations, at their invitation.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: What do guess is the number of folks that attend these?

MR. MCQUILLAN: We have had 50 to 100 maybe at some of the meetings. We had a very well attended meeting out at West Mesa where there were a number of neighborhood associations represented.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And I've been through virtually every one of them, I think. At least I hope I have.

I wanted to go also to, well, Page 4 in our printout. But it's the slide that has the -- the scaled -- or rather -- I think it's RCRA corrective action process. And it has an assumed start point and an end point. But can you tell us what those points are.

MS. ROBERTS: So, Councillor, that's a little bit of a difficult question to answer. We're moving as quickly as possible because we do understand how critical a project this is. Right now, Dennis actually alluded to some of the dates

that we have for the shut-down work plan being implemented. Hopefully mid February to late February.

But in terms of completion and final implementation of a run date, that's a very difficult assessment to make at this time. I don't like to speculate.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: But when I see a graph like this, that leads me to believe there is a start point and an end point. And was this sort of put together just to show that there's going to be progression at one point or another?

MS. ROBERTS: Correct. It's supposed to just give a general idea of the corrective action process and generally speaking, where we are.

These four boxes on the top, they give you -- they're general assessments of the individual phases of the corrective action process.

So site assessment and characterization has been going on at this site for quite some time. But in terms of a final resolution, it's going -- it's going to be quite some time before we get there.

MR. MCQUILLAN: And, Councillor Garduno,

Madam Chair, if I may. The individual work plans

have more specific start and ending dates. We have a work plan right now in place for the drilling of the 16 additional wells and the installation of the first extraction well that have very specific dates.

The soil vapor extraction upgrades will have three different work plans, and we should be getting the first one for the shut-down and rebound testing I think by today or tomorrow. And the information gathered from that testing will be used to develop the second work plan, and so on.

And then, after the drilling is completed, we'll get another -- we'll plan for three additional extraction wells. And then in 2016, four additional extraction wells. So we should have eight in place.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, if I may.

The thing that I want to be very careful about is that when I go to neighborhood association meetings or any other kind of meeting and constituents, because they are the ones that live on top of this spill, and if they were to see something like this, they would react just like I'm reacting, and that is, it sounds like characterization has occurred at this point and

```
continues, and you've mentioned a second ago that
1
2
    characterizations has been going on for a while,
 3
    and for -- if I recollection is correct, I know
    about it, that it's been going on for five years.
4
 5
              So if it's five years, then just sort of
6
    a glance at this would lead me to believe that
7
    that would be another 25 years before we get to
    implementing corrective measures. So I don't know
8
9
    if we should be careful that we put something like
    this out and lead people to think, like I'm
10
11
    thinking, that corrective actions will occur in 20
12
    years, for two reasons. One is, that's a long
    time before it starts. And it is other is, if
13
14
    it's not going to be finished at that point, then
15
    I think we could be misleading people.
16
              So that's just a reaction and an
    observation that I just had.
17
18
              And, Madam Chair, I would be glad to
    yield the floor if somebody else has other
19
20
    questions, because I have some other ones.
21
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor, why
22
    don't you continue.
23
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay.
                                       Thank you.
              And thank you for the presentation.
24
```

think this, up to this point, has been most

25

elucidating than we've had in the past. Because in the past, I think it's been a lot of verbiage about characterization and all those things, which I'm sure had to happen. But at this point, it feels to me like at least we're getting to talk about end points, even though they're hard to pin down.

So you mentioned that you will not allow EDBs to get into the drinking water, whatever it is you have to do to make sure of that. And I guess my cynical question would be, what if it does get to that point? What is the alternative? What can we do?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Councillor Garduno, Madam Chair, the water utility has a contingency plan if that were to occur. And my -- addressing that, the well would shut down, and you're not going to consider treatment. If it affects a Kirtland well or a VA well, they have to comply with the drinking water standard, no matter what, because we enforce the Safe Drinking Water Act. We have primacy from EPA, and no matter what happens to anybody's well, the water system has to comply with the drinking water act, Safe Drinking Water Act. It would be up to them to decide whether to

shut it down or to, in the case of the VA, to buy water from you all as opposed to treatment.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So did I hear you correct? The one remedy that we have, if you want to call it a remedy, is to shut down the wells?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Yes, sir.

So we're now going to shut off whatever the numbers are, you hear all kinds of things, from 25 percent to 30 percent of the water that is being right now used throughout the service area from those wells. And it would lead me to believe that we would be closing down about 25 to 30 percent production and going somewhere else. And we know that going somewhere else takes us to other wells or other fields, water fields, that are -- have other contaminants, like arsenic, and that's why we're not using them.

So it's not a real good solution, I don't think, to say, well, we'll just cut them off and make sure that no EDBs get in the water.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Councillor Garduno, if I may refer to the slide of the animation. This plume, the fuel may have started leaking in the '50s, and we don't know exactly when it hit the groundwater, but it's probably somewhere about 35 to 50 years

ago. So in 35 to 50 years, we have a plume, and you can see that green. And one of the things we decided to do was the have the monitors shift their -- the computer monitors shift their attention from modeling how many decades it's going to take to reach one of the wells, to modeling various configurations of extraction wells we can put in to pull it away from the wells.

And that's why I'm saying to you tonight, we're not going to let it hit anybody's well. If we can capture, extract this dissolved, EDB, it's going to buy us more time. Because we're going to be pulling it away from the wells and not let it continue to migrate towards your wells. We're going to be watching these wells very closely.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair.

So you would feel comfortable with me answering questions of constituents when they say, "When is this going to be taken care of?" or even, more pointedly, "Will this ever close down Ridgecrest wells?"

And I would be safe to say, "It will never close Ridgecrest wells"?

MR. MCQUILLAN: You can refer to us,

Councillor, Madam Chairman. And if you trust your

technical staff, and you have some great people

working for the utility -- you saw that second

slide with the ten different agencies of

expertise, we're saying we're not going to let it

hit the well. We're going to put in this capture

system. This was -- also, I should point out,

this is a ten-year animation.

So although I appreciate, Councillor, your concern about how long is this going to take, the first extraction well is going to go in this summer. It should be up and running. So then we'll go in and we're going to pull this staff away from the wells.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And so the timeline for the wells, extraction wells?

MR. MCQUILLAN: The timeline for the extraction wells, Madam Chair, Councillor Garduno, this well goes in, becomes operational -- in fact, the first well will probably be started in --

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I see the -- I see the derrick in this.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Yes, sir. And there will be four rigs drilling on Monday. And that extraction

well, the first extraction well will be one of the wells drilled by the mobilization that's occurred. And then -- then we're going to put in three more extraction wells by the end of this year. We'll have four wells running by the end of this year.

Now -- and we're going to use all that data to locate four more extraction wells, indicated by those green lines there, by the end of -- or sometime during 2016. And I'm -- in my professional judgment, we've got a lot of -- as Cathy said, we -- this plan respects a lot of work by a lot of experts. We just have the privilege and honor of presenting it to you tonight.

I am confident, if we can get these extraction wells in, we're going to keep it out of anybody's water supply. The VA well is actually the one that's closest to the plume. And the most recent data show that the plume is moving to the northeast, away from the VA well.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So -- Madam Chair, can I pursue that for just a second?

Are you saying that now the plume is being collapsed -- I think is the term you used -- away from Ridgecrest and away from VA?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, Councillor

Garduno, the plume will be collapsed away from the wells by these eight extraction wells. We're going to go into the heart of the plume, extract it, and pull the contamination by pumping back to the dry LNAPL area. That's going to buy us time as we figure out how to attack the LNAPL.

Right now, it appears, based on the quarterly sample that's being done at the monitoring wells, that the plume is already moving away from the VA well.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: That's good, good news.

Madam Chair.

The only other thing at the moment that I would like to pursue is that you mention that the SVEs have been extracting the vadose and the vapor and being burned off. I think the SVEs have been shut down for quite a while. And I don't know, maybe Kirtland can answer that question.

But it was a gap of time when the SVE were not being operated for I guess a myriad of reasons, but one of them was that they weren't that effective. And the other one was that I think some of the machinery was not as powerful as they thought it was going to be, and therefore they shut it down. So I don't know if that's being

effective and whether that's going on right now.

And then the other thing that I wanted to mention, Madam Chair, and you know full well that area is right now, very concerned.

And Madam Chair and myself represent that area, and we just, I think at many times, find ourselves in a place where we're playing defense with an offense team. And it doesn't work out that well. Because I think a lot of times, at least for myself, I do get pinned down and I don't have a good answer. This goes a long ways towards that. But I want to make sure that when I say any of these things that it is something that you feel really comfortable about saying.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Councillor Garduno, Madam Chair, I do. I think there are a lot of other experts that feel the same way. Colonel Lanning was the first one who said, "We're not going to let it" -- "we don't care about the modeling, or do this or do that, we're not going to let it hit the wells." And that's been our marching orders at the Air Force level, at my level. And I'm pretty darn confident, if we can get this system constructed, we're going to pull it away from the wells, and that's going to buy us time to decide

what to do with the LNAPL.

Your question, sir, on the soil vapor extraction, we are aware that the system has not been running as actively as possible. It has had a number of shutdowns and startups. It will be replaced with a far more robust system this year.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, I think I mislead you when I said it was the last question. One other question.

And it's -- based on the fact that you said there may be some differences, and therefore, like closing down wells, what kind of pressure does that put on the water authority to expend some of their own money to make some of these things work, like moving over to another well where arsenic has to be removed?

And throughout this whole conversation, it's been, at least my recollection, that the Air Force has said on many occasions that they own the problem and that they will make sure that everything is taken care of and paid for.

And I just don't want to find out sometime in the future where, like you said, the VA well becomes well and they start using that and they say, "Well, that other stuff over there is

not really our problem." So how do we make sure that that doesn't happen?

2.0

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, Councillor

Garduno, I can't speak for the water utility, what
the operations people will do in the unlikely
event that the well becomes contaminated. But I
can tell you we're going to keep it out of your
wells.

With regard to the VA, they only have one well. It's continuing to operate, and it's remarkable that it hasn't pulled it in. I think it's because it really doesn't pump a whole lot compared to the other production wells in the region.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor

Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I just have a couple of comments.

First of all, I want to thank you both for being here. I was at the meeting with the West Side Coalition when you presented. And the secretary was here when I was in the water authority two years ago. I've served on this board many years. I didn't serve last year, but

I'm glad to be back on this board.

I want to thank the secretary for his work. He said this was going to be a priority, and he has made it a priority. So I want you to send that message to the secretary, that we're very pleased. And when I see this plan in place, I think we're all taking this very, very seriously and I think moving in the right direction.

And Councillor Garduno was stating, you know, we've heard a lot of talk, and it's been a lot of talk. But it's been a lot of planning at the same time. And I want to thank our staff for their work and working on these plans. These things take a lot of time. And this goes back, you know, years and years ago, and now we're finally trying to get this problem cleaned up. And we can't give any specific dates. But I'm pleased to see the work that being done, especially now with the drilling of these wells and, you know, protecting the residents of the community and protecting our water. I think it's vital and important to the citizens of this city.

But, again, I think this plan is a plan that will send us and move us in the right direction. I want to thank you for being here.

1 MR. MCQUILLAN: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Thank you. I also want to say thank you for being here. I want to compliment you on your new graphics. I think these are some -- you know, over the five years, they've really improved, especially in the last few months, in making these issues visible. Like this one, I think, is exceptional. So thank you for that. I think I might need to invite you back. You came to one of my neighborhood meetings. And I think, again, this data is so well represented, I'd like to maybe have the opportunity to invite you back and --

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, I accept.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I will do that.

Thank you.

I understand that -- I don't know the exact term, but the qualified expert that has been promised by the Air Force, that person may be appointed sometime soon, by the end of the month perhaps. Do you have any information about that?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, we have the Air Force here, but I'll tell you what I know, is that the advertising is closed and they have a number of -- they have a hire list and they have I think

four candidates that may be suitable.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Okay.

MR. MCQUILLAN: They haven't accepted anybody yet.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Do you know if when that person arrives if Dr. Bodour will leave us?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, my understanding is that Dr. Bodour is going to stay on the project.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Good. That's really good news, because I think she's been a tremendous factor in this progress that we see today.

I want to say thank you for addressing the data gaps. I mean, I know that is part of the strategic plan. I think for a period of time there was some question about whether those existed. I know our staff always insisted that there was some information that was needed, and I want to thank you for recognizing that and taking steps to fill those gaps.

So on the LNAPL cleanup, that's shown as a goal for 2015, or at least some work on the plan for that, do you have a schedule, so like an

expectation of when there might be a decision made about what the appropriate treatment would be.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Yes, Madam Chair, that's a really good question. We have required that the Air Force submit a work plan 90 days after the completion of the new drilling that's going to go into the LNAPL area.

Yeah, the LNAPL I think we need to be really cautious not to go charging in here without having really good data, because we can make things worse. And I think capturing and collapsing this dissolved phase is going to buy us time to do that. But we need to be really cautious.

We also don't want to make the Air Force spend \$500 million doing something that's not effective.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Right. So you say 90 days after the drilling of which well?

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, this would be 90 days after completion of the pilot testing and the drilling of the new SVE wells later this year. And we're to be getting core samples, continuous core, using sonic rig, through the LNAPL area, and will be running test on the LNAPL that haven't

been done before. And we'll have a better idea of
where the LNAPL is and learn about the physical
and chemical properties of it. And at that point,
we'll be in a much better position to go and

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: So would you expect that in 2015?

attack it.

MR. MCQUILLAN: I think, Madam Chair, the schedules, the way that they are now, we should probably get the work plan around the end of this year.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Okay. So it could be 90 days of that, so 2016? Okay.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, yeah. We could either get the work plan around the end of the year or shortly into 2016.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Okay.

MR. MCQUILLAN: But we should have full extraction wells pulling the dissolve phase away from the well, which is going to give us all a little comfort and buy us time and make us more comfortable om giving you sort of assurance and your constituents that we're not going to let this hit your well.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: That is great.

And I really appreciate your focus on the dissolve phase. I mean, going back five years, when CH2M HILL give its presentation here, that was one of my questions, dissolve phase. And I think that has always been a priority for the water utility. So I appreciate you starting with that. So that's great.

So just one last question. So in the strategic plan, there's some goals for 2015. You have specific measures for performance on those, unambiguous measures for whether or not the Air Force has met those goals.

MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, I think we do in terms of the -- some of the work plans, and you can see these on our website, are very prescriptive. Drill 16 wells. The screen is going to be set and these will be put in and now there are teeth attached to some of these work plans. And we're being as prescriptive as we can.

The one thing we haven't been as prescriptive is how deep are the deep wells. And until they find the bottom of the plume, we're not going to know. The well that's being drilled over about Louisiana and Gibson, the one that Councillor Garduno referenced today, during

unannounced inspection, if they don't find the bottom of the plume, if they still find EDB above standards, they to drill a fifth well. And if that well comes up dirty, they have to drill a sixth well. And so everything is tied in the future to the information we're gathering today.

And I think you're right, Madam Chair, when the Air Force Civil Engineer center came in in July, it's a different ball game. They have some world-class experts. I know your experts, Enterra is sitting at the table, your staff, you know, we've got some great people on board, consultants, so everybody is working together on this.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I have to say I have seen just 180-degree difference since AFCEC has been on the project, so I think that's great.

And the reason I raise that issue about unambiguous measures is, if you recall in 2013, there was an agreement between the Air Force and NMED about certain goals that were to be met.

There was -- in the end.

So, for example, the expansion of the SVE system, I think the expectation that many of us had was very different from what actually

occurred in the ground. And so I think that is where it would be great to say in very specific terms what it means to have met those goals. So I appreciate that you are really putting a lot of effort into that.

So with that, that is the end of my questions. If there are no others, I, again, just want to say thank you for being here and a very good presentation.

MS. ROBERTS: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, I will certainly pass along your comments to the secretary. I know he'll be happy to hear that.

And, Councillor Garduno, just to follow up on your comment about having information, we are here for you to make sure to arm you with the information that you need to help convey that to your constituents. So anytime you need us to present or be present to answer questions, we are certainly happy to do so. So thank you for having us.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Is there contact information anywhere in here? I didn't see

1 anything. 2 MR. MCQUILLAN: Madam Chair, Councillor 3 Garduno, my contact information is on the front page of the strategic plan. Comments, we welcome 4 5 comments from everybody. Comments should be 6 there. My e-mail is there. I can give you my 7 office phone number if you'd like that. COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: No, e-mail is fine. 8 MR. MCQUILLAN: Okay. 9 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. are now on OB-14-20, response plan to surface 12 water contamination events. 13 14 MR. LEDER: Thank you, Madam Chair. My name 15 is Charlie Leder. I manage plant operation 16 divisions for the water authority, and that includes water production and wastewater treatment 17 18 operations. 19 In a previous meeting, there was a 20 gentleman from the public that expressed some 21 interest in how the water authority would respond 22 to an event in case our surface water supply 23 became contaminated. And it's a good question,

because we do need to be prepared for such

occurrences. My presentation tonight is going to

24

25

focus specifically on our response plan to surface water source contamination.

This briefing considers our response to two different types of contamination that could occur. One is natural events, that is algal blooms that impact source water quality. The citizen that I remember specifically referenced what happened to the city of Toledo's water supply when there was an algal bloom, little green algae that raised some problems with Lake Eerie water, treating it.

And then also there's a possibility of events caused by manmade features. For example, what would happen if one of the pipeline crossings across the Rio Grande broke and began contaminating river water? What would our response plan be?

Well, a couple things to remember here. Depending on the season and river flow conditions, the surface water facility normally supplies between 50 and 80 percent of customer demand. The balance is being supplied by groundwater. Also, if needed groundwater can supply 100 percent demand.

Let's talk about what would happen with

an algal bloom. An algal bloom is kind of a slowly occurring event. The first thing we'd so is we'd shut off our intake pumps at the river. We would temporarily run our system using 100 percent groundwater, and we would resume withdrawals from the river when river conditions improved. It would be pretty easy to implement. I want to point out that if we observed a fish-kill event in our storage water ponds at the water treatment plant, we have the same response planned. So to natural events, we've got a pretty good plan in place. Let's look at what might happen if there was a pipeline crossing break of the Rio Grande. First of all, if you're not aware, there are a

Let's look at what might happen if there was a pipeline crossing break of the Rio Grande.

First of all, if you're not aware, there are a number of petroleum product pipelines that cross the Rio Grande. They're all about 15 miles upstream from where our intake is. They're in a little cluster. It's about two to three miles north of the Bernalillo bridge that carries Route 550 over the Rio Grande.

If that were to occur, we would get a notification from the Sandoval County Emergency Operations Center, and, as before, our first response to be to shut off the river, stop taking

in water from the river, and temporarily run our of system using 100 percent groundwater.

Once the condition cleared and we were sure that the contamination event had passed, then we could resume withdrawal from the river and begin using our surface water plant again.

Believe it or not, we actually do participate in tabletop drills such as that so that we are prepared. And we do have some practice in responding to this type of emergency.

That's basically it, how we would handle contamination events. For any questions that you may have, I'd be happy to answer them at this time.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Jones.

COUNCILLOR JONES: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Mr. Leder, I'm not sure if this would apply to a contamination, but we literally had some issues when the Conchas fire floods hit and we had all the runoff. Did that come into play in this, or is it more of a -- did it affect our drinking water portion of the river?

MR. LEDER: The water authority -- Madam
Chair and Councillor Jones, the water authority's
plan to respond -- our response to the Conchas

```
fire was essentially the same thing.
1
                                          We were
2
    treating the water and when it became clear
 3
    that -- and we were doing a good job of it, too, I
    might add in terms of cleaning up of the
4
    additional of settlement load that resulted from
5
    the fire. When it became clear that it was not
6
7
    cost effective to continue, we simply shut off our
    river pumps and began supplying the system with
8
9
    groundwater.
10
              So it's the same type of event. When it
11
    becomes apparent that it's just not a good water
12
    source to put it into our system or attempting to
13
    treat, we turn off our pumps.
14
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Please continue.
15
           COUNCILLOR JONES: Thank you. So this plan
    can be put into effect for all sorts of causes,
16
17
    not just a catastrophic contamination issue?
18
    mean, it sounds like this is a pretty good plan
19
    and we've proven it works already.
2.0
          MR. LEDER: Thank you. That's -- appreciate
    the compliment.
21
22
          COUNCILLOR JONES:
                              Thank you.
23
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right.
24
    other questions?
```

Thank you very much for coming in. 1

know this has been a question for some people for 1 2 a long time, so I appreciate your presentation. 3 MR. LEDER: My pleasure. Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. 5 are now on water use and conservation update. 6 Katherine Yuhas. 7 MS. YUHAS: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, you've been looking at these drought maps 8 9 for a long, long time. And you may not see any 10 more of them this year. That is the good news, I 11 hope. 12 If you look at Bernalillo County, you'll 13 see that it's tan. Tan represents moderate 14 drought. And this is the prediction for the 15 precipitation for the month of February. 16 New Mexico is all green and there's an "A." means above average precipitation. 17 18 And this is for the next three months, 19 so February, March and April. And, again, 20 New Mexico is all green, with that big A, so the 21 expectation is above average precipitation. 22 the drought map on the right, which if you locate 23 New Mexico, you can see is now all kind of tan and 24 green and white, and that represents improving

drought conditions or removal of drought

25

conditions in New Mexico by the end of April.

And if you look at the map on the top left, that's where we were last January, where it was all brown and yellow and either a drought is there or is developing. So we're in a much different situation than we were last year.

And now on to the good news for 2014.

Our GPCD for 2014 was 134 gallons per person per day. That's down two gallons per person per day from 2013, when we were at 136.

And this is done using the calculator from the state engineer's office that we are required to use and we have used for the about the past ten years.

This is just some other statistics that we have on water use. This is showing where our water goes. The water that we sold, we used it.

About 55 percent is single-family residential,

18 percent is multi-family, 17 percent commercial,

5 percent parks and golf and athletic fields,

4 percent is institutional, so our schools,

hospitals, and then 1 percent for industrial.

And then this is just a breakdown showing indoor and outdoor water use before the conservation program started and then last year.

And while both categories have gone down, indoor and outdoor has gone down, outdoor has gone down in the most. A map is really where we've been targeting. We don't get that outdoor water back to reuse, to put back to the river, to use for irrigation. And so we've really been targeting that, to get that down. So we're seeing that that's been very effective.

And this last slide is just another thing we track in terms of conservation, and that's peak-day usage. And this is in the millions of gallons. So prior to the beginning of the conservation program, the peak day was over 200 million gallons in a day. And last year, the peak day was 143 million gallons in a day. And that's great for conservation. But it's also great because we didn't need to build additional infrastructure to deliver that extra water to our customers had we continued to use at that high rate.

And then the last thing to look at on this is that the peak day is now a month later.

And by having that peak day a month later, it means that our customers are starting to have that really high usage later in the year. That

```
1
    encourages savings also. The later you start, the
 2
    less time there is to continue with that high
    water use.
 3
              And those are all my slides. I'd be
 4
    happy to answer any questions, if you have them.
 5
 6
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor
 7
    Garduno.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair.
 8
              And, Katherine, thanks a lot for the
 9
10
    presentation. On your circle graph that you have,
11
    about three in, slides, and it has the
12
    percentages, it says parks, golf and athletic
13
    fields. Is that city, city and county, city
14
    county, private?
15
           MS. YUHAS: That is city, county, private,
16
    any entity that has an irrigation-only account.
17
    And so irrigation-only accounts can be any one of
18
    our customers.
19
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So you're aggregating
20
    all those?
21
           MS. YUHAS: They're aggregated all into that
22
    one category, that's correct.
23
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Same thing with every
24
    other category, as it were, that you have in here?
25
           MS. YUHAS: That is correct.
```

```
COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: It applies?
 1
 2
          MS. YUHAS: Uh-huh.
 3
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So when it talks about
    institutional, you're talking about UNM, city,
 4
 5
    county, Intel, whomever?
 6
           COUNCILLOR JONES: Other than Intel, yes,
 7
    uh-huh.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Why isn't Intel in
 8
 9
    there?
10
           MS. YUHAS: Intel would be under commercial
11
    or industrial, and we don't give them water, as
12
    far as I know.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And then just one
13
14
    other -- when it says multi-families, you're
15
    talking apartment buildings, primarily?
16
           MS. YUHAS: Anything that says three or
    bigger falls under multi-family.
17
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. Thank you.
18
              Thank you, Madam Chair.
19
20
           CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right.
    further questions?
21
              Thank you very much, Ms. Yuhas.
22
23
              Thank you to staff. And with that, this
24
    meeting is adjourned.
25
              (Proceedings adjourned.)
```

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, Paul Baca, New Mexico Certified Court
7	Reporter, No. 112, do hereby certify that I
8	reported the foregoing proceedings in stenographic
9	shorthand and the pages are a true and correct
10	transcript of those proceedings and were reduced
11	to printed form under my direct supervision.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
13	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
14	attorneys in this case and that I have no interest
15	in the final disposition of this case.
16	
17	
18	PAUL BACA
19	Certified Court Reporter No. 112 License Expires: 12/31/15
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	