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CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Good evening. I would like to call to order the meeting of the Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority for March 18, 2015.

Please let the record reflect that Councillor Rey Garduno and Trustee Pablo Rael are both excused. Councillor Benton will serve as Councillor Garduno's alternate. Otherwise, all members are present.

We will have a moment of silence, and then the Pledge of Allegiance, led by Councillor Benton.
(Whereupon, there was a moment of silence.)
(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councillor Isaac Benton.)

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. We are on approval of the minutes. I move we approve the February 25th, 2015, meeting minutes. Is there a second?

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All in favor, say aye.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?

That motion passes unanimously.
(6-0 vote. Agenda Item 3 approved.)
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have no proclamations, no awards tonight. So we're on public comment.

Ms. Jenkins, how many people do we have signed up?

MS. JENKINS: We have two.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Just a reminder, each speaker will have three minutes to speak. A bell will sound when two minutes -two and a half minutes has lapsed, giving you 30 seconds to wrap up.

So, Ms. Jenkins, could you call the first speaker.

MS. JENKINS: Elaine Hebbard, followed by David McCoy.

MR. MCCOY: Good evening. Dave McCoy at Citizen Action. You have a resolution tonight and it was discussed at the water protection advisory board to some degree. And I'd like to point out a few additional things, some things that $I$ read on the resolution and the words that are important.

One of the things is that in the mixed-waste landfill, there was over 300,000

1 pounds of depleted uranium and uranium-235, which 2 was dumped in the landfill. And this uranium has 3 caught fire on two occasions in the past.

Now, if you have cannisters with metallic sodium and them in high level waste that come in contact with water, you can have an explosion, and that can ignite a fire. Such a fire would be surrounded by many other types of waste and could be a real problem for Albuquerque. We've all seen the kind of problems that result when waste is not cleaned up in a timely fashion. The Kirtland jet fuel spill being one such example, and the kind of cost and cleanup difficulties that result when things are not cleaned up appropriately.

The mixed-waste landfill never had an appropriate inventory done. I told you I had seen many inventory sheets. Those ran from the early '60s through 1985, but they did not provide inventory sheets from 1986 to '89, when the dump closed, and there was a lot of activity, as indicated by memoranda, during that period of things that went into the mixed-waste landfill that are simply unknown to us.

It's the unknowns that make sites so

1 dangerous to us. You know, kitty litter at WIPP, 2 who would guess, an impossible situation, right?

COUNCILLOR BENTON: And I've been hearing about the mixed-waste landfill since $I$ first ran for city council, and I know it obviously has been going on -- or it's been in place a lot longer than that, and the awareness about it has been going on long before that.
But in -- just like, briefly, help the board here understand. What have the Feds said about that most recently? What have been the responses to the concerns? Everything's okay?

MR. MCCOY: Well, the most recent thing was a poster show put on by Sandia National

1 Laboratories, and the poster show was most notable
2 for what it left out. It did not discuss the fact that 270,000 gallon of reactor wastewater had been placed in the mixed-waste landfill. It didn't discuss the fact that berms that surrounded the landfill were washed away and there was pooling of water at the facility. It didn't discuss that the trenches and pits were left open for dates and water from powerful storms surged in there.

So these kind of things have completely been ignored. There have ignored the fact that there was a high level nuclear waste put in there from a nuclear meltdown experiments conducted in the reactor at Sandia.

They only represented to the public all along it was low-level mixed-waste landfill. And the disposal sheets of toxic and radioactive material simply are contrary to that assertion. And there's thousands of pages of that that show high-level fissionable waste that's been left in the mixed-waste landfill.

I could go on, but does that satisfy some of the need? Thank you.

MS. JENKINS: Elaine Hebbard.
MS. HEBBARD: Good afternoon. My name is

1 Elaine Hebbard and I would like to make some 2 comments on today's agenda package and then end up 3 with an invitation.

Right now, it's . 64 percent of the water progresses. So I would suggest having a goal, increasing that, reducing unbilled water and other such inclusions, such as the scarcity of water when you're disclosing what you have.

Also, linking land use and water by including the updated water budget model and having it operate hopefully with the update in the comprehensive plan.

And, finally, suggesting that there be a cost/benefit analysis for long projects such as the ASR. The board has asked for a cost on that and I don't think it has ever seen one, nor where water will come for current users of water that

1 will go into the ASR projects.

I would suggest that the item on the consent agenda for the water budget model be pulled off the agenda just so that you can talk about persons that are listed in that as experts who are with the scientific water budget task force. Because it would seem to me that that also fulfills the need for that professional CAC change that is being made. So maybe looking at it together.

And speaking of which, none of the suggestions that were made by the board were ever entered into the new or different resolution. The resolution is the same as it was presented last year, as far as I can -- last month, as far as I can tell.

Finally, when you have large proposals, such as the Santolina proposal, when there is a letter of capability of providing water to that, I would suggest that that also come before this board for approval or some sort of action before it's just provided to the developer, and that development agreements not only be concerned about pipes, et cetera, but also the impact that providing that water will have to the rest of the

1 region.

So then I'm going to wrap up and say that on Saturday, there is a free event, "Climate Disruption in Our Water Future: Mitigate, Adapt, or Suffer." And that's sort of a riff on the current science advisor to the president.

But we will be looking at a lot of different consequences to climate variations there and asking for a lot of input. It is March 21st, 923 at Dane Smith Hall at UNM. More details, water assembly dot org.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you. Commissioner De La Cruz.

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I disagree with at least some of what the last speaker talked about. The reality is that the water authority, as part of any development process or request, is often asked as a matter of fact as to whether what is available, what water. Water authority either owns a certain amount of water or it doesn't own a certain amount of water.

And so the idea that the board would hear it, a statement of fact that needs to be

1 provided as a matter of public interest and needed 2 information, so what is this board to do? If 3 there's some level of political will, we say, "We 4 really don't have water"? We either have it or we 5 don't have it. We either have a certain volume of 6 water or we don't have it. It's at simple as 7 that.

Thank you, Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
Any other questions, comments?
All right. Seeing none, now we're on announcements. Item 9C, which is $\mathrm{R}-15-7$, will be postponed at the request of the sponsor, Councillor Garduno. That will be postponed to our next meeting.

Our next scheduled meeting will be April 22nd, 2015, 5:00 p.m., here in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.

So we are now on introduction of legislation. The first is $\mathrm{R}-15-8 . \mathrm{Mr}$. Roth.

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, in front you today is the FY16 goals and objectives for introduction.

The goals and objectives are part of the water authority's strategic planning budget

1 improvement process. As you can see, it starts 2 with the goals and objectives, which are tied to the measures and performance plans, which measures our progress from year to year, as well as measuring our progress against your peers in the benchmarking performance indicator survey through the American Water Works Association.

In addition to all this quantitative data, we also have qualitative input from your customers through a customer opinion survey that we do every two years. This all helps guide our budget process, which will be introduced at the next meeting.

We've also been looking at the EPA's objective utility management program, which is a comprehensive self-assessment process to look at gaps of areas of improvement.

We also receive outside input from your customer advisory committee on a monthly basis on the water authority's plans, projects and policy. And then, more recently, in the last two years, customer conversations, which is done on a quarterly process. And we've received over 1400 comments in the last two years on that process, which are focus groups that look at both long-term

1 and short-term issues facing the water authority. 21 through the benchmarking and effective utility

In front of you are the objectives for tonight, which are sorted by the long-range goal areas. We have five goal areas and we have guiding goal statements for each goal area, which communicates the desired outcome in each goal area.

We also have 26 performance measures that help measure our progress in each of these goal areas, 26 in all. And we use these performance measures to identify gaps in operations, service delivery, and then we address those gaps through the objectives process, which allows you, as a board, to set policy directives to address these gaps.

The objectives for this fiscal year include implementation of plans and programs, incorporate areas of improvement identified management program. Many of these objectives are carried over from this fiscal year because we're inputting a new phase or continuing to work with some pilot programs. And these objectives are All this to
authority.

1 tied to resources contained in the proposed 2 budget, which will be at your next meeting.

8 customer conversations. And, of course, 21 facilities. Maintain our water use at 134 per operations, where we want to be more official or effective in what we do in terms of providing service to our customers.

I'll identify some of the key objectives in each of these goal areas. Alluding to the water goal area, we have many in terms of operations, including improving our planned maintenance of those facilities; continuing your work in terms of leak detection and beginning a process to look at our large valves, and, of course, continual work with our asset management planning and specifically the groundwater capita, and begin the process of updating our water resource management strategy.

In the wastewater goal area, in terms of operations, we want to continue our work in

1 televising the sewer lines, begin -- continue our 2 work with the pilot programs that we've done in terms of the sewer cleaning. At your wastewater treatment plant, make sure we have five or less discharge permit violations. And continue to work with our asset management plan, job rehabilitation of that facility. And continue our planned maintenance at that facility as well.

In the customer service goal area, we want to continue our work with our customer conversations in the next fiscal year, as well as conduct our customer opinion survey.

In operations, we want to maintain our call wait time, and also continue our work in the smart water use smart meter program, and begin to implement our web self-service program for our customers.

In the business planning and management area, we're going to be adding $\$ 3$ million more to our rehab program and continue our development of the comprehensive energy master plan, as well as implement our work order mobile solution.

And lastly, in the last goal area, maintain a 6 percent vacancy rate, maintain our low injury hours, and begin to continue our work
with updating our standard operating procedures, and continue our work with our training program.

With that, are there any questions?
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Members, any questions, comments?

Seeing none, thank you.
All right. We are now on approval of the consent agenda. I move we approve the items on the consent agenda.

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Second by Commissioner De La Cruz. All in favor, say aye.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
That motion passes unanimously.
(6-0 vote. Agenda Item 8 approved.)
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on approvals, starting with $\mathrm{R}-15-5$. Mr. Roth.

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, this resolution was introduced at the January meeting. Before that, the customer advisory committee looked at the current provisions of the resolution and then looked at changes to the resolution and recommended -- you were unanimous in the recommendations at those two

1 meetings. So that was introduced at the January 2 meeting. Some changes were made at that meeting, 3 including clarification on what is considered a 4 quorum, which is now the majority of filled positions, and also replacing the word "should" with "shall" in the conflict of interest section. This resolution, some of the major changes is to add the term "technical" to the committee name to reflect the technical nature of reviewing and providing advice to the water authority's plans, projects and policies. The composition is a professional, technical group of volunteer individuals who represent the core functional areas of operating and water and wastewater utility and providing outside expertise and best practices for continuous business improvement.

With that, I'll stand for any questions. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you. Members, any questions, comments? All right. I move approval of R-15-5. COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Benton, do you have a question? COUNCILLOR BENTON: Yes. And I'm just

1 sitting in as a substitute tonight.

The technical-end customer, how does that interact? You know, I understand, you know, who customers are and I understand what technical expertise is, but how are customers as representatives opposed to technical viewpoints?

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Councillor Benton, this was -- as in terms of clarification, originally, the customer -- the resolution established a customer advisory committee. So the purpose of this resolution is clarification that this is based on technical expertise that we wish to obtain from our customer advisory committee.

Customers in general, we obtain feedback. Of course the customer opinion survey every two years. And also about 200 customers a year, through our customer conversations. So we have three sources of outside input from our customers.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: So my understanding is that the committee presently reaches out on a periodic basis to customers kind of in general community as opposed to technical expertise community; is that right?

MR. ROTH: Yes, that's correct, four times a

1 year.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: So quarterly, they have public meetings. What's the attendance like at those meetings, do you know?

MR. ROTH: We have 50 customers per meeting, and we've actually counted about 50 members so that we can properly facilitate the meetings. We have roundtable discussions, focus groups that engage in discussion. We have activities to understand some of the priorities that are coming from our customers.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: Right. So you limit it in order to have a more robust discussion?

MR. ROTH: That's correct.
COUNCILLOR BENTON: It's first come, first served; is that how it works?

MR. ROTH: Correct. And you register online or by phone, and usually we do have a waiting list. So we reach out, so usually all the customers who sign up get to attend one of those meetings.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: Well, I guess I just sort of wonder how the -- I still wonder how to customer fits in. Shouldn't it just be called the technical advisory committee, I mean, or whatever

1 you want to call it, the external technical -- I
2 know we have great technical people on the board 3 within the organization already, but shouldn't it

4 just be the technical advisory committee as
5 opposed to -- it seems somewhat confusing in terms 6 of the goal.

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair and Councillor Benton, we have a lot of technical advisory committees. Usually some of them are ad hoc. So I wouldn't want to confuse this TAC with another TAC. You can be more specific and call it "ratepayers," but customer is a more gentler term. COUNCILLOR BENTON: Right. So each of these technical advisors will have to have an area of expertise and will have to be a ratepayer?

MR. ROTH: The technical advisory committees, they're for specific purposes. They can be for selecting a vendor for a project. They can be looking at -- in the past, we had ATAC looking at the rate structure that was developed about ten years ago.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: So with regard to this committee, the customer technical advisory committee, in order to qualify for nomination to that committee, you need to be both, right? You

1 would need to be a customer of the utility and 2 have an area of expertise?

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Councillor Benton, that is correct. You would have to be a customer of the water or wastewater system. In terms of technical expertise would be sorted by many of these categories that were established. For example, water resource planning, water system engineering, environmental planning, landscape architecture, financial, business resource, economics, customer service, a couple examples of those, technical, professional categories.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: Okay. And then on Page 2, the category of environmental nonprofit water management advocacy/education -- that's a mouthful -- what is that?

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Councillor Benton, that's actually a typo. There should be a comma after environmental. Hopefully that's corrected, was updated on your iPads.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: Okay. Yeah. And I'm just getting used to the iPad system again. I have an older version.

> So you've added a comma. And where's the comma?

MR. ROTH: On Page 2, first line, environmental comma.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: So environmental. And then the other one would be nonprofit water management advocacy and education?

MR. ROTH: That's correct.
COUNCILLOR BENTON: Okay. Thanks.
COUNCILLOR JONES: Thank you. Councillor Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Vice Chairman.

My question, and just basically clarification regarding a quorum, would that be four members or more for this amendment?

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Councillor Sanchez, that's correct, at least four.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: At least four. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
Any other questions, comments?
We have a motion and a second, and I would like to I think follow on Councillor Benton's question about the customer component of this title.

And I think what is -- what bothers me

1 about this CAC, that's now going to be the CTAC,
2 is that the word "customer," it kind of gives the
3 impression that this is a board that kind of
4 reflects our average customer, when it really is a
5 technical committee. They do happen to be
6 customers of the water utility.

So I would like to propose an amendment that we actually strike the word "customer" from the customer technical advisory committee. So it becomes just the technical advisory committee.

And, Mr. Roth, if you say that there's already technical advisory committee of some other nature that already exists, we can find another name.

But, again, $I$ think it becomes confusing to the public, it comes -- to this board. I think when you hear customer advisory committee, you think average rate paying customer. So I would just like to move that we strike "customer" from Line 9 and Line 13, and, in all cases, change CTAC to TAC.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: There's a second from Councillor Benton.

Commissioner De La Cruz. COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'd like to hear from staff what the rationale is behind the word "customer." Customer means different things to different people. I just want to make sure that I'm clear, and certainly the viewing public understanding as well. Because we can talk about customers in terms of ratepayers, we can talk about customers also as stakeholders. So I'd kind of like to know about that a little bit.

MR. ROTH: Madam Chair, Commissioner De La Cruz, on the resolution, looking at Section 1.B, it does clarify what does -- what a customer is, and it is a ratepayer who is part of the water, wastewater system. And if you want to be specific, it could be the ratepayer technical advisor committee. But here, as I mentioned earlier, "customer" is a more gentler term to use. It isn't so strict as ratepayer. And it kind of falls in line with what we're doing with the customer conversations, which is more of your average citizens who are engaged with the water authority on a regular basis and in our customer opinion survey, which is a survey of our

1 customers. And so it kind of falls in line with those other components of our process.

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you.
Madam Chair, I think it's important for our viewing public to hear that because not everyone has access or the time to sometimes, you know, go visit the website and find it. So I think that's very helpful. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any other questions, comments?

Commissioner O'Malley. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I guess it -- this is what gets a little confusing, too, is that if we pull out the term customer, the resolution still requires that the technical committee members be ratepayers, correct? So that doesn't change. We're just changing the title, as the way it's written now.

So I think it makes sense to me, and, you know, if someone wants to disagree, that this technical committee, that there is a -- that they do have a stakehold in this, in participating; that it's just not someone who has technical

1 expertise that could be from, you know, maybe 2 within the region or within the state that doesn't 3 have -- the stakeholder did -- I think it does 4 need to be something that certainly needs to be 5 part of the requirements. So I -- but at the same 6 time, you know, I think there has been some --

8 this and saying that this is not -- there's a perception that is, you know, your regular customer who doesn't have any particular expertise. We're saying that they do, they do have technical expertise, but they're still the customer. So I'm not so sure I feel comfortable about getting rid of the customer because that's in the body of the resolution and it's a requirement.

Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner De La Cruz.

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. I agree with Commissioner O'Malley. I believe that it is essential that the individuals who serve on this board, at least from this commissioner's opinion, that they be a customer. You can have a world of experience and still be a

1 customer. Because I agree with Commissioner

2 O'Malley that unless we clarify this, and, of 3 course, if we want to clarify it a little further, 4 we can, but I say that you want those individuals that are going to serve on this or other boards to reside in this county and to be a customer.

Thank you, Madam Chair.
And I don't know if you want to make a substitute motion or whatever, Commissioner O'Malley, but I would support some language to that effect.

Thank you, Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you. And I would just like to clarify that $I$ have not proposed changing the language that requires members of this board to be ratepayers, customers, whatever you want to call them. It just, to me, is an effort to clarify for the public what this board is. Because $I$ think for a long time, people have looked at the customer advisory committee as a place where you go to talk about rates and, you know, whatever concerns you might have, that it's a standing board that actually represents most of the ratepayers, when, in fact, it's a technical advisory committee. The requirements are very

1 clear that people have to have technical expertise
2 in one of these core functional areas. And so
3 that's my intent of this, is just to -- and I
4 think that at some point it might be followed up
5 with consideration of whether we do have that other kind of committee, a standing committee that does hear not technical -- not make technical recommendations and not require technical expertise, but people who represent the broader community. So that's my intent here. I'm certainly open, if there are suggestions about how we change this language.

So Commissioner O'Malley.
COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you, Madam
Chair. Maybe -- not to try to wordsmith everything on the fly, but perhaps maybe "technical" needs to come before "customer" and that is very clear that it is a technical customer advisory committee.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Benton.

COUNCILLOR BENTON: And I guess, you know, that's sort of the hilarity of that recommendation, I think that it's probably more accurate.

You know, I guess the thing that $I$ find confusing about this is that, okay, we have these quarterly meetings where the regular customers speak and are heard by what will now be a technically oriented committee. So I guess there's some discomfort I have that, what about the average Joe or Jane? Are those people -- or Juan and Juanita. Are those people, you know, somehow of secondary importance, you know, because -- and how will these technical people who are oriented in that manner convey the interests of just the average consumer?

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner O'Malley.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you. I think that we probably will have to get a little bit into the weeds on this issue, because I think there is this feeling or this perception that there is not a structure in place or an avenue in place for the regular customer other than coming to speak to us during public comment to engage and to feel as though somehow their opinions, their concerns really get addressed and are heard.

I think that's basically the genesis of looking at this in the first place, so maybe we

1 need to separate those two things. How do we make 2 sure that occurs and not let's leave it up to this 3 committee to do it, you know. Maybe we have to

4 have some other avenue to do that.
Apparently, it's my understanding that a technical customer advisory committee performs an important -- has an important role in advising the water authority on these technical matters, but they are required to be customers. But maybe what we need to do is sort of look at other avenues or figure out a way or structure a way so that we do have this legitimate or what we feel is legitimate avenue for that communication to take place. And it shouldn't necessarily fall under this particular committee. That's what I was thinking. And I still think technical advisory committee is a good idea.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Jones.
COUNCILLOR JONES: Thank you, Madam Chair, very interesting, however, the body of this resolution contains all of the information that we need on who is qualified to serve on this. So I really don't think it makes a difference what we name it. So I'm open to anything.
I think the real key is that this is a

1 technical group that is very useful to the water 2 authority on advising them about how, once again, 3 the ratepayers or the customers feel about the

4 technical side of this. So I think that this
5 resolution is very clear in what this particular 6 advisory committee does. So I think we're -- at

7 the risk of being very amusing, I think we're
8 picking nits here. So let's just decide on which 9 nit we want and go for it.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Mr. Perry.
MR. PERRY: You know, I feel like I'm at a, I don't know, ancient history class and we're talking about the structure and branches of government in Rome, you know, we have the senate and they're aligned by lineage and family, with the wise people, and then we have the Plebans, and they're the common people, and the Patricians are the landowners.

I think the way it's been pointed out is that composition is what's important. And if there needs to be some modifications to the name, so be it. But, you know, I agree with the composition that's been laid out, that there be some technical expertise. I also agree with some of the comments regarding that there be a vehicle
and some sort of impanelment of basic ratepayers that see it only from their perspective and not have technical expertise. But I know this legislation has been before us for quite some time now, and I think it's time to move forward. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Any other questions, comments?

All right. I will revise my motion so that we -- instead of striking "customer" from Line 13, we would just transpose "technical" with "customer." So Line 13 would read, "A technical customer advisory committee," and then we would change CTAC to TCAC throughout the resolution. Is that clear to everybody? So now this will be the technical customer advisory committee, TCAC for short.

Councillor Sanchez.
COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
I will now support your amendment to this bill, and I want to thank Mr. Perry for his quick history lesson regarding this issue. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. So we now are voting on the amendment. Do I have a second to the amendment?

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Second. All
right. We're on the amendment. All in favor, say aye.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
That motion passes unanimously.
(6-0 vote. Motion approved.)
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on R-15-5.

Commissioner De La Cruz.
COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Before we move on to the next item, it dawned on me as we were sitting here that we might need to have something done, Mr. Sanchez, through your IT department where individuals who want to communicate with us, with this body, have a venue and an avenue to do that.

And right now, at Bernalillo County, if constituents or the public wants to communicate with us, they can go on the county website, we can provide their comments there, and then from that point, it goes to what ever commissioner is involved, or sometimes they may send it to the entire commission.

And I think sometimes that that may be the better way to do it versus -- most people's -I guess if somebody wants to communicate with me about an issue related to the water, they can find me at Bernalillo County, or if there is someone that wants to talk to a councillor, they can do that at CABQ.

But I think we ought to have a venue and an avenue for being able to do that at the water authority. And I'm just asking that staff explore that.

Thank you, Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
And I just want to clarify, we did not take a final vote on $R-15-5$ as amended. So I would like to move $R-15-5$ as amended.

COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Seconded by Commissioner De La Cruz. All in favor, say aye.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
That motion passes unanimously.
(6-0 vote. Agenda Item 9A approved.)
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. We're now on R-15-6. Ms. Cassidy.

MR. CASSIDY: Good evening, Madam Chair, Members of the Governing Body. I have a team here that represents the authority to report on the bond transaction that this authority board has approved the last two months. Katherine Creagan is with the Modrall law firm, and she'll present on the legal side. Eric Harrigan is with our firm and will assist as well.

The transaction participants included the Modrall law firm as your bond counsel and disclosure capital. We served RBC capital markets as your independent financial advisor. Bank of Albuquerque will be the escrow agent on the refunded portion of the bond, hold the money until we can pay off the bondholders that we're refinancing. We have a verification agent, Causey, Demgen \& Moore. Then we have a group of underwriters, led by JP Morgan Securities, that senior manage the transaction, RW Baird, Stifel Nicholas and Piper Jaffray, so co-managers and in the transaction.

These firms are selected through an RFP process by the board and the staff, the board.

The refunding transaction resulted in a recommendation to issue $\$ 211.94$ million of bonds,

1 of which $\$ 78$ million of that bond issuance process 2 would be available for new projects pursuant to

I want to take you through the next
line. By the way, I think you have hard copies of these. If you don't, Patty certainly has it. The all-inclusive interest cost on the transaction, which was in the market today, which is the reason you haven't seen anything until this evening, was a 2.69 percent interest rate. And that is a fixed rate. It doesn't change. The bonds mature between now and 2033. And what we have on this slide here, Slide Number 3, is the detailed sources and uses of funds. And I just want, for full transparency, to show you what the uses of funds will be. And that's on the bottom right-hand side of the bond issuance process. \$78 million will be for new construction, 163

1 million to refinance the debt that I've designed. 2 The underwriter's fee was about 513,000. Cost of 3 issuance in addition to that, about $\$ 700,000$. So

4 sources and uses total about $\$ 242,000$. The
5 closing date would be April 27th.

8 The annual savings on the first six years will be 9 in excess -- close to a million dollars or in 10 excess of a million dollars, the first five years.

11 And then after that, it's almost 800. It's a
12 little over 700,000 to 800,000 a year, and then it 13 declines over time as the principal pays off on 14 the refinancing.

When you add up the interest rate savings, and this is after all the cost of issuance, the nominal savings is $\$ 13,352,000$, and the present value savings, when you equate it to today's dollars, which is the way we evaluate refinancings, it's \$11,866,000. So 8.33 percent savings. And generally speaking, if you're above 3 percent, it makes sense. But this really worked well. And the reason it worked well is the interest rates have remained down.

And we did a large refinancing

1 transaction in the last six months, a little over 2 six months ago, and we had substantial present 3 value savings then, but some of those bonds

4 weren't callable and they didn't really work as
5 well six months ago as they do today because we're
6 six months closer to the option call date on
7 bonds. So we're able to pick off some more, and
8 we'll continue to do this as rates stay down or
9 are below, remain below what the interest rate is 10 on the old bonds.

The average rate on the old bonds is 5.15 percent, and we're replacing that. The borrowing cost on the refinancing side of this is 2.83 percent. So we're substantially saving in materials of interest cost.

In the market today -- and I'll talk about bond ratings in just a moment. But when the underwriting team went out, we sat and agreed on interest rates that they ought to go out with, and in the first 15 to 20 minutes of the offering period, which lasts about an hour and a half, we received orders for about $\$ 525$ million of bonds. We had 45 different investors participating. And a list of the top 20 investors by size is included on Page 5 of your presentation. And they are

1 Susquehanna International Group, Liberty Mutual
2 Insurance, Allstate, State Farm, Kemper, you know, 3 Levine, American Family Funds, PMC Advisors,

4 Goldman Sachs, Black Rock. So a lot of the large 5 investors recognizing the high quality credit and 6 the high ratings of the organization participated 7 and submitted orders. And I'll talk about the 8 ratings in just a moment and expand this a little 9 bit.

The next page, on Page 6, like we always try to do, we want to lay out what the debt service requirements is of all the debt that is currently outstanding and will remain outstanding of this transaction. Our costs will be in the next five years about $\$ 74$ million a year on a level basis. Your rates and charges are sufficient to pay that and the adopted rate increases that are in place.

And then the debt service rapidly declines, and that's how that has been structured pursuant to your debt policy. So we have rapid declining debt service so you can issue future bonds without substantial rate increases and hopefully and eventually borrow less than you're borrowing and do more pay-as-you-go financing.

1 And that's what your management has had in place
2 for quite a number of years.

And I want to point out the coverages on this page as you look forward. Our coverages approach one and a half times, which is what we need to be on the senior lien debt, and over 1.2 times coverage on your subordinate lien debt. We have a two-tier debt structure now, and so we have no issue there at all.

We did go back to the rating agencies. Your staff conducted calls with the rating agencies and had full conversations and a full presentation, and what -- the result of that was Standard \& Poor's assigned a Double A plus rating to the authority's long-term senior lien debt. And they also reassigned the Double A category rating to the subordinate lien debt.

I think some of their comments are really nice to see, reflecting all of the good things that you're doing. First of all, the service area includes -- it's the state's primary economic center. That's the employment base for the state, and it's been very resilient through the recession. They recognize the robust planning efforts that ensures the operational and financial

1 requirements are well aligned, including resource 2 management and sustainability, financial policies 3 and establishing aggressive levels of

4 pay-as-you-go funding, and operations that reflect
5 the long-term water supply from a mix of surface

8 their review, and they review a lot of water sewer and groundwater.

And they also comment that based upon credits across the country of a similar size, that the combined water and sewer rates remain very affordable, even with the approved and planned upcoming rate adjustments. So that was nice to see.

Moody's also assigned their Double A2 rating, with a stable outlook. And they commented on stabilized debt service cash coverage levels and the substantial and diverse customer base of the authority. And, again, they commented and their rating was on a stable outlook basis.

And Fitch also reaffirmed their Double A senior and subordinate lien debt rating with a stable outlook. And they commented on rate flexible. Again, commented that rates are affordable. They commented on the improved financial reporting capability and what that

1 means. And the ample water supply, that we have 250 to 55 years of water availability. And that's 3 really high for a large utility like this. I know

4 you're always working on that to improve that for 5 the future.

Lastly, on Page 10, we've included a history of municipal bond rates for highly rated credits, like the water authority, and show you, you know, really why refundings work right now, refinancings of your outstanding debt, but also borrowing at these levels is really very, very helpful. We can see where we've been. In 1980, '81, we had rates above 13 and a half percent on 30 -year municipal debt. And we're currently at 4.31 percent on 30 -year type debt. Your debt is relatively short compared to this.

All your new money borrowing for basic needs is done on a 12-year basis. This refinancing is a little long because we're refinancing some of your special needs projects, like some of the remaining San Juan Chama, South Valley improvements that were issued originally as 25-year-type debt, so we're refinancing that.

So, you know, if you look back over this 26-year period, rates have only been lower

12 percent of the time. So we're still in a very
2 favorable interest rate environment. The federal

3 reserve today did come out and they removed
4 language that the financial markets -- kind of a
5 little uncertain when they would move the word
6 "patient" in terms of when the Fed reserve might
7 start shifting its policy and raising short-term
8 interest rates. And they did remove the word
9 "patient" today. The financial markets responded
10 favorably.

And we actually got into the market for the 12:00 noon comment, and we did get into a market that was improving as we got into it, so we were able to take advantage of it. During the pricing process, we're able to lower the rate 3 to 4 basis points, so it went well.

And, you know, with that said, we're happy to stand for questions, Eric and I, before we turn it over to Katherine Creagan to summarize the resolution.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Mr. Perry.
MR. PERRY: Just a couple quick questions. When I look at the durations of these, is there a blended duration, where the refunding bonds for 15 years and the money that covers the 67 million was

1 for ten, the duration we're going to?

MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair, Mr. Perry, that is correct. Your policy calls for your basic -you know, the replacement money that you're doing every two years, it's generally about 56 million every two years, that is structured with your rates and charges to be repaid over 12 years.

MR. PERRY: And what were the durations on the '07s, '08s and '09s?

MR. CASSIDY: That's a big question to even comment on. We are not extending the maturity on that debt. Your policy doesn't allow you to refinance and extend the maturity. So this is what we would call, you know, debt service that's solved to match the existing debt. And so we're not doing any extensions whatsoever.

MR. PERRY: And they're redeemable in 2025?
MR. CASSIDY: And the option call date is 2025, yes.

MR. PERRY: And then they're callable after?
MR. CASSIDY: After ten years.
And we did look at that, Madam Chair and Mr. Perry. I think one of your board members had asked questions. Maybe it was Mr. Sanchez. If we had shortened the call date by one year, it would

1 have cost us on the refinancing, which is where 2 the cost would have been, about $\$ 700,000$ in 3 present value savings, which is a 4- to 5-basis 4 point increase in the interest cost. And if we 5 were to shorten it by two years, that would have

And given the fact that we do expect interest rates to rise long term, it seemed it would be better to take the interest rate savings. We need the cash flow to, you know, hopefully deal with capital on a pay-as-you-go basis, sort of avoid future rate increases.

MR. PERRY: And as -- as credit is due and, Mr. Sanchez, is -- I had looked at the coverage ratios when $I$ came on the board in 2010, and they weren't particularly comforting. I think what you've done technically to ensure the adequacy of those ratios is very significant towards the protection of the long-term credit worthiness and ability to pay for the water utility program. So say 1.5 on the senior and 1.2 on subordinate, right?

MR. CASSIDY: Right. And I wish I could take credit, but I can't. That's Mr. Sanchez and

1 his capable staff.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

I'm looking at the total combined debt services and coverage ratios, and looking at 2015, the settlement agreement of debt service is 70,382,975. And then the net revenues are 90,999,984. And looking down to 2038, the net revenues are identical. What is the rationale for the identical net revenue?

MR. CASSIDY: Madam Chair and Councillor Sanchez, thank you for the question. We've -- the $\$ 90,990,000$ is the actual calculation of net available for debt service for last fiscal year. So we test this, we leave it the same to make sure that the debt is structured appropriately.

It so happens your net revenues for this next year will increase by about 8 million. So your coverage levels are even going to be much better than these next year.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: So the calculation that were used here are very conservative?

MR. CASSIDY: They are very conservative.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you.
MR. CASSIDY: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Thank you.
Any other questions, comments?
MR. PERRY: Move final passage of $R-15-6$.
COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. We have a motion and a second to pass $R-15-6$. All in favor, say aye.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
That motion passes unanimously.
(6-0 vote. Agenda Item 9B approved.)
MR. CASSIDY: Thank you, Madam Chair. We appreciate it. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. At Councillor Garduno's request, we have deferred R-15-7.

We are now on to other business, a presentation on the water authority's wastewater system.

MR. STOMP: Good evening, Madam Chair, and Members of the Board. Tonight, just following up on the last month's presentation on the water system, we're going to be making a presentation

1 this evening on the wastewater system. That's 2 going to include the Southside Water Reclamation 3 Plant, our collection system, and then our CIP 4 needs associated with interceptors.

Tonight with me is Mark Kelly. He's the compliance division manager. He was here last month. Charlie Leder, who's the plant division manager. He was also here last month. Mark Holstead, who is our chief engineer in the collection section. And Bob Strong, who is one of our principal engineers in the water resources planning and engineering.

We really actually have two parts of the presentation tonight. One is to talk about the thing that we do in the system. And the other one is to address this spill that occurred on February 27th. There was an e-mail that was sent out by Mr. Sanchez to the board members to address this issue, and we're going to address this historically to you, to the board, to talk to you about what actually happened and then what our plans are to mitigate this from ever happening again.

I will say that Mr. Sanchez and myself, Charlie Leder and Jeff Romanowski went to the

1 Pueblo of Isleta and we met with the governor of 2 the Pueblo of Isleta and his staff. We met with him for about an hour and a half and we discussed with him the issues that occurred. And we made a commitment to them that we would not allow this to happen again. Charlie Leder actually went to the tribal council meeting the next day and addressed the tribal council on the same issue. So we're going to talk about that this evening.

Even though we have a lot of really good things that we're proud of, the improvements that have been made at the Southside plant, and particularly addressing some of our compliance issues in the past, this is one of those moments that I share with you that's a very embarrassing moment and something that we're not proud of and we do need to make improvements so this won't happen again.

Wastewater in 2015, I kind of addressed the water system last month about where it was looked at 20 years. 20 years, wastewater was not a resource. Wastewater was something nobody liked and how fast can you get it out of your system and get it away from you, because nobody really wanted to deal with it.

Now utilities are looking at wastewater as a significant resource that could be used not just for reuse or for drinking water purposes and other purposes, because it is a resource that the utility owns, it can reduce our obligation in the future to buy new water rights.

We do have a very stringent permit at the Southside plant. Charlie and Mark are both going to address that. And we have a significant collection system, which includes the largest vacuum system in the United States, maybe the world. Don't know, we have small lift stations throughout the valley, and we have a large diameter and small diameter concrete systems.

Mark is also going to address our odor control, which has always been very important to this board, both at the plant and in our collection system.

So I'm going to talk about a little bit about the resource itself. This is a graph that I've showed you before, which shows our total amount of water that we divert from the system. The red line shows how much we return to the system, which is through the Southside plant. And then the green line is the difference between the

1 two, which is the amount that we consumptively 2 use. That's the part that we need to offset with 3 authority rights. We use our return flows to 4 offset a portion of those.

But you can see from this graphic, and I can show you for 20 years, our return flows have not really changed that much. So even though we've grown, our return flows haven't changed that much. So that's, in large part, due to conservation. And the actual percentage of our use, that is the amount that we actually use, has gone significantly down, which means that our water rights need for the future are less, which reduces the amount of water rights we'll be purchasing in the future.

We actually have reduced our depletions on the Rio Grande; that is, the amount we actually owe back to the river, which we pay back with our return flows and our other water rights. Over the last ten years -- I'm sorry, over the last six years, since the drinking water project has been online, we've reduced our impact to the river more than 10,000 acre feet, and it's going to continue to go down as we continue to reduce our pumping.

I talked a little bit about that. Our

1 return flows can be used for other issues. The
2 New Mexico Environment Department actually has 3 convened a group to develop regulations on direct 4 and indirect pueblo reuse for the future of New 5 Mexico and what barriers and what regulations need 6 to be implemented which will allow people to drink 7 sewage, the issues that are happening all over the 8 rest of the country.

This is just the picture of our return flows as a percentage of what we would need to discharge to the river. It's a graphical depiction of a future hydrology, which we know isn't going to actually happen. The point here is to show the difference between the amount of return flows we actually owe to the river versus how many we'll have available.

And the picture shows pretty clearly with the blue that we will have an amount of return flows that we will not owe to the river anymore which we need to find a use for. And that use could be to store, it could be to treat, it could be to drink directly. There's a lot of issues that we can do, and it is a water rights portfolio.

In your goals and objectives that was

1 presented to you tonight, an update of the water 2 resources management strategy is one of the goals.

3 This is exactly the kind of issue that we
4 presented to the customer advisory committee, or
5 the technical customer advisory committee,
6 whatever the name was that we ended up with, and we'll be going through these series of alternatives, including reuse.

I'm going to turn it over to Mark Kelly, and then we're going to turn it over to Charlie, and so on as we go on. We can answer questions as we go along, Madam Chair, or we can wait till the end, whatever your preference is.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any questions, comments?

Please proceed.
MR. KELLY: Thanks, John.
And, Madam Chair, Members of the Board, I am Mark Kelly. I am in charge of the compliance division. Last time $I$ talked to you guys about the Safe Drinking Water Act compliance, and now we're going to be talking about the Clean Water Act compliance.

The Clean Water Act is -- governs what we discharge into the river, into the water of the

1 United States. And it does that through our
2 national pollutant discharge elimination system 3 permits. We have a permit for our plant

4 discharge, and we also have an industrial storm 5 water permit for our plant site. And the plant discharge permit covers both of our effluent that is directed into the river, as well as the sludge that gets disposed of on the West Mesa. The last has things in there about reporting that I'll get into as well as our pretreatment program.

Our effluent we're monitoring every day. We do 24-hour composites. We're monitoring for ammonia, total suspended solids. We also are doing grab samples every day during our peak flows for our pH, or dissolved oxygen, for bacteria as well, E. Coli. On a weekly basis, we measure very low levels of mercury. We can actually measure down to the nanogram level for mercury, and on a monthly basis, we're measuring metals, like cadmium, you know, selenium, other metals like that.

We do a whole effluent toxicity test every quarter, and that consists of taking our wastewater effluent and doing different dilutions and have little fathead minnows swim around in it

1 and see how well they reproduce. There's also a part where they have little water fleas to see how well they reproduce and if it's toxic to the biota in the river. And we also are measuring organic compounds on a semi-annual basis.

We do a monthly discharge monitoring report. And also we do monitoring whenever there is an exceedance of any of the permitted limits. We have 24 -hour notifications that are required, as well as five-day reports that go out.

I talked a little bit about the west side, and that's where biosolids go. We have a couple of ways that we can dispose of them, either by rangeland application, composting, or just disking into the soil, which is called surface disposal. We also have to monitor the biosolids from fecal density, as well as metals, to make sure that we are not putting too many pollutants into the soil and then maybe getting the groundwater. And we do reporting for any exceedances, as well as we have an annual discharge monitoring report for biosolids.

Our NPDES permit also requires that we have a pretreatment program. The pretreatment is governed by our wastewater control ordinance. It

1 covers about 70 industrial permittees, where we have staff that are doing inspections of these permittees and testing the wastewater that's coming out of their discharge. Individual permits are given to the permittees that say that they can only discharge up to certain levels. If they discharge above that and we monitor for it, they get violations, and they have to correct those violations and come back into compliance.

We have a fats, oils and grease program in the pretreatment program, where we are making sure that restaurants and food service establishments have grease traps and they are pumping their grease traps out. That can lead to sanitary sewer overflows, which I'll talk to in a minute, and issues with your collection system.

The pretreatment program also covers dental offices. We have a mercury limit in your NPDES permit which is very low, and a way to try and stay in compliance with that is to have dental offices install amalgam separators, which we monitor and make sure that those aren't overfilled and that everyone has one that needs one.

Another part of the NPDES program is having notification reporting of sanitary sewer

1 overflows. That's when the sewer clogs and the wastewater can't go down the pipe, so it backs up and goes into the -- usually into the street. This is a large overflow we had at Broadway and Pacific. We also do reports on them and tell the EPA and the state and whatnot about any remediation that we do after an overflow.

And the last part is our compliance with our industrial storm water permit. We do inspections to ensure that at our wastewater treatment plant that our storm water is as clear as it can be. We do visual assessments where we take storm water and see if there's any floatable solids or anything like that. And we do an annual E. Coli monitoring. It's actually pretty tough, as you know that it's very dry, to actually get a storm water sample to get enough flow to actually get a discharge is difficult.

That sums it up for me. Any questions?
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner O'Malley.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I just have a quick question on the composting. You used biosolids to basically talk

1 about the waste that you haul off to the landfill.
2 Is that the term that you're using?

MR. KELLY: It's not going into the landfill.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: I mean, it's going out on the surface. I know that it gets placed on the surface to dry or something like that. What's your process?

MR. KELLY: The biosolids are put generally into the ground and they're disked in. We have to do that because there's different what's called vector -- vector traction that we can have. They have to be covered at least every day so that, say, contamination doesn't go places from like mice, rats, people, anybody else. It's disked in every day.

And the composting is -- that is put into large windrows, they're called, and mixed with other organic matter. And the actual heating of all the bacteria that's in there produce a sterile product at the end, because it has to get a certain heat for a certain amount of time.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: At one time I think you were bagging and selling this product. Is that still going on?

MR. KELLY: That is still going on, yes. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. So is that product available for -- you know, people start thinking about their gardens and thinking of compost and things. Where is that? Where does that end up? Is it in retail? Do you sell it?

MR. KELLY: No, it does not go to any retail facilities. But it is available by contacting our soil amendment facility staff. It also is used for a lot of city parks and things like that. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Oh, is it? MR. KELLY: Yes. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. And then I know that you had a campaign of sorts to discharge people from throwing fats into the -- down the drain, essentially. How is that going? Do you feel like there's been a reduction?

MR. KELLY: I don't have the numbers in front of me. But I do feel that our sanitary sewer overflows that are caused primarily from grease are being reduced.

Mr. Holstead might have a better answer for that. But I do feel that that is being effective. And I think just you knowing about it I think the public is getting the message.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Well, I think it's really important. Because my understanding is it was one of the biggest problems.

MR. KELLY: Oh, yeah, definitely.
COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: And education was going to be very important as to why that was so important, that people put it in a can, they put the oils or lard or whatever in a can, throw it away, versus sending it down the drain, which $I$ think probably still a lot of people don't know why we want them to do that. So I know that it was some educational piece for a while or outreach. And so that's why I was wondering how this thing -- how it went. Okay.

MR. KELLY: That is ongoing as well. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any other questions, comments?

Thank you, sir.
MR. KELLY: All right.
MR. LEDER: Good evening, Madam Chair,
Members of the Board. Once again, I'm Charlie Leder, manager of plant operations division. I'd like bring you all up to speed on what goes on at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant.

This mosaic kind of explains it all. We have raw material and through our processing we produce clean effluent power from biogas. And, as Mark mentioned earlier, compost that we can distribute to the public. Primarily, we're distributing it to large landscape contractors. It's selling it in bulk. It's used on DOT projects for their landscaping efforts and wherever we see compost in biosolids. About 37 percent of what we generate in the way of biosolids from the treatment plant is converted into compost that we can beneficially recycle.

We used to do some rangeland
reclamation. We may resume that in the future pending resolution of the agreement with adjacent landowner. The beauty part of that rangeland reclamation is, you're taking kind of what we would call distressed rangeland that's been overgrazed, we apply one dose of biosolids and let it sit for a while, but that does -- the biosolids, it does discourage noxious weeds development, and it does improve the growth of desirable forage. So it's something that perhaps we'll be able to resume in the future.

Let's focus for a minute on the raw

1 material. Normally, it's out of sight, out of 2 mind. Here we have a picture southeast of the 3 intersection of Coors and Barcelona from a couple 4 years back where, unfortunately, it was not out of 5 sight, out of mind, in response to a collection 6 line collapse. And Mark and Bob will be talking a 7 little bit about the plan to avoid those in the 8 future. But anyway, that is our raw material.

Normally, we do a very good job of converting that into clean effluent. If you look at the picture of the river, the brownish tinge is what the river looks like. The dark blue-green is our effluent going out. And gee, it looks to me like the effluent is a lot cleaner than what's in the river, and that is true. We do a good job with that, and we've been recognized as such by the National Association of Clean Water Agencies.

Last year, we -- rather, calendar year 2013, we got a silver award for having fewer than five violations of our NPDES permit, and for calendar 2014, we accomplished the same achievement. So it's coming at us 24/7, and we do a pretty good job of converting that into clean effluent that we can return to the river.

However, as John alluded to earlier, on

1 the night of February 27, in the early hours of 2 the morning, during a snowstorm, we did not do such a good job, and we had a spill. There was a power failure. PNM had some issues with their power supply. It impacted Station 20 , which is the main lift station for the west side across the river. It also impacted our plant. Despite our best efforts to plan for power disruptions and power quirks, whatever, our equipment did not protect us at this time. There were fuses in our primary power system that got blown, consequently, the critical lift station that we have on the treatment plant site was not able to function.

We did our best to contain some of the spill on-site in drainage ditches, in empty clarifier vessels and aeration basins, but we were not successful in retaining our estimate of about 6 million gallons, which flowed off-site into the riverside drain.

Our concern with this is, other than the fact that that's really not an acceptable way to operate our system, the river side drain flows into tribal water for the Pueblo of Isleta. And our permit that Mark talked about earlier for discharge, specifically factors into

1 considerations for tribal water quality standards.
2 And what went into the drain that night while we 3 were attempting to restore power did not meet

4 tribal water quality standards. leaving us a message on our answering machine." Point well taken.

Besides working hard to find ways to make certain this doesn't happen again, we have agreed to start a dialogue with tribal staff to figure out what is the better way of notifying the tribe. What can we do better besides what we're now doing. I mean, if there's a phone tree we could call, we're more than willing to do that.

It's not just a matter of tribal water quality standards that were violated. They're our neighbors, and we think about them as our neighbors, you know, and they deserve more than just a call on the answering machine. So we're working to iron that out together with tribal staff. And hopefully we'll come up with a resolution that meets our needs and the tribal governor can present to his council for consideration.

For certain, we conducting an in-depth evaluation of all of our electrical systems at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant. We want to find out what we can do to improve the way we maintain the equipment, what inventories we keep on-site if things break, and what can we do -what are the weaknesses and how can we have a better system so that it's reliable.

We're in the process of looking at ways to move wastewater around the treatment plant site a little better so that when we do have a lift station go out, we have another option, another way of moving it through the plant. Those facilities are now in the process of being designed.

And then lastly, we're planning to construct some storage ponds on-site, so if all else fails we have a place to park this water while we're in the process restoring power to critical systems so that it doesn't go into the riverside drain again.

That's the bad news from February 27 th. I hope I haven't dwelled on it too deeply, but perhaps you were curious. And I did want to admit -- tell you a little bit more about what happened that night.

Again, here we have the clean effluent, we have power from biogas, compost from biosolids. Let's speak a little bit about how we're generating that, some quick facts about the site.

We've been at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant site since 1962, and some of the little dots you see in that picture there, the four lined up north to south, those are the original clarifiers from that time. So here we are 50 years later, getting good life, good mileage out of those assets, and productive use.

We can treat up to 76 million gallons per day from the service area. We have 15 liquid stream processes, and ten solid stream/power

1 generation processes at this site. We have a 5.6
2 megawatt cogeneration system. We generate power
3 there. And we also take the heat and use that
4 beneficially on-site. And last, but not least, we
5 have a 1 megawatt solar power array. That didn't 6 help us much the night of February 27th.

Lit talk a little bit about the recycling that we perform each day at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant. We convert 50 million gallons, that's 153 acre feet of raw sewage into clean effluent for discharge. And that's really what it looks like if you go to our west siphon tower. We reclaimed 1 million gallons of effluent for on-site industrial cooling and irrigation that's used at the plant. And there's 1 million gallons of effluent that's available for off-site park and landscape irrigation. And we have the capacity to provide lots more. If there are interested customers for our reuse water, we've got plenty of capacity to make more. We also process about 78 tons per day of waste solids in the treatment process and the stable biosolids. I talked a little bit about the rangeland reclamation that we used to do, but also, we're processing those biosolids into

1 compost for parks or gardens. This is kind of a 2 win/win for solid waste management, because for

255 milligrams per liter of dissolved oxygen. And

1 we do that fairly consistently.

For landscape and park irrigation, clean means it has a turbidity of less than three turbidity units. The fecal coliforms, less than five colonies per 100 milliliters. And there's also a measurable chlorine residual. The picture that you see there is actually one of our disk filters that takes our effluent and purifies it further.

Some other interesting green things we do, recycling activities we engage in daily at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant, our digesters produce the process of stabilizing of biosolids, produce over 800,000 cubic feet per day of methane rich biogas. And we convert that biogas into 2.2 megawatts of power and hot water for heating.

If we look at this diagram, we see a little red rectangle, that's our cogeneration facility, where we burn biogas. And we have a big red rectangle, which represents the space taken up by our 1 megawatt solar array. Kind of interesting. 1 megawatt of solar power, look at the space needed, and then that little red box, that's good for 2.2 megawatts of power.

The point is that we can generate

27 percent of the energy that we need through our own green power resources on-site, which is kind of neat.

> On that note, let's talk about
wastewater collections operations, or how the raw material comes to the Southside Water Reclamation Plant, unless there are any questions you might have, despite the dwindling audience.

MR. HOLSTEAD: Thanks. So my goal is to go over how the wastewater is collected and routed to the treatment plant. This map shows the large basins within the system in our service area, and the various colors show the -- how it's collected and drained by various interceptors. Now, this graphic on the right here shows that we're bringing all the wastewater to the treatment plant through a series of interceptors. On the left, there are some lines that you can kind of see that show the interceptors on the map.

And the interceptors system drains follow gravity lines, of course, and then a portion of the system is brought in by lift stations and packing stations. And then we have odor control for all of it.

We run quite a bit of pipe. The bulk of

1 our value in our system is in the pipe system. 2 Something a little bit less than 2400 miles total. 3 It's about 30 years old on average, about nearly 4 half of it's plastic, and then a mixed bag of 5 concrete, clay and other materials. 8 left is the gravity system. Upper left is our sub

We like to look at the three kind of components that we deal with primarily. On the basin program. We clean all of our sewer system. This meets EPA like this. When they audited us a few years ago, it is -- this cleaning program that we're using matches up with the recommendations of well-managed systems, which is a document that we reference. And lower left would be the example of an vactor cleaning a line.

Now, what we do in those sub basins is we go to the top of the system. We've been doing this since about the mid '90s, and we clean from the top all the way down and get every foot of it. And those on -- and we've committed to the EPA that we'll do that no less than every ten years. And we are meeting that easily.

In addition to that, we have another program that's called a short interval program in which we have identified problem lines and we

1 clean those frequently, more frequently, less than 2 once a year about.

3

4

On the right are the stations, a couple different stations. We have ten vacuum stations, one of which is shown in the upper right. Lower right is a sample of a pump being pulled out of Lift Station 20. We run 40 -- let me see. 35 lift stations. The number keeps changing. So we have a total of 45 stations that we run that handle sewage.

In the middle are examples of odor control. The lower one is a biofilter at the treatment plant, a very effective means of treating odor. And one of the things that we do to make -- one of the ways that biofilters don't work well is when they become short circuited. So we periodically will put a smoke bomb into the air and make sure it's not going out too quickly and it's mostly distributed. That's a sample of one of the tests.

The top middle and middle middle are sample of an odor control station we have at 2 nd and Griegos. It pumps an iron salt that then binds up with the sulfur and prevents hydrogen sulfide.

So with that, that's my presentation. COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner O'Malley.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you. It just wanted to thank staff and thank the director as well for just really admitting and dealing with this issue. This happened; there was no trying to cover it up. It is what it is. And the fact that staff went to the pueblo, the tribal council there and met with the governor as soon as possible to let them know about what happened, that was very responsible. And I just want to say that I appreciate that.

I have another question. We don't have any control of happens in the river unless, you know -- we have control over, of course, what we do, but we don't have any control. Is there a point, sort of a -- where we take responsibility? Is it at the county line? Where do we take responsibility for what happens for what gets put in the river? Because, as $I$ said, we don't have any control over what happens upstream, so is there some -- there's an understanding there?

MR. STOMP: Madam Chair, Commissioner

1 O'Malley, our point of discharge is where we're 2 responsible for meeting those water statute 3 standards. So that stream that Charlie showed 4 you, where we discharge to the river, that is our 5 point of compliance. There are other points of 6 compliance, obviously, as we sample through the 7 collection system, but with respect to the river, 8 that's it.

Now, there are discussions of mixing zone and how things mix in the river, as it makes its way downstream, and at what point can you say that certain parts of our discharge are dissipated. So there's a lot of debate over that, and it's called the mixing zone. But the truth is, our compliance is right at the river. Otherwise, we really have zero control over what happens either upstream or downstream of the water authority.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Okay. So what may happen, for example, Isleta Pueblo and having these requirements, but -- but, again, while you acknowledge that there was this discharge and we contacted the pueblo, there's other upstream discharges that we don't have any control over. And do we necessarily -- how does that work? I

1 mean, do we get blamed for those things? MR. STOMP: Madam Chair, Commissioner O'Malley, no, we don't typically get blamed for that. We are very heavily regulated, being a point source.

Agriculture industry, for example, is not regulated. The Clean Water Act is not applied to irrigated discharge. So they can essentially dump whatever they want and there's no water quality standards for which they're responsible. They were exempted from the Clean Water Act when the Clean Water Act was -- in 1974.

So we have no control over what happens upstream of us. Rio Rancho and other point source discharges do. There is a new storm water permit that's been issued that has a bunch of entities, including the City of Albuquerque and the County of Bernalillo, where there are point source requirements for storm water discharges, numeric standards now, actually, as a matter of fact.

So the Clean Water Act addresses storm water discharges, it addresses wastewater discharges, but it does not address agricultural discharges. So there's a large portion of the river that is heavily regulated, and then there's

1 a portion that is not regulated at all.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: When you talk the agricultural discharges, for example, if agricultural users are using any pesticides or anything like that, there is -- they're not -they don't have to comply with any regulations?

MR. STOMP: Madam Chair, Commissioner O'Malley, no, they do not. And when they irrigate and they take water into their field and they flood irrigate, they usually have return flows that lead back to the drain, so they are covering. Not everybody is using pesticides, not everybody is using fertilizers, so it would be unfair for me to say that everybody who irrigates discharges something bad.

The point I was trying to make is there are other entities that impact the river that are not regulated.

COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Thank you.
MR. STRONG: I'm Bob Strong. I am one of the principal engineers for the water authority. I mainly deal with some of the collapses that we have with some of the contractors that we have hired.

This is one of the examples of a

1 collapse near Grape. This particular location is 2 just to the east of the plant, itself. That pipe 3 started out at about 6 inches and it had steel

4 reinforcing in it. As a small chemistry, the chemistry of sulfuric acid matching up with the concrete, ate it up. When they pushed through here, all of the steel was apparently gone. And so we have rebuilt that pipe. And that was just completed last month.

This is another location out at Kirtland Air Force Base. This is also a concrete pipe. And although I hate to show you sewer videos, it is just dirt ahead of the pipe. That's because the pipe is completely gone and all you have left is dirt. So it will tend to cave in.

This one out at Kirtland was out in a remote area, so nobody was hurt in that one. It also has some damage to the steel. These are chunks of metal that was covered with sort of corrugated metal pipe that had asphaltic coating on it. This pipe was under the railroad. We have also rebuilt that pipe. But these chunks of metal are another example of sulfuric acid eating up our pipe.

So what we propose is that we try to

1 remove or rehabilitate some of the concrete pipe 2 and try to expand that program so that we can move 3 through this a little bit faster. We look at 296 4 miles of concrete; we need to get after that a 5 little bit faster. It has already reached the 6 state where it is forming holes in the top, and 7 once again, dirt. That was an example of some of 8 the small diameter pipe that had collapsed there 9 at Indian School Road and Carlisle. That 240-foot piece of pipe cost us $\$ 100,000$ to fix.

We'd like to also increase the amount of money that we have for interceptor sewer line replacement called the 254 miles of large diameter sewer pipe. Those were large pipes that you had seen that failed. We'd like to increase that amount so that we can hit some of the high risk items. They've just about reached their effective life. If we can add about 5 million or increase it from 5 million to about 18 million, we can then start replacing some of the concrete pipe with rehabilitated pipe.

The bird that you see here didn't die. We recovered him from the pipe itself. And the gentleman that you see there in the manhole, that's Mike Holstead's great grandfather. So it's

1 in the history that he's going to help us for 2 years.

Thank you. Any more questions?
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any questions, comments?

Sir, I have one question. So what is the most durable material? What's the material of choice for wastewater pipe in 2015?

MR. STRONG: In most of the residential areas, we have been using PVC. It really isn't affected by any of the sewer. On the larger lines, we use what is called a HOBAS pipe. It's a brand name. But it's basically a fiberglass pipe.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: And what is the expected life of that material if you were to install it today?

MR. STRONG: For the HOBAS or for the PVC, it could probably go 100 years.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Great. And what's the least durable of all the materials that have been used throughout the service area?

MR. STRONG: Well, because of the chemistry, it's the concrete pipe.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: And what percentage of existing pipe is concrete?

MR. STRONG: I believe that's somewhere around 14 percent.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Councillor Sanchez. COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Based on your asset management plan, the sewer line spending needs to increase from 5 million to 18.5 million, which would be a $\$ 13.5$ million increase per year. That rehab needs to increase from 6.6 miles to 20 miles per year. Where are we going to come up with the $\$ 13.5$ million to meet these needs? Because the longer we wait the more costly it's going to get. So basically, that 5 million to 18.5 million could have been increased more with inflation.

So how long do we wait now? How quickly should we react on this?

MR. STRONG: I think we should react on this as quickly as possible, since many of these have about 40 to 50 years of the concrete pipe. Many of them were put in in the 1950 s.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: I know that's some of the areas that we've had rate increases to deal with some of these issues. But the rate increase, apparently, is not going to be enough to cover
some of these expenditures; is that correct?
MR. STRONG: The current rate increase will probably not cover that.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Any other questions, comments?

Commissioner De La Cruz.
COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Madam Chair, I didn't have any -- I wasn't calling for -however, if the presentation is over, I do have a comment. But if it's not, I'll hold.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any other questions on the presentation?

Mr. Stomp, to conclude?
MR. STOMP: No.
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. I think the presentation is over. COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I want to thank the gentlemen that were here this afternoon. I appreciate, along with what Commissioner O'Malley said, that they admit and are doing everything they can to rectify and prevent future spills.

But I also want to commend their passion

1 for what they do. I know that -- and I've talked 2 to these gentlemen off and on over the years, and 3 they're truly dedicated professionals. It would 4 be nice if we lived in a perfect world, but we 5 don't, and sometimes things happen. The question 6 is, did we do what we could to avoid it? Were 7 things out of our control that there was nothing 8 we could have done? And I think the answer is 9 that this is a little bit out of our control. 10 Once we were aware of what was happening, we did 11 everything we could to mitigate the situation.

And I appreciate the fact that you went to the Pueblo of Isleta. I serve the district that the reclamation plant is in and I serve half of Isleta Pueblo. And I can tell you that I work very often with the tribal council, as well as the governor. And they are as passionate and as dedicated to serving their community as we are to serving our community. And so I can understand that they would be concerned and they would probably continue to be concerned. But I appreciate that staff reached out to them immediately. And at the first occasion that I have to visit the council and the governor, I will also apologize and assure them that we are doing

1 everything we can to ensure that that does not
2 occur again.
3 Thank you, Madam Chair.
4
5 you.
6 Seeing no further business, this meeting
7 is adjourned.
8 (Proceedings adjourned at 6:45 p.m.)
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