1	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY
2	Wednesday, August 19, 2015 4:04 p.m.
3	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
4	ONE CIVIC PLAZA, NW ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102
5	Before: Kelli Gallegos
6	PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105
7	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
8	
9	
10	APPEARANCES
11	
12	COMMISSIONER MAGGIE HART STEBBINS, Chair
13	COUNCILLOR TRUDY JONES, Vice Chair (Excused)
14	COUNCILLOR REY GARDUNO, Member
15	COMMISSIONER DEBBIE O'MALLEY, Member (Excused)
16	COMMISSIONER ART DE LA CRUZ, Member
17	COUNCILLOR KEN SANCHEZ, Member
18	TRUSTEE PABLO RAEL, Ex-officio Member
19	MAYOR RICHARD BERRY, Member (Excused)
20	MR. ROB PERRY, Admin. Officer, Alternate Member (Excused)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Good afternoon.
1
2
    I call to order the August 19, 2015, meeting of
 3
    the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility
    Authority. Let the record reflect that Councillor
4
5
    Jones, Commissioner O'Malley and Rob Perry are
6
    excused. All other members are present.
7
              We will start with a moment of silence,
    then the Pledge of Allegiance, led by Councillor
8
9
    Garduno.
10
               (Whereupon, there was a moment of
11
               silence.)
12
              (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
               led by Councillor Rey Garduno.)
13
14
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. So at
15
    this point should I state for the record that
16
    we're going to defer --
17
          MR. SANCHEZ: Yes.
18
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: -- our last item,
19
    which is 10B, OB-15-9. So if there's no
20
    objection, we will defer that to the next meeting.
21
    That was requested by Commissioner O'Malley. So
22
    we'll wait until she's here.
23
              I move to we approve the June 17, 2015,
24
    minutes. It there a second?
25
           COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
```

1 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a second 2 from Commissioner De La Cruz. All in favor, say 3 aye. 4 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 5 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed? 6 That passes unanimously. 7 (4-0 vote. Agenda Item 3 approved.) CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on 8 9 proclamations and awards. I'm really delighted. 10 We have a number of awards tonight, and so we will 11 start with the quarterly employee awards. 12 following employees received awards for the last quarter. As your name is called, if you'd like to 13 14 come up to the front to be recognized, that would 15 be great. 16 We start with Roland Rocca, who receives 17 \$400. Roland Rocca is a systems analyst and he's 18 being recognized for his innovation that has 19 contributed to success in establishing independent financial services. Roland was instrumental in 2.0 21 creating intricate financial reports used by the 22 finance team to balance bank statements against 23 the customer care and billing application. 24 effort saved the water authority over \$100,000. 25 Thank you, Roland. That is outstanding.

Next we have Chris Johnson, who will receive \$500 and eight hours of leave. Chris Johnson was tasked with responding to the electrical issues at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant. His efforts turned out to be a significant improvement to complete electrical systems and backup power capabilities at the plant. We worked with emergency on-call contractors to perform a complete plant electrical evaluation and efficiency assessment, and then proceeded to organize and perform repairs in preventative maintenance for all electrical facilities. This work will ensure vacuum power will always be available during power outages.

Thank you very much for that.

Next we have David Gutierrez, who receives \$500 and eight hours of leave. David has implemented several new tools for our online users that significantly benefit the internal users as well as the public. Examples of these are the tapping permit database used by internal users, the online tapping permit request used by customers, and an upgrade of the mini work order database system.

This work will save significant time

internally and importantly externally, with
contractors in the public accessing these permit
requests.

David, thank you for your great work.

Next we have Angelique Maldonado.

During the month of May 2015, Angelique Maldonado volunteered to develop and conduct training for the utility tech employees preparing to take their state level exam. Her efforts helped many blue collar employees pass the state exam and move up the career ladder.

I don't know if I said this, but you get \$250. Thank you so much for that.

Next we have Anthony Aranda, who gets \$500 and eight hours of leave.

So Anthony has worked diligently on water authority human resources, customer service, accounting and purchasing software applications to make specific changes that affect daily operations. His work has saved the water authority thousand dollars of dollars and created significant efficiency improvements.

Thank you very much for that.

And next we have German Andrade, who gets \$500 and eight hours. So German, our chief

engineer for groundwater protection operations was nominated for his accomplishments and actions during an emergency response to a broken valve in the only water transmission system that supplies the Four Hills area. German led the water authority's efforts to design and coordinate installation of a 12-inch emergency bypass line around the broken valve over the next four days.

Throughout the four-day period, water authority customers in the Four Hills area continued to receive water without interruption while construction of the 12-inch emergency bypass proceeded.

That's outstanding thank you very much.

I know the people who live there are very grateful for your work on that.

Next we have Scott Salas, Theresa Gonzales and Ross Pino, who get \$400 each.

The San Juan Chama Water Treatment Plant management team, consisting of Scott Salas,

Theresa Gonzales and Ross Pino are nominated for their accomplishments during the treatment plant shutdown of January and February 2015, and for their continued work and efforts to improve worker safety at this facility.

They completed over 500 corrective maintenance and preventative maintenance work orders at the plant to improve safety and readiness for the upcoming peek demand season.

2.0

Thank you. You each get \$400.

Next we have Lorraine Quakey (phonetic), and Lorraine will receive \$400. When responding to a waterline break, Lorraine encountered an elderly couple who was without water due to the break. The customer needed water for medication and Lorraine took the initiative to drive to a nearby store and purchased a case of bottled water to help them get through.

This exemplifies the type of customer service water employees should and always do provide. Thank you very much for that.

And next we have Frank Roth, who will get \$500 and eight hours of leave. Over the last year Frank Roth has been very active and productive in helping the water authority advance it's performance measurement efforts and enhanced outreach with the community at large through the customer conversations; represent the water authority in several research foundation projects and he's been a featured presentation at several

national conferences. He has also been active in enhancing innovation performance improvement for the water utility sector.

Thank you for that, Frank. Congratulations.

Councillor Sanchez.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'm kind of curious, Director Sanchez, on how you determine who gets what. We had in one case one of the employees received \$250, Angelique Maldonado, and others got \$500 plus eight hours. How do make that determination.

And congratulations to all the employees.

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor

Sanchez, anyone can be nominated by anyone in the organization. It goes through a committee to get reviewed, vetted, and they recommend the nominations for recognition and the amount.

There's a budget amount on a quarterly basis.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: I think this is great that they award our employees for hard work and innovation. And I really want to thank you and your team for giving these awards, because it's well deserved.

1 And thank you to the employees.

2.0

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: So congratulations to all of our employees who have received awards this quarter.

Next we have discussion of some of the awards that the water utility as a whole has received recently. So I'd like to started with Charles Leader to talk about the NACWA Silver Peak for Performance Award.

MR. CADENA: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, I'm Charles Leader. I manage the plant operations division.

This award is about recognizing the efforts of those at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant. In 2013 we qualified for this award, which is awarded by the National Association of Clean Water Agencies. And we qualified for that award in 2013. We had only five violations of our NPDES permit, which is good. That was the first time in about six years in found ourselves in that position.

In 2014 I'm pleased to say we did at the even better job. We reduced our permit violations to only three. And for that, we qualify for a Silver Award.

This is a team effort to continually improve work. It's a team effort from compliance division, who's responsible for third-party verification of our results, and we thank them for their diligence and efforts. It's a reflection of the dedication of 97 men and women who work at the Southside Water Reclamation Plant and who are dedicated to operations and maintenance.

And I'm pleased to point out that their job is getting a little easier every year as the plant is upgraded and renovated. It's also, you know, other members of the team who are responsible for this success. There are those in the central planning and engineering group who are providing us with excellent management of the CIP program to renovate the Southside Water Reclamation Plant. They're really working hard to see that scarce funds are well spent, decisions made on how those funds are spent are logical transparent and defensible. And, oh, yeah, they're also consistent with our procurement code regulations, I'm pleased to report.

And on behalf of these hard-working employees of the water authority, I'd like to thank the board of directors for its support and

guidance that helps us to complete our mission,
which for me is providing our customers with
exceptional service and value.

But on that note, I present to you the 2014 Silver Award for Peak Performance.

We're not stopping -- we're not resting on our laurels in 2014. We're on track in 2015 yet for another Silver Peak Performance Award. In 2016, we start going for the gold, which is no permit violations. And hopefully we'll string together a series of those and qualify for platinum. So stay tuned for details. And, again, thank you for your support.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: And I want to say thank you to everyone who had a role in the process that brought the water utility that award. And thank you for your continual improvement process. I do look forward to next year and the year after, seeing you get the gold award. So thanks for your efforts towards that.

And another award, NACWA Gold Excellence in Management Award. The water utility was awarded the Gold Excellence in Management Award from the National Association of Clean Water Agencies. This award recognizes the water

authority's significant achievement in utility
management and adopting successful management
practices. The Gold Award recognizes the
utilities progress in implementing the ten
attributes of effectively managed utilities, as
well as resource efficiency and protection
activities.

And, Mr. Roth, if you could add to that, please.

MR. ROTH: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'd like to be add that this achievement is in the recognition of the Utility Management Best Practices and the ten attributes of effectively managed utilities.

You can see this diagram shows those ten attributes and our work in achieving success in each of these attribute areas and our recognition. But more importantly, this award acknowledges the outstanding work from our employees in achieving this recognition.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Again, congratulations to everyone who had a role in making this happen, and congratulations on this outstanding recognition.

We are now on public comment.

Ms. Jenkins, how many people do we have signed up. 1 2 MS. HAGER: Good evening. We have one 3 speaker. 4 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I will just 5 remind everybody, our one speaker, you have three 6 minutes to speak, with a warning bell at two and a 7 half minutes. Would you please call the first speaker. 8 9 MS. HAGER: Elaine Hebbard. 10 MS. HEBBARD: Good evening. My name us 11 Elaine Hebbard. I'm the sole speak, so maybe I... 12 No. I wanted to -- it's sort of chilling 13 14 after all those nice awards to be up here. But I 15 wanted to bring up a couple things. One is ib 16 Item 7, which is you have two development 17 agreements in front of you. And before agreeing 18 to any more hookups, I'd like to ask whether or not Policy L, which links water that land use 19 20 together has actually been implemented. And if 21 not, how might that be done.

And Recommendation 7 calls for that the authority should request that member governments take water supply availability and cumulative impact into account when making land-use

22

23

24

25

development decisions and that member governments
adopt policies integrating land use,
transportation, economic development and other

4 planning efforts with water resource management.

2.0

Without knowing the cumulative impact of all of these different promises that are being made by various entities, be it the city, the county, or even outside the county, by Rio Rancho, et cetera, how can we all know whether or not there is sufficient resources to actually provide to an individual development? And what those other impacts. What are the impacts to, say, the South Valley? Shouldn't new water rights be needed?

The other item that I'm going to talk about is not Item 10B but rather some things that aren't really on there that are sort of related to Item 10B, and that is the budget, the current financial and water resources.

Ms. Yuhas indicated back at the end of June, I think, that the customers had saved about a billion gallons. Well, that translates to about \$2 million. So that would indicate for this year about \$2 million less in revenue. At the same time, the board was told that there was probably

```
about $3.5 million less in revenue being expected
1
    than had been budgeted. Well, it's unclear now as
2
 3
    to what actually the amount that's going to be
    less because the CAFR was $17 million less for
 4
5
    FY14 than actually had been reported earlier.
    I think it behooves the board to have a clear
6
7
    picture of what the actual budget revenue is
    before it can make some adjustments to it.
8
9
              The asset management plan also, it's
    unclear how much is being spent. But we do know
10
11
    that it needs to be ramped up $3 million a year,
12
    and about 14 million has been deferred in past
13
    years. Is that going to catch up or not?
14
    it's really important when we look at various
15
    impacts.
16
              So I hope that the board will look at
    other ways to raise rates other than just across
17
18
```

other ways to raise rates other than just across
the board; to employ a liaison to help it go
through all of these various issues; and three,
have a sunshine portal that everybody else has.
And I've made that request before. Thank you.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Ms. Hebbard, thank you

for the questions. There's something that we've asked in the past, and I'm not sure we've had a really clear picture, especially land use connect-ability to water allocation.

And, Mr. Sanchez, have we had an opportunity to talk other entities? I know we talk about how we work with them, but has land use been a real topic in any kind of conversation of any kind of intergovernmental talks?

There's none that I know of, and I think it's something that we need to get thinking about.

MR. SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, Councillor

Garduno, yes and no. If you recall, historically this body attempted to regulate, if you will, some plumbing codes with other jurisdictions. And those efforts didn't succeed. We, to the extent we can, include land use planning by requiring that, in terms of any development agreement, outside of what we construe as our existing service area, which is a boundary which says an area was fully developed. So to that extent, that's what we're currently doing.

In terms of linking our resources with land use planning and future growth, we're in the process of updating the water resources management

strategy, as Ms. Hebbard is aware, as well as developing a water budget, which will give us a tool to calculate, if you will, all of these connections as a cumulative effect going forward.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Madam Chair, if I may.

One of the things that the city is doing, and I think the county is also doing, is trying to simplify zoning issues, zoning regulations so that developers have a better, easier picture of how to do it and what to do and how quickly. But that's the one thing that has not been connected, how does water play into it.

And am I understanding the question correctly that you're asking, isn't it important that we know what we have to promise and then that we're able to deliver?

MS. HEBBARD: This was a recommendation made ten years in the earlier water resource management strategy. And I'm hoping that it will be taken by also board members back to the county and the city to look at and think about in this updated comprehensive plan, how can you integrate some of these things because you have different -- you now have the water utility you have these different players, and so how can you integrate and include

though cumulative impacts into your planning all 1 2 the way around. 3 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Sanchez, thank you for explaining 4 5 that, because I think it's something you've 6 explained in the past. And I just would just urge 7 all of us to work closely together. Otherwise, as you alluded to, I think, one of the agencies is 8 9 going to be left out there trying to patch up what 10 the others have done or undone. Thank you. 11 Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. 13 are now on approval of the consent agenda. I move 14 we approve tonight's consent agenda. Do I have a 15 second? 16 COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second. CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Second from 17 18 Commissioner De La Cruz. All those in favor, say 19 aye. 2.0 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 21 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed? 22 That passes unanimously. 23 (4-0 vote. Agenda Item 8 approved.) CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on 24 25 approvals, C-15-23.

1 Mr. Stomp. 2 MR. SANCHEZ: Excuse me, Madam Chair. There 3 are two items for introduction very quickly. 4 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I apologize. Ι 5 skipped right over that. Sorry, John. 6 We are now on introductions, first 7 reading of legislation. So Chris Cadena to present R-15-14. 8 9 MR. CADENA: Hello, Madam Chair, Members of the Board. I'd first like to present to you the 10 11 development agreement for Durango Units 1, 2 and 3, which is located on the west side of Rainbow, 12 just south of Woodmont Avenue. 13 14 The development consists of 88 15 residential lots. The developer is obligated to 16 build infrastructure for both water and sanitary 17 sewer to serve these lots. The property lies 18 within 4W, the west side. And all development is obligated to pay the applicable UECs and water 19 20 supply charges. There's no fiscal impact for the 21 water authority for this project. 22 COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Move approval. 23 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: This is just for 24 introduction, so do we need to approve it?

25

MR. SANCHEZ:

No.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Mr. Cadena, if you could go on R-15-15.

MR. CADENA: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, the second development agreement is for a development entitled Cruz Estates. It's an 11-lot subdivision located east of the Arenal Canal, just on the south side of Pajarito Road. It lies within the 0-W pressure zone of the Pajarito trunk. The developer is required to extend public water and sanitary sewer service to serve the development. All applicable UECs and water supply charges will be paid for this development. And there's no fiscal impact for this project to the water authority.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Any questions or comments?

Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I just want to make sure that people understand this is Cruz Corporation no De La Cruz, right?

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Thank you.

I missed another very important item on todays agenda. That is announcement of the next scheduled meeting. September 23rd, 2015,

5:00 p.m., here in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.

So we have approved the consent agenda, and we are now on NUMBER 9A. Mr. Stomp.

MR. STOMP: Good evening, Madam Chair and Members of the Board. This agreement before you tonight, which we're recommending approval, is an ongoing arrangement that we've had with the City of Santa Fe, going on about 20 years.

As this board has recognized the need and importance for municipalities to continue to work together, we share our resources when available. The City of Santa Fe came to us and asked us to store some of their San Juan Chama water in our space in Abiquiu.

We have, as you remember, 170,900 acre feet of the space in Abiquiu, so this represents about 10 percent of that. The rain, itself, provides for the City of Santa Fe to make a deposit of their water into our space, when they actually put water into our space. So it creates and generates water for us. At the same time, we'll be able to work with them. But also, we can ask them to withdraw that water if there's a need for the water authority to have that space.

So, in other words, we're working with

```
them, we get a little bit out of it, but we're
1
2
    also protecting ourselves in the event that we
 3
    need the space for ourselves.
              With that, Madam Chair, I'll be glad to
 4
5
    answer any questions.
6
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Commissioner De
7
    La Cruz.
          COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Thank you, Madam
8
    Chair. I think this is a good neighbor effort and
9
10
    move approval.
11
          COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ:
                                Second.
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion
12
13
    and a second. Any questions?
14
              Councillor Garduno.
15
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I just have two sort of
    logistic questions. What happens with
16
    evaporation? Do they also turn to that?
17
18
          MR. STOMP: Madam Chair and Councillor
19
    Garduno, they share in the proportional share with
20
    the evaporative losses. So as the evaporative
21
    losses for all of our water in our space is
22
    calculated, their percentage of their share is
23
    subtracted from that.
24
          COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: The other question was,
25
    you mentioned something about how if we needed
```

space, we are able to -- I can we can ask them to 1 2 remove their water, I guess. What happens? Do 3 they take it somewhere else? Do they release it? MR. STOMP: Well, Madam Chair, Councillor 4 5 Garduno, in theory, we could ask them to release 6 it. We would probably not do that. We would 7 probably try to figure out a way to work with them. We have other space available in Elephant 8 Butte, for example, so we could move their water 9 to Elephant Butte so they don't lose the water. 10 11 So it would be rare that we would actually say, "Hey, please, we need our space. 12 13 Please get it out." Because we probably wouldn't 14 do that. We'd try to figure out a way. 15 There's also space is El Vado, so there's other opportunities to do that. But in 16 the event that it really actually came down --17 18 push came to shove, we would ask them to move it. But again, I don't think we would do that. That's 19 20 not our cooperative nature anyway. 21 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And, Madam Chair, if I 22 may.

Based on what Commissioner De La Cruz mentioned, the good neighborly thing we are doing would be negated if we did that, so I'm glad to

23

24

25

```
know that there's other avenues to accomplish
 1
 2
    that. Thank you.
 3
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. Thank
 4
    you.
 5
              Any other questions, comments? Seeing
 6
    none, we have a motion and a second to approve
 7
    C-15-23. All in favor, say aye.
          ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
 8
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Opposed?
 9
10
              That passes unanimously.
11
              (4-0 vote. Agenda Item 9A approved.)
12
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We are now on
13
    C-15-24, appointment of Jennifer Thatcher to the
14
    water protection advisory board. I move approval.
          COMMISSIONER DE LA CRUZ: Second.
15
16
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: We have a motion
    and a second. Any questions, comments?
17
              Councillor Garduno.
18
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I just wondered if
19
20
    Ms. Thatcher is present if she would want to say a
21
    few words. If not, then go on with the agenda.
22
          CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right.
23
    don't think that she's here. But she comes very
24
    highly recommended by water utility staff for her
25
    performance to date. So I'm glad that we've got
```

her on this. 1 2 I think we have a motion and a second to 3 approve C-15-24. All in favor, say aye. 4 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. VICE CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Opposed? 5 6 That passes unanimously. 7 (4-0 vote. Agenda Item 9B approved.) CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Councillor 8 9 Sanchez. 10 COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. 11 Before we move on, I would like to ask the 12 director, we have quarterly finance meetings with 13 the water authority. I think it's important that 14 we report out, after that meeting, to the entire 15 board what was discussed at these finance 16 meetings. So maybe during the next meeting, we 17 can report out the following items that were 18 discussed during the quarterly finance meeting. I think it's important that the entire 19 20 board and the community gets to know what's being 21 discussed in these meetings. 22 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: I think that's an 23 excellent idea. 24 So, Mr. Sanchez, if you would add that 25 to next month's agenda.

Any other questions, comments at this time?

We are 10A, OB-15-8, U.S. Department of

Energy. I believe we have David Rast.

MR. RAST: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: Welcome.

MR. RAST: Good evening, Madam Chair and Board Members. My name is David Rast. I am with the U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration, specifically with the Sandia site office. My role in that office is the senior waste management official; I'm in charge of the waste management programs at Sandia that we manage. I also have the environmental restoration and long-term stewardship program.

We are here in response to Resolution R-15-7 that was passed on May 20, 2015. This document raised some assertions regarding our mixed-waste landfill at Sandia National Labs. And we are here to respond to the assertions within that document and present information and rebuttal to those assertions.

For your information, I have a map locating the mixed-waste landfill. It is approximately four miles south of the central

1 | technical area managing at Sandia National Labs.

2 | It's approximately five miles southeast of the

Sunport, and about 2.2 miles due east of our

4 | western boundary at Sandia National Labs.

I have with me tonight Mike Mitchell.

6 Michael is the project lead for the mixed-waste

landfill. He'll be giving you some background

8 information on the mixed-waste landfill and

9 responding to the assertations [sic]. We will

10 then have time for questions and answers from the

11 | board. Thank you.

3

7

12

15

24

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Dave.

13 And Thank you, Madam Chair and Board

14 | Members, for allowing us this time to speak with

you this evening. My name is Mike Mitchell. I've

16 been the project Leader with the mixed-waste

17 | landfill since 2009. I am going to start by

18 providing some background information, and then

19 address each of the ten assertions that contained

20 | in Resolution R-15-7. I'd like to point out that

21 | all of these assertions were part of the recent

22 | New Mexico Environment Department, or NMED, public

23 | hearing that occurred in July.

The mixed-waste landfill has been

25 extensively investigated and monitored since 1990.

The operational history, inventory and investigation and monitoring results were all addressed in a public hearing in December of 2004. This was associated with a Class 3 permit modification request for the mixed-waste landfill remedy selection. The April 2005 hearing officer report was fully adopted by the NMED secretary in his May 2005 final order that documents remedy selection and final conditions for corrective

action at the mixed-waste landfill.

The hearing officer's report included the following conclusions. The mixed-waste landfill inventory is reasonably accurate and complete and sufficient for a selection of a final remedy. The mixed-waste landfill does not contain high level waste. The groundwater is not contaminated by releases from the mixed-waste landfill, and Sandia's risk assessments are adequate and investigation data and the corrective measure study report, which evaluated various remedy alternatives and proposed a selected final remedy, are all of high quality.

The May 2005 final order issued by the NMED secretary selected a vegetative soil cover for the bio-intrusion barrier as the remedy and

added the additional conditions of institutional and physical controls, fate and transport modeling, monitor and associated trigger levels, and five-year reports.

All final order conditions were completed by September 2014. The New Mexico Environment Department issued a corrective action complete certificate for the mixed-waste landfill on October 8, 2014. DOE and Sandia requested a Class 3 permit modification for corrective action complete with controls on October 20, 2014. And as part of this process, DOE and Sandia held a public heating on November 18 of last year, at the Manzano Mesa Multi-Generational Center. This provided information to the public on what had been accomplished and the status of the mixed-waste landfill.

The New Mexico Environment Department, as I just mentioned, held a public hearing for its proposal to grant corrective action complete status with controls from July 8 through July 11 of this year.

This slides summarizes some of the key points regarding the mixed-waste landfill, and it's a three-dimensional conceptual model diagram.

First, the wastes are largely solid, low mobility wastes. A 500-foot thick barrier, or unsaturated zone, also referred to as a vadose zone, separates the disposal areas from the regional groundwater aquifer beneath the mixed-waste landfill. This barrier is comprised of dry, alluvial settlements, primarily silts and sands, with some clay and gravel.

A regional climate is characterized by a semi-arid climate, with low average annual precipitation, as we're all painfully aware of, although this has been a very good year. And a net potential evapotranspiration rate, that is approximately 75 inches a year. So our annual rainfall average is 8.5 inches, evapotranspiration, 75 inches. In other words, the rate of evaporation and transpiration of moisture from surface soil is about nine times greater than the annual precipitation.

Minimal waste migration has been verified by the investigation borehole soil sampling, soil vapor sampling, and groundwater monitoring programs conducted since 1990.

I will now directly respond to each assertion in Resolution R-15-7.

The first assertion is: The mixed-waste landfill is unique and will remain extremely dangerous to Albuquerque residents for millennia to come if the wastes are not excavated, properly stores, and disposed of in the deep geologic repository.

Our response: The mixed-waste landfill conditions are protective of Albuquerque residents and the Albuquerque environment, including the regional drinking water aquifer, based on extensive investigation results, 25 years of monitoring, conservative fate and transport modeling, and supporting risk assessment. This determination has been made by the New Mexico Environment Department after their review of all of our data and technical reports.

The remedy selected by the NMED secretary, a vegetation bio-intrusion barrier, combined with institutional and physical controls, ensures long term protection. Required monitoring, trigger levels and reporting ensure future conditions remain protective and that the public is informed.

Assertion 2: Sandia's records show that the mixed-waste landfill contains 119 barrels of

plutonium and americium contaminated waste, tons of depleted uranium, and high level mixed nuclear waste from nuclear reactor meltdown experiments, nuclear weapons testing, and the 1979 nuclear accident and Three Mile Island.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The mixed-waste landfill Our response: does not contain wastes that are defined as high level nuclear waste, which is the highly radioactive material resulting from the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel. National Laboratories has never reprocessed nuclear fuel. The mixed-waste landfill inventory was established in the late 1990s and addressed at the 2004 hearing, and it does contain plutonium and americium contaminated waste, depleted uranium, and items and materials contaminated from nuclear reactor experiments conducted at Sandia National Laboratories Technical Area 5. It does also contain debris from nuclear weapons testing and some items from Three Mile Island.

The items and materials in the mixed-waste landfill that are from the nuclear reactor experiments, such as empty test containers and test equipment, do not contain the nuclear fuel used for the experiments. The nuclear fuel

material is tracked and accounted for through the Sandia Materials Control and Accountability

Program and its predecessor programs. And it is not in the mixed-waste landfill. The estimated total mass of plutonium in the mixed-waste landfill is less than 1 gram.

2.0

Assertion Number 3: Sandia management memorandum from 1997 to 2001, along with thousands of radioactive and hazardous waste disposal sheets state that cannisters containing metallic sodium and high level nuclear waste were disposed of in shallow pits and trenches at the mixed-waste landfill.

Our response: There are no disposal sheets or other records that document disposal of metallic sodium and high level nuclear waste in the mixed-waste landfill. Sandia memoranda from 1997 through 2001 focused on four empty cannisters buried in Pits 35 and 36 that may have been contaminated from nuclear reactor experiments conducted in Technical Area 5.

During the 2004 hearing Sandia testified that these cannisters did not contain metallic sodium and nuclear fuel material, clarifying the information in the earlier memos. There is no

high level waste in the mixed-waste landfill, as confirmed by an independent study conducted by the New Mexico Environment Department Oversight Bureau in 2003; the testimony presented by Sandia in the 2004 hearing; the April 2005 hearing officer's report, that was fully adopted by the NMED secretary in the NMED final order issued in May 2005; and the testimony provided by Sandia in the recent 2015 hearing.

Assertion Number 4: Metallic sodium is explosive in the presence of water, so there is the potential for an explosion causing a breach in the mixed-waste landfill's dirt cover and spread radiation into Albuquerque's air and groundwater.

Our response: There is no potential for a sodium explosion that would cause a breach in the mixed-waste landfill vegetative soil cover.

Metallic sodium combined with high level waste was not disposed in the mixed-waste landfill. The disposal area subsurface soils have a very low moisture content and are protected from percolation and infiltration of soil moisture by the engineered vegetative soil cover designed specifically of this purpose. If there was potential for any kind of reaction, it would have

occurred during the mixed-waste landfill operational period, which concluded in December 1988.

Assertion Number 5: Sandia's own records show that the mixed-waste landfill is leaking radioactive waste, solvents and heavy metals from shallow, unlined pits and trenches into Albuquerque's drinking water aquifer.

Our response: During the 2004 and 2015 public hearings, DOE and Sandia presented sampling, monitoring and modeling documentation demonstrating that the mixed-waste landfill has not impacted Albuquerque's drinking water aquifer, located approximately 500 feet below the ground surface, beneath the mixed-waste landfill.

Assertion Number 6: High level nuclear waste, such as that buried at the mixed-waste landfill, requires deep geological disposal in an engineered facility; not shallow disposal in unlined pits and trenches.

Our response: The mixed-waste landfill does not contain high level waste, as stated in the 2005 hearing officer's report that was fully adopted by the NMED secretary, and as presented in director and rebuttal testimony by Sandia at the

recent July 2015 hearing. The mixed-waste landfill wastes are appropriately described as low level, radioactive and mixed wastes. Mixed-waste landfill wastes do not require disposal in a deep geologic repository.

Assertion Number 7: Mixed-waste landfill's buried wastes lie above the Albuquerque's aquifer stored in plastic bags, cardboard boxes, steel drums, and cannisters that will eventually decay and corrode.

Our response: The various types of containment used for wastes were documented during the Phase 2 RCRA facility investigation during the '90s and were addressed at the 2004 public hearing. The degradation of waste containers does not impact the protection of human health and the environment, based on investigation results and a conservative fate and transport model.

The majority of the mixed-waste landfill wastes are comprised of solid, low mobility wastes that have not migrated. Long term monitoring requirements and trigger levels address the more mobile contaminants and ensure long term protection.

Assertion Number 8: Groundwater

monitoring for the mixed-waste landfill has been inadequate and does not support the decision to leave the wastes under a dirt cover.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Our response: The groundwater monitoring network and monitoring results have been challenged by Citizen Action and others since the late 1990s. These issues were addressed at the 2004 hearing and again at the 2015 hearing. The extensive administrative record documents the New Mexico Environment Department's determination that the mixed-waste landfill groundwater monitoring has been and continues to be technically defensible and representative for site characterization and final remedy determination. All groundwater monitoring has been performed using NMED monitoring wells and NMED sampling methods and procedures.

Assertion Number 9: No complete inventory of mixed-waste landfill waste was made.

Our response: The mixed-waste landfill inventory is based upon an extensive set of records and supporting information that were discussed at length during the 2004 hearing.

These records and supporting information, approximately 5,800 pages, were provided to

Citizen Action in 2001 and 2002. The 2005 hearing officer's report to the NMED secretary stated that the mixed-waste landfill inventory is quote, reasonably accurate and complete, considering the age of the records, length of time the landfill operated, and the types of waste routinely disposed of in the landfill, unquote.

2.0

The inventory is sufficiently complete to support a remedy decision as determined by NMED and documented in the 2005 NMED final order. This issue was addressed again in the 2015 hearing.

Assertion Number 10: Sandia possesses the technology to safely excavate and store the mixed-waste landfill waste.

Our response: The NMED remedy decision from the 2004 public hearing is based on the protection of human health and the environment.

If site conditions were not proactive, NMED would have selected a different remedy.

The mixed-waste landfill in its current state, unexcavated, with the vegetative soil cover and monitoring systems in place is protective of site workers, Albuquerque residents, and the Albuquerque environment. In accordance with the final order, if conditions change in the future,

as indicated by monitoring results exceeding established trigger levels, DOE and Sandia are required to implement additional corrective action or a different remedy, as determined by NMED based upon their review of that data.

2.0

Our conclusions: The department of energy and Sandia are in full compliance with all regulator requirements that apply to the mixed-waste landfill, and current conditions are protective of site workers, Albuquerque citizens, the Albuquerque drinking water aquifer, and the Albuquerque environment.

The vegetative soil cover with bio-intrusion barrier is protective and monitoring trigger levels inspections and maintenance requirements ensure future conditions will remain protected. Annual and five-year reports required by NMED ensure that the public and the water utility authority remain informed of conditions.

A recommendation as presented in R-15-7 is for DOE and Sandia to continue to follow up with you all as appropriate.

We'd like to conclude our presentation with this photograph of the mixed-waste landfill with the vegetative soil cover and bio-intrusion

barrier in place and native vegetation established on the cover. This photograph was taken in June of this year.

2.0

As designed, the mixed-waste landfill cover protects the waste disposal areas from intrusion and the infiltration of soil moisture. Current site conditions blend with the natural surroundings of Technical Area 3, where the MWL is located, to provide long term protection, with minimal maintenance.

That concludes my presentation. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: All right. In minute I'm going to ask the other members of this board if they have questions, but I do have one question myself.

What kind of monitoring is going on? Do you have monitoring wells at this site? Is there anything that would indicate if water were leaking into the mixed-waste landfill and beginning to percolate down towards the groundwater?

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you for asking that question. The monitoring requirements that are part of the long term monitoring and maintenance plan include -- and first let me say that the

monitoring that's required by the New Mexico
Environment Department for the long term is
comprehensive. It includes monitoring the air,
surface soil, the 500-foot thick unsaturated zone
or vadose zone for soil moisture and soil vapor,
monitoring the groundwater and biota to provide
empirical field results that are compared to
established trigger levels to ensure conditions
are documented and remain protected.

So the monitoring is essentially from the surface all the way down to groundwater and including groundwater. And the specific monitoring constituents are based on the inventory, the investigation data and the modeling that looked at and identified what are the contaminants that are of most concern in terms of mobility and how can we make sure that we get an early warning if conditions are changing.

The trigger levels I mentioned are typically set at a percent of a standard, a regulatory standard. So corrective action is triggered and follow-up action is triggered before a regulatory standard is exceeded.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: So your monitoring wells are screened at depths throughout

the vadose zone and at the surface of the groundwater?

MR. MITCHELL: Correct. We have a soil vapor monitoring network that looks at volatile organic compounds in soil vapor from 40 feet down to 400 feet, and there's 17 ports with many of them at 100, 200, 300, all the way to 400.

Our groundwater wells, we have three compliance wells at the western boundary that are screened across the uppermost part of the regional aquifer, as required by the regulations, and one background well. And those wells look for contamination that would hit the very top of the aquifer, which is where you would detect it first.

The air monitoring is looking at emissions of radon, blah, blah.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: So can you just clarify that. You said the groundwater monitoring well is -- a screen is just at the surface of the groundwater, or is it throughout the vadose zone?

MR. MITCHELL: There are different wells for the vadose zone and different wells for groundwater.

CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: And they're all monitoring water?

MR. MITCHELL: No. The vadose zone wells --1 2 there's no water in the vadose zone, so those are 3 monitor vapor or soil gas. And their purpose is to pick up contaminants that may be moving towards 4 5 groundwater and give us that information before 6 groundwater is impacted. 7 CHAIRWOMAN HART STEBBINS: And I think you did say this. They measure both moisture levels 8 9 and vapor. 10 MR. MITCHELL: There are separate monitoring 11 wells for soil vapor that are angled underneath 12 the cover in the disposal areas, and those monitor exclusively for soil moisture. 13 14 VICE CHAIRWOMAN JONES: All right. I'm just 15 trying to get a sense of what you have in place that would indicate if there were some precipitation that is going through the could 17 18 cover into the soil and moving down towards the 19 groundwater. So you've answered my questions. 20 Thank you very much. 21 Members, any other questions or 22 comments? 23 Councillor Garduno. COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 24

Thanks for the presentation. I do have

16

25

a couple questions. And one of them is, do we have a third party that is looking at this? And I don't mean just reviewing data, but actually being part of the soil vapor extraction process. And who are they and where are they from?

MR. MITCHELL: There is a third party. It is the New Mexico Environment Department Oversight Bureau.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: No, no, I don't think that's who I meant, because they're the ones that are giving the permit. I meant someone who actually would be outside of those two entities, Sandia National Labs, New Mexico ED. New Mexico ED is just looking at the data, saying, "Thank you. Everything looks fine."

I'm asking if somebody, a third party, disinterested, is actually going in there and being part of the process, making sure that when you pull out soil or pull out vapor or look at material, that it's not just yourselves that are looking at it but somebody that can corroborate what you're presenting.

MR. MITCHELL: The oversight bureau is separate from the hazardous waste bureau, who is in charge of direct oversight of DOE and Sandia.

As far as a non-NMED third party, during the 2000 -- or leading up to the 2004 hearing, there was a panel that evaluated all of our investigation data, groundwater data. That was the work panel, and they were congressionally appointed and brought in by the department of energy as a third independent party to look at that information prior to the remedy selection of the vegetative soil cover.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So they're able to vet not only the data that you're presenting but they have been part of the process.

MR. MITCHELL: They were at that time, and the concern at that time was before a remedy was selected that the investigation and risk assessment and other technical information leading to that decision was evaluated by a third party.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. Glad to hear that, because I don't think NMED said that. I think they're under the impression that no one outside of -- CANM -- no one outside of those two, NMED and yourselves, has had the opportunity to look at what is being done. Not the information not the data, because data is already a conclusion. But rather the process. And you're

saying yes, there have been?

2.0

MR. MITCHELL: Prior to the 2004 hearing, yes, there was an independent panel of academic folks that were appointed and did do a review of the corrective measures study and the data that was evaluated as part of the remedy selection.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And on Slide Number -or Page 9, I guess, or Slide 9, you say that there
is no high level -- or no contaminants and no
nuclear mixed waste in the mixed-waste landfill.
And actually, it's -- yeah, Number 9. And then
you go on, the response is, yes, there is.

MR. MITCHELL: No. And I think there's just some misunderstanding on that. Let me get to the proper location here.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Well, Bullet Number 2.

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, I gotcha.

Let me be really clear about this,
because there's a lot of confusion about high
level waste. What I said was that there are
items, materials contaminated from nuclear reactor
experiments, and that they're -- that were
conducted at Sandia, and that there is debris from
nuclear weapons testing and some items from Three
Mile Island. The debris from nuclear weapons

testing is not high level waste. The items from 1 2 Three Mile Island are not high level waste. None of that material the high level waste. Okay? 3 So -- is that where --4 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Well, that's, I think, 5 6 the confusion for me, is that we're saying no 7 there isn't, but there is material there. MR. MITCHELL: There is radioactive waste 8 and mixed waste, and all of that waste is 9 10 appropriately classified as low level radioactive 11 waste. 12 COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And you are then asserting that this low level waste is 13 non-dangerous to anyone, water included? 14 15 MR. MITCHELL: No, I'm not saying that at 16 in the trenches and pits at the landfill, it is 17

all. But I'm saying, in its current state, buried safe there because it's not migrating, it's not affecting groundwater and it's not affecting people at the surface, and so there is no risk.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let me understand. COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And the reason it's not migrating is because it's sequestered, it's kept in place because the pits and the trenches or lined, or how is it?

MR. MITCHELL: No. And the pits and

```
trenches are not lined, but this a material is not
 1
 2
    be mobile unless you move water through it. So if
 3
    there isn't water moving through it, most of this
    material can't migrate. It will just stay right
 4
    where it is.
 5
 6
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Okay. I've been hoping
 7
    for rain, a lot of rain. Now I don't know that I
    want that.
 8
 9
              So is Sandia or Kirtland presently
    putting material in those trenches and/or --
10
           MR. MITCHELL: No. There's been no disposal
11
12
    since the landfill operations ceased in December
    of 1988.
13
14
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So are there other pits
15
    in mixed land waste areas in Sandia or Kirtland?
16
          MR. MITCHELL: There is no disposal
17
    occurring.
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Because there's none
18
19
    generated or --
2.0
          MR. MITCHELL: No. It all going off-site.
    It's not being disposed of on-site.
21
22
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: I hope it's not going
23
    to WIPP.
24
           MR. RAST: Not right now.
25
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Well, thank you.
```

```
I'm glad to hear that you will be apprising us in
1
2
    the future of things as they go along. Thank you
 3
    for the presentation. Thank you.
          VICE CHAIRMAN DE LA CRUZ: Councillor
 4
5
    Sanchez.
6
          COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you
7
    Mr. Co-Chairman.
              My question is regarding Conclusions and
8
9
    Recommendations. You state: Annual and five-year
    reports ensure that the public and the water
10
11
    authority remain informed of conditions.
12
              And then under Recommendations, you
13
    state: Follow-up as appropriate.
14
              What time frame are you looking at as
15
    appropriate?
16
          MR. MITCHELL: We are leaving that up to you
    as far as following up with the water utility
17
18
    authority.
          COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: I want to thank you for
19
20
    your report. I think it's a very thorough report.
21
    The entire board here was very concerned.
22
              I want to thank also Councillor Garduno,
23
    I think, who asked to sponsor this legislation and
    that you provided this report to this authority.
24
25
    Thank you.
```

MR. MITCHELL: You're welcome. And just as a note, the first five-year report will be submitted to the New Mexico Environment Department evaluating the first four years of monitoring under the long term monitoring and maintenance plan, as well as reevaluating excavation of the mixed-waste landfill as required by the final order that will be submitted in January of 2019.

And that will then be made publicly available and open for comment, and the New Mexico Environment Department will be responding to all public comments as stipulated in the final order.

COUNCILLOR SANCHEZ: Thank you.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.

VICE CHAIRMAN DE LA CRUZ: Councillor Garduno.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just as a follow-up, did I read somewhere that you are going to be -- and I think you just alluded to it -- you're going to be presenting again or follow up on some of the material that you've found out or you have? And is it going to be at Manzano Mesa again fairly soon? I read somewhere that you're doing to do that.

MR. MITCHELL: No. There's no -- at this point in the process, the hearing will be concluded with a hearing officer report that will go to the New Mexico Environment Department secretary, and Secretary Flynn will be making a final decision. We're expecting some time towards the end of the year or maybe early next year.

And then that final order will resolve where we are now in the regulatory process. But right now we're under the requirements to produce annual reports for the mixed-waste landfill that present all the monitoring results, including comparison of those results to trigger levels, and then also documenting the inspection and any maintenance and repairs that are required.

We've already got two annual reports that have been submitted to the New Mexico Environment. Those are available on their website, and then there will be the five-year report. And those are the reporting requirements we have now.

COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Mr. Chair.

MR. RAST: Commissioner Garduno, we also do have a biennial [sic] meeting, in every six months, in October and the April time frame.

```
Those are typically at the Cesar Chavez Community
 1
 2
    Center, where we do an update, progress, sampling
 3
    results that we have and overview of our entire
 4
    environmental restoration program.
 5
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: And I guess that's what
 6
    I was --
 7
          MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, I'm sorry. I didn't
    follow.
 8
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: So those will continue?
10
           MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
11
           MR. RAST: Those will continue and are
12
    required in our permit as part of our community
13
    relations plan.
14
           COUNCILLOR GARDUNO: Thank you.
15
              Thank you, Mr. Chair.
           VICE CHAIRMAN DE LA CRUZ: Would you clarify
16
    for the public where the assertions come from, who
17
18
    makes them.
19
           MR. RAST: The assertions were in your
20
    Resolution 15-7, and a lot of those were presented
21
    by Citizen Action in front of this board.
22
           MR. MITCHELL: I did not read that part of
23
    the assertion. They all start with "Citizen
24
    Action New Mexico asserts."
25
           VICE CHAIRMAN DE LA CRUZ: Thank you.
```

MR. RAST: Thank you. VICE CHAIRMAN DE LA CRUZ: Moving on to the next item, which is OB-15-9, future debt maturity and revenue implications. Mr. Sanchez -MR. SANCHEZ: Mr. Chair, that was deferred. VICE CHAIRMAN DE LA CRUZ: Thank you very much. Any other comments, questions? Seeing no further business, this meeting is adjourned. (Proceedings adjourned at 6:10 p.m.)

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, Kelli Gallegos, New Mexico Provisional
7	Reporter, No. P-409, working under the direct
8	supervision of Paul Baca, NM CCR #112, do hereby
9	certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings
10	in stenographic shorthand and the pages are a true
11	and correct transcript of those proceedings and
12	were reduced to printed form under my direct
13	supervision.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
15	employed by nor related to any of the parties or
16	attorneys in this matter and that I have no
17	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
18	
19	
20	KELLI GALLEGOS Provisional License P-409
21	License Expires: 12/31/15
22	
23	
24	
25	