1	ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY
2	WATER UTILITY AUTHORITY
3	
4	
5	May 17, 2017
6	5:00 p.m. Vincent E. Griego Chambers
7	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
8	
9	Councilor Klarissa Pena, Chair
10	Commissioner Debbie O'Malley, Vice Chair
11	Councilor Pat Davis
12	Commissioner Maggie Hart Stebbins
13	Commissioner Wayne Johnson
14	Councilor Trudy E. Jones
15	Trustee Pablo Rael
16	Executive Director Mark Sanchez
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	REPORTED BY: PAUL BACA, CCR #112
	PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS
24	500 4th Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

1 I call this May 17 meeting of CHAIR PENA: 2 the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility 3 Authority to order. 4 Let the record reflect that Member Perry 5 is excused this evening. Next item, Item 2, Pledge of Allegiance. 6 7 We will have a moment of silence and the pledge led 8 by Counselor O'Malley. 9 (Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was 10 said.) 11 CHAIR PENA: Okay. Next item, we have 12 Item 3, approval of the minutes. 13 I make a motion to approve the April 19 14 minutes. 15 MEMBER: Second. 16 CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a 17 second. 18 All those in favor say yes. 19 MEMBERS: Yes. 20 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 21 Motion passes. 2.2 The next item is proclamations and awards. 23 We have no proclamations and awards this 24 evening, so we will move on to public comment. 25 Ms. Jenkins, how many people do we have

signed up to speak? 1 2 MS. JENKINS: We have two. 3 CHAIR PENA: Two? Okay. 4 If you could read them out. The speakers 5 have three minutes with a two-and-a-half-minute 6 warning. 7 Michael Jensen, followed by MS. JENKINS: 8 Elaine Hebard. 9 MR. JENSEN: Hi. My name is Michael 10 This is what the City chose to believe the Jensen. 11 aquifer looked like in 1961. That orange area was 12 just something you could stick a straw in and suck 13 water out of, which was very nice for developers, so 14 they advertised all over the country about the Lake 15 Superior of water underneath us. Who knew that you could surf here. 16 17 Then in 1990, Kelly Summers, the hydrogeologist for the City of Albuquerque, started 18 19 pushing back against this idea fairly strongly and 20 got fired for it. 21 A couple of years later a study proved 22 that he was right, and the Water Utility Authority said that, "We all knew it used to look like this, 23 24 but now it looks like this." It's a lot harder to 25 manage than figure out how much water you've got.

Something strange happened a couple of 1 years ago. We got the lake back. Pretty cool. 2 And 3 a hard limit on the bottom, all red and everything, 4 got this nice, kinder, gentler color. And then this 5 year, oh, my God, it's not even a lake, it's a freakin' ocean. 6 7 And being from California and all, I have 8 to say surf's up for all, and note that there's no bottom limit. There's no western limit -- or 9 10 eastern. There is no western limit. We have no 11 more limits. There's water running everywhere. 12 It's even filled up in the mountains here. 13 So I don't think that the numbers that the 14 utility uses necessarily reflect this, but this is 15 what people see. Okay? It matters a whole lot. 16 So another thought here. This is a USGS 17 monitoring well that the utility put out in their It starts in 1996 and shows that when the 18 report. 19 utility took over everything got awesomely better. 20 This is the whole history of that 21 monitoring well. It goes back before 1960. And it 2.2 shows that in 1961 was when the highest level in 23 that well occurred, which is also when Lake Superior 24 appeared and we pumped the crap out of that well. 25 But in 1996 the federal government said we

had to use low flow fixtures in our house and things 1 leveled off and then they got better, and that well 2 3 has recovered by about 12 feet. 4 But we've got 25 feet more to go, and we 5 aren't going to get there because groundwater 6 pumping has leveled off. Okay? 7 So I urge you to listen to the Kelly 8 Summers, or dare I say the Elaine Hebards of this 9 world, and not to the people that fire them, dismiss 10 them, or talk behind their backs. 11 Thank you very much. 12 MS. HEBARD: Wow, what an awesome 13 reference. Thank you. 14 My name is Elaine Hebard. As you know, 15 I've been coming here for a long time. 16 That graphic for 11 in the new plan was 17 added to the final version, and it is scary. It's 18 not funny to see little waves in the graphic itself. 19 It's not funny to see the words "threshold of 20 irreversible land subsidence" changed to "potential 21 subsidence threshold." It changes the perspective 2.2 for future generations and future decision makers 23 that look at that. 24 So we don't want to adopt and go back to 25 the good old days.

My suggestion would be that this board 1 could take some action to move us forward. Such as: 2 3 There is a statement in the plan that says 4 that the water level is projected to rise to 50 feet 5 below predevelopment level. In other words, there's 6 still a hole of 50 feet, but we are going to rise. 7 And that's going to happen by the 2020s. 8 To do that, we mean that we would have it 9 rise more than three times what it has already risen 10 to date in a similar time frame. That's probably 11 not going to happen. 12 But if that were to be a target, 50 feet 13 below predevelopment level, then you could say, 14 "Well, in 20 years, maybe we could hit that and set 15 some metrics and set some objectives to reach that 16 goal." 17 Why is that important? 18 Then you don't have the drawdowns, you 19 don't have the depletions in river flows that will continue and augment if we continue pumping and 20 21 increase pumping. And that's going to be really 2.2 important, as climate change occurs and we see less 23 and less river flows we already can't -- we can't 24 divert for about a month a year. That's going to be 25 more. We're going to see more of that.

1 So instead of pumping and relying on the pumping and those return flow credits, which is 2 pouring 12,000 to 17,000-year-old water into the 3 4 river, why not aim to reduce that pumping to the 5 10,000 feet, acre feet, that the utility has suggested. 6 7 That's just one of several ideas. But if 8 that were the rallying cry, to be resilient and to 9 live within our means, we would be good neighbors to 10 everyone, since we all -- the basin itself 11 over-consumes by about 50,000-acre feet. 12 By the way, I don't think the TCAC ever 13 saw that graphic. It was just put into the plan, 14 and we never voted on the plan. 15 Thank you. 16 Any questions? Sorry. 17 Thank you. With no further CHAIR PENA: 18 public comment we will move on to announcements and 19 communication. 20 Item A, we have the next scheduled meeting 21 June 21, 5:00 p.m., in the Vincent E. Griego 2.2 chambers. 23 Next we have introduction, first reading, 24 legislation. 25 We have no -- no items. So then we'll

move on to the consent agenda. 1 And the consent agenda, everyone has had a 2 time to review them. I think, if no one is pulling 3 4 anything off of the consent agenda, we will make a 5 motion to accept the consent agenda. COUNCILOR JONES: Second. 6 7 CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a 8 second. 9 All those in favor say yes. 10 MEMBERS: Yes. 11 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no? 12 Motion passes. 13 Next item -- we are on Number 9, 14 approvals. Item A, 0-17-1, amending the Albuquerque 15 Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority water and 16 sewer rate ordinance to adjust water and sewer rates 17 by a 5 percent rate revenue adjustment for FY18, 18 update the utility expansion charge, water supply 19 charge, septic tank and chemical toilet charge by 20 3.45 percent, based on the Engineering News Report 21 Index. 2.2 Mr. Stan Allred. 23 Should we have presentation first or 24 public comment first? 25 MS. JENKINS: It's up to you.

CHAIR PENA: I think we will do the 1 2 presentation. 3 Welcome, Stan. 4 MR. ALLRED: Welcome, Madam Chairman. 5 Just really quick, what you have before you is the rate ordinance. In the rate ordinance 6 7 there's a 5 percent rate increase that was approved 8 by the board in fiscal year 2015. We vetted that 9 and ran it against our cost of service model. 10 We also discussed that with the technical 11 advisory committee, and we had three meetings with 12 them. 13 It was run through with the audit 14 committee as well. 15 This really -- really guickly, the rates, 16 EPA has a standard for affordability. It's an index 17 from zero to 4.5. Anything under 4.5 is a very affordable rate, and that's what EPA recommends. 18 19 Standard & Poor's also uses this metric as 20 part of our rating criteria, and we rated extremely 21 high when we went to the rating agencies in 2.2 December. So existing is 1.22 percent. And with the 23 24 proposed increase, it will go to just basically 25 .06 percent to 1.28 percent, so the rate is still

remaining extremely affordable. 1 We chose a scenario where 75 percent of 2 3 the rates will be received from the sewer rates, kind of back with the cost of service. And that's 4 5 where all of our rehab work is being done. The 6 rates primarily are to increase our infrastructure renewal projects. 7 8 A residential customer who uses about 9 6,000 gallons will see about a \$2.72 a month 10 increase on their bill; whereas, a customer that 11 uses about 22,000 [sic] gallons of water a month 12 will see about \$3.21. 13 A multifamily that has more than one --14 one unit, so uses about 9.2 or 9,200 gallons of 15 water would see about a \$4.44 increase in their water bill. 16 17 The next slide is an indication of how our 18 rates compare with other rates in the community. 19 And I apologize. So there's the bill changes that I was talking about from a residential, 20 21 8 units, down to multifamily, 13 units. 2.2 For a customer that uses about 8 units 23 residential, we rank in almost the bottom -- bottom 24 three, as far as affordability. You'll see that 25 Rio Rancho charges about \$108 a month for that level

of consumption, and we would be charging \$50.35. 1 If you look at a customer that uses a 2 little bit more water, which is 30 units, with an 3 average winter consumption of 8 units, we actually 4 rank last as far as the level of the rates. 5 6 Santa Fe, the rates would be \$380 a month. 7 Our rates would be \$120.66. Rio Rancho would be 8 \$228.92. And you can see Austin all the way back 9 down to Denver. 10 The rate revenue increase was primarily 11 used for -- to generate \$10.3 million. It was 12 primarily for our infrastructure renewal, for our 13 capital project to work on our aging infrastructure. 14 Also, it's a target in the rate ordinance 15 and demanded by the rate ordinance to get to 1/12th 16 of our working capital balance, and this rate 17 increase will get us there. We've had increases in our -- primarily in 18 19 our chemical costs that will cover our risk, our 20 risk cost, power and chemical costs. 21 And just -- roughly, just so you know, 2.2 95 percent of all of our costs are fixed. So no 23 matter what the fluctuation in what the consumption 24 levels are, our rates, such as salaries, debt 25 service, our risk costs, they remain stagnant.

If we didn't have the rate increase we 1 would have a risk of falling out of compliance with 2 3 the rate ordinance and our bond covenants. And 4 there is also an expectation that was given to our 5 rating agencies and shared with the investors that 6 bought our bonds in our last borrowing in January. 7 So this achieves target for financial 8 metrics and for a highly regulated utility by bond 9 agencies. 10 The other thing, just real quick that's in 11 it, there's a slight increase to our extra strength 12 surcharges. They've averaged about a penny. 13 We made some changes to the language for 14 our septic haulers and we increased by -- based upon 15 what's mandated by the rate ordinance, we increased 16 the water supply charge and the true expansion 17 charges by the ENR index. 18 That was at the -- it was on April 1st. 19 And I stand for any questions. 20 CHAIR PENA: Thank you, Stan. 21 Are there any questions for Stan? 2.2 Commissioner Hart Stebbins? 23 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Thank you, 24 Madam Chair. 25 Stan, do you have the graph that shows the

backlog of capital projects that the Water Authority 1 faces at this point in time? 2 3 MR. ALLRED: I don't. In this 4 presentation today I don't have the picture of the 5 backlog. This is in the budget presentation. 6 Basically the idea is is that we would 7 ramp up at \$3 million a year to pay for our aging 8 infrastructure. 9 It's based on a 100-year plan. There's 10 levels back out 30, 40, 50 years from now, where 11 it's really huge amounts. So our idea is to get 12 this 7 to 8 million dollars a year. The intention 13 is is that we would increase our cash transfer every year by \$3 million to raise our capital spendings by 14 15 \$3 million a year to get to a level of about 76 to 16 78 million dollars by 2027. 17 MR. KELLY: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stebbins, that chart is in a later presentation, and 18 19 we could probably advance the presentation and get 20 to that chart if you'd like. 21 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: I'm just 2.2 trying to get a sense of what's the amount of the 23 backlog that we're trying to address. 24 And I guess the water authority has 25 prioritized capital spending.

1 Is that correct? I don't want to jump ahead, but if we're 2 3 going to be voting on the funding first, it might be 4 good to know about it. 5 MR. ALLRED: And I'll let Dave Price 6 explain this graphic. 7 Can you see that? MR. PRICE: This is the 8 graphic that came out of the 2011 asset management 9 plan for the utility wide. And it looked at all of 10 our assets, our pipes and plants and wells and 11 reservoirs, and judged when they needed to be 12 rehabbed and what the costs would be to rehab them 13 or replace them. 14 And they forecasted out 100 years, and 15 that's what is shown in the graph here. As you can 16 see, there's a vertical bar for each of the hundred 17 years, starting in 2011 going up to 20- -- 2111. 18 And for each of those years they predicted 19 how much we should be spending in that particular 20 year to replace the assets that were coming due for 21 replacement. Basically, they are reaching the end of their lives. 2.2 23 And with that, they estimated that we 24 needed to be spending about \$76 million per year 25 every year for that hundred years, and that is 2010

dollars, in order to take care of our assets, 1 2 including the -- the backlog, which they 3 projected -- they placed in the first -- I believe 4 in the first ten years they added the backlog that had accumulated to 2011, in order to spread it out. 5 The issue here, if we don't get on top of 6 7 this thing, in the future we're going to have to 8 spend a whole lot more to bring our assets back up 9 to the point where they're meeting the levels of 10 service that our customers require. For instance, the past -- since we met a 11 12 month ago, we've had four separate intercepter 13 collapses, where you have a sinkhole open up and we have to shut down a road and dig out the pipe and 14 15 put a new pipe in. 16 Typically, when you -- when the pipe gets 17 to the point where it's collapsing or the roof of the pipe is gone, it's much more expensive to 18 19 replace it than if we had gotten in there five years 20 earlier and relined it. In fact, it cost us about 21 two, three, maybe even four times as much to replace 2.2 a pipe by digging up the entire length of that pipe. 23 And obviously, it's very disruptive to traffic and 24 businesses. They have to close down the road or one 25 lane of the road.

And again, it costs us a lot more to do it 1 2 that way than if we were more proactive, recognizing 3 that a sewer line, a concrete sewer line, where we 4 normally get, on average, about 40 years out of, 5 well, we've got a lot of lines that are 50 --6 50 years plus that are past due. They're ready to 7 collapse. And we've -- we go in there, we have a 8 program that videos them, sends a little robot down 9 the pipeline and looks at the roof and stuff and 10 identifies where we have real problematic pipes. 11 And we've got a lot of interceptors and a 12 lot of collection systems, smaller-diameter pipes, 13 that have no roof in them. And these are pipes that are typically underneath our roadways. And the big 14 15 ones are underneath our big roadways. 16 And so when one of those things collapses, 17 which happened a couple or three years ago on Coors, we had to shut down Coors for months in order to dig 18 19 it up. 20 Now if we had gotten in there 5 years, 10 21 years earlier and relined it, well, we'd have to -we could do that, basically, without much of the 2.2 23 road dug up, and it would have cost us a third of 24 what it cost us to actually dig up the old pipe and 25 put in brand-new pipe.

So that's why it's important to keep up 1 2 with this and get ahead of it. 3 You can see out there that the really tall 4 bars on the graphic is -- I believe that's when our 5 San Juan-Chama project plant is coming due for a 6 good renewal. 7 But we need to -- we need to get ahead of 8 it before we see something like that where we would 9 have to undoubtedly have to raise rates tremendously 10 in order to be able to pay for that. 11 Otherwise, the plant is not going to 12 provide the service. It's not going to be able to 13 treat the water properly, and we're going to 14 continue to have one more of these emergences. 15 Even with the rate increases that we are 16 requesting and the spending that we are hoping to 17 get up to, the \$76 million level, we're still going to be in emergency mode for the next two decades 18 19 because we just don't have the money to go in there 20 and fix everything all at once. And it's just one 21 of those things, until you get caught up with this, 2.2 it's going to be much more expensive on a 23 year-to-year basis rather than addressing it 24 proactively. 25 Just like your car. You know if you

change the oil every three months, spend the -- you 1 2 know, a couple hundred dollars a year or whatever 3 doing that versus waiting -- you know, don't change 4 it and then waiting to replace the engine which is 5 going to cost you, you know, \$4,000 or something. 6 So it's just the same analogy. 7 Thank you, Mr. Price. CHAIR PENA: 8 Commissioner Stebbins. 9 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Thank you. 10 So -- so thank you for that. 11 So you say \$76 million is the annual 12 amount we need to keep up with our -- with our 13 infrastructure. How much are we spending now? 14 MR. PRICE: Right now it's about 60 million. 15 16 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Okay. So 17 with this, if this were to pass, we would get up to 18 66 million, so we'd still be below the estimated 19 need. 20 MR. PRICE: Correct. Until, I think it's 21 2023, 2024, when it gets up to the \$76 million 2.2 level. 23 And again, I want to emphasize that's in 24 2010 dollars. When you add in inflation, it's going 25 to be a higher number.

And part of the -- one of the projects we want to do in 2018 is to update the utility-wide asset management plan that will both address that number and bring it up to, you know, 2018 dollars. It would also take another look at our infrastructure overall, to make sure that we included everything.

8 For instance when we did the 2011 study, 9 we didn't have New Mexico Utilities included in that 10 study. So that's another thing we have to include.

11 And I can tell you that in the past --12 since we've taken over that system, we've had to 13 spend a lot more money there to bring that system up 14 to our standards. It's one of the areas of our 15 water system where we struggle in the summers to 16 meet demand. If we lose a well out there or one of 17 our pump stations goes down, we -- we won't be able 18 to provide enough water for the people out there.

So we've got several projects under construction now that are in design phase now, to augment that system.

But that was sort of above and beyond what -- it's not so much a renewal, it's more of an improvements project, more to bring their system up to our standards.

COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: 1 And how much 2 of this will go to the South Valley sewage treatment 3 plant? 4 MR. PRICE: Right now we divert about --5 or spend about, I would say, roughly a third or a 6 little bit more per year of our rehab budget down 7 there. 8 And we had that commitment to spend about 9 \$250,000 over about a ten-year period, and we're 10 about halfway through that now. And we'll continue 11 spending at a higher rate down there, 20, 25 million 12 a year, for the next three or four years. 13 And then that will taper off to about 14 \$14 million a year, and we'll start being able to 15 address some of the other areas. 16 The ramp up, the \$3 million of the ramp 17 up, that mostly goes towards interceptors and our 18 sewer collectorships in the small diameter and our 19 water pipelines. 20 And if you look at our overall assets, 21 when they look at the utility-wide asset management 2.2 plan and looked at all our assets, the vast majority 23 of the -- they estimated it will take about 24 \$5 million dollars -- \$5 billion dollars to replace 25 all of our assets and -- sort of the value of our

1 assets.

1	435665.
2	I would say about 2/3rds of that is in our
3	pipelines. That's the vast majority of our assets,
4	is that true infrastructure is buried, out of sight,
5	but still needs to be addressed.
6	COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Well, thank
7	you. And I want to thank you for being proactive in
8	bringing this to us.
9	I understand, you know, it's never easy,
10	it is never fun to ask for a rate increase. But I
11	think you've pointed out the investment now is
12	actually going to save money, and I think we cannot
13	ignore the fact that there are some really
14	catastrophic collapses that are going on now, the
15	ones that you've mentioned.
16	I know in my district there have been some
17	where there's been significant damage as a result of
18	a broken line.
19	And then this situation was it down on
20	Broadway where the sewage was sloshing into the
21	schoolyard where the children were playing?
22	I think these are some issues that really
23	need to be addressed, and so I thank you for putting
24	together this plan and the explanation. Thank you.
25	Thank you, Madam Chair.

1 CHAIR PENA: Thank you. Any other questions? 2 3 Councilor? 4 COUNCILOR DAVIS: Thank you, Madam Chair. 5 Could you just educate me a little bit? 6 As we're talking about it, I know -- this is just to 7 clarify and to catch us up from previous meetings. 8 The rate increase that's proposed here was 9 one that was planned several years out, and we've 10 kind of made those commitments over the years to get 11 us to the place that we need to do our capital work. 12 But is there a requirement that we apply 13 that 5 percent equally to both use charges and fixed 14 charges, or can we -- in other words, can we adjust 15 that to be more of a fixed charge fee so that we're 16 not raising rates on folks as they become more 17 responsible with their water use and sort of not 18 charging them more for using less? 19 MR. ALLRED: Councilor Davis and Madam 20 Chairman, so what we've done is we have a cost of 21 service rate model, and we make sure that our rates 2.2 among our customers -- and within our class of 23 customers. 24 And what we did is, when we had the 25 dropoff in 2014 with our rates, we made a conscious

effort to put more on the fixed rate. 1 So with this rate increase, 49 percent of 2 3 the -- of the -- of what we're going to receive is 4 coming from the fixed rates. 51 percent is from the 5 commodity. 6 We're basically still trying to create a 7 conservation driven rate structure, but then also 8 allow us the kind of flexibility to make sure that 9 our rate structure is stable. 10 What we've also done is we've looked at 11 what we're spending our money on. And primarily 12 we're spending most of our rehab, as Mr. Price said, 13 on wastewater. 14 So 75 percent of the increase this year, 15 or on this cycle, is going to the sewer rates. 16 On the water side, there will not be an 17 increase to the commodity rate. There will be an increase to the base rate, but not an increase to 18 19 the commodity rate. And then you'll have an increase on both the base and the commodity rate on 20 21 the sewer side. 2.2 COUNCILOR DAVIS: Thank you. 23 CHAIR PENA: Any more questions? 24 If not, we will move on to public comment. 25 Elaine Hebard?

MS. HEBARD: I have a little frog in my 1 2 throat. 3 My name is Elaine Hebard. 4 Rates shouldn't reflect the goals, 5 objectives, budgets, plans, priorities, and policies of the water utility. 6 7 And so we've seen the water decade plan, 8 which is a good guide to our infrastructure planning, but there's not really a performance 9 10 report on the goals and objectives that you pass 11 every year. There's not a report back to the board. 12 And that would be, I think, useful when you're 13 setting both the budgets and the rates. 14 I would like to mention a couple of other 15 things. 16 It would be good to use the quarterly 17 meetings, that are going to be happening under the 18 new objective, to talk about the CIP program, so 19 that you're asking the public what they see, our 20 needs, and not just going out and informing. And 21 that would be a good change, and it could follow the 2.2 County's CIP program. They have a meeting in each 23 district to collect input and have that sort of 24 discussion. 25 I want to also point out a study that just

came out today. And I don't know if this is going 1 2 to pick up the light. 3 But there was a study on overall 4 satisfaction, water quality, and price that was 5 issued by J.D. Power today. 6 And what I've put up here is the list of 7 the 87 water utilities that they study that serve 8 400,000 or more people. 9 And I want to show you where the utility 10 is located. It's at the bottom, almost. There's 11 only seven that are at that same level or lower. 12 That's not a good standing. And so when 13 we are talking about rates, we're talking about 14 infrastructure, and we're talking about goals and 15 objectives, helping the public be involved and 16 engaged, I think will help bring that statistic up. 17 This is what it looks like graphically. And you can see that there's only one utility in the 18 19 state of New Mexico that serves over 400,000 people, and that's us. And we don't look very good in that 20 21 map. 2.2 So with that, I do think that the -- that 23 the rates need to be raised for the reasons that 24 they have been put out. The TCAC did follow the 25 recommendation of the staff and suggests that as

1 well. 2 But I also want to leave this new study 3 and the results with you to do more and to try harder with the customers so that we don't have 4 5 these really negative rates again. 6 Thank you. 7 Any questions of me? 8 CHAIR PENA: Thank you. 9 Are there any other questions? 10 If not, was there a motion already made? 11 Did I make a motion yet? 12 So I'll make a motion to approve 0-17-1. 13 All those in favor say yes. 14 MEMBERS: Yes. 15 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 16 MEMBERS: No. 17 CHAIR PENA: A show of hands. 18 Did you get that? 19 Yes? 20 Say yes to approve it. 21 MEMBER: Yes. 2.2 CHAIR PENA: Yes? 23 Nos? 24 Say no. 25 That passes.

1 Three yes, three no. That motion fails. 2 Okay. 3 The next item we have is B, R-17-9, Okay. 4 appropriating funds for operating Albuquerque 5 Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority fiscal 6 year beginning July 1 and ending June 30, 2018. 7 Mr. Stan Allred presenting on 17-9 and 8 R-17-10 together. So I guess we'll make one motion on that 9 10 one? 11 We'll decide to vote for two motions? 12 Okay. Stan? What you have before you is 13 MR. ALLRED: 14 our FY18 budget. It assumes a rate revenue 15 adjustment, assumes nominal growth in our service 16 It also assumed a GCP level of 129 gallons area. 17 per person per day. It does have a capital spending increase 18 19 of \$6 million from the prior year, \$3 million as 20 part of the ramp up, and then an additional 21 \$3 million for an EPA line in the South Valley. 2.2 We would reincrease the rate reserve by 23 \$2 million. And that's to be used for years when 24 offsetting declines in rate revenue. 25 And it would bring our fund balance to

1/12th of our operating expenditures as a target, 1 per our rate ordinance, and as a -- as something we 2 3 had discussed with the rating agencies. 4 So what are the priorities in FY18? We would do the enhanced outreach and 5 6 communication plan. 7 We would begin implementation of the water 8 2120 plan. 9 We have begun work and continue to do work 10 with the groundwater reserve by injecting purified 11 surface water at the San Juan-Chama plant. 12 Continue to do the increase of 13 infrastructure investment plan by \$3 million a year. And we would create a round-up program 14 15 where customers would round up their bills and we 16 would take that money and set it aside for emergency 17 needs for low income customers. 18 The projected revenues for FY18 would be 19 \$229 million. Of that, 127 million would be for 20 water, 88.3 for wastewater. It would generate what 21 we need to pay for the franchise fee to the City of 2.2 Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, the Village of 23 Los Ranchos, and the City of Albuquerque, of 8.6 million. 24 25 And then we have miscellaneous income of

about 5 million, which is a transfer from solid 1 waste for billing services, a transfer from DMD from 2 3 the City of Albuquerque for doing storm -- storm 4 work, and interest and compost and other 5 miscellaneous type of activities. 6 Our working capital balance, it was a 7 It was a negative \$10 million at the end negative. 8 of FY15. 9 At the end of FY16 we got it close to 10 5 million. 11 At the end of FY17 we estimate it to be 12 about 11 million. 13 And then we would estimate it to be about 1/12th of our operating expenditures by the end of 14 15 FY18. 16 Our budget expenditures is 222 million. 17 Primarily, it's debt service. Debt service is 18 expected to increase to about \$6 million next fiscal 19 year. 20 We have wages and benefits of 21 58.8 million. That does include a 2 percent 2.2 increase, or a step increase, for our employees. 23 Transfer of other funds is \$27 million. That's an increase of \$6 million. And that's a 24 25 transfer to capital.

We have risk costs of \$2.8 million. 1 Workers' comp of 707,000. 2 3 And other capital, which is primarily 4 vehicles, of 618,000. 5 The next is just a quick duplication of 6 where we would see the finance plan. 7 You can kind of see that our revenues 8 exceed our expenditures, along with what our ending working capital balance would be for each year, and 9 10 then what the beginning -- and we would keep that at 11 about -- at a level of 1/12th of our operating 12 expenditures going forth through 2026. 13 Next is our FY18 capital improvement. 14 \$64.1 million. It includes \$52 million for our 15 basic rehab program. 6 million of that was for the 16 completion of the salty watering facility at the 17 wastewater treatment plant; \$4 million to start replacing our aging fleet in our heavy equipment; 18 19 \$3 million for EP compliance, reuse pipeline project 20 that we're required to complete per the EPA. 21 Per the rate ordinance, we do \$2 million a 2.2 year for our automatic leader infrastructure, and we're about 50 percent built out. 23 24 We're required to do \$1 million for steel 25 line, and we're also required to do \$350,000 for

renewal energy projects per an agreement with the 1 State of New Mexico and the Public Service Company 2 3 of New Mexico. 4 So this is how we would increase -- we had 5 our discussion on capital spending -- is that we 6 would increase \$3 million a year. That increase 7 would come from cash transfers and not from debt --8 from debt payments. 9 So you can see that red line is the 10 increase in cash that we would need to have to get 11 to the level of CIP spending, and we would need to 12 get to -- by 2027. 13 And I stand for any questions. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, 14 15 based on the action taken on Item A, my 16 recommendation would be that Items B, C, and D be 17 deferred until June 21, because those budgets are 18 all going to have to be reworked to reduce them by 19 \$10.3 million. 20 And I would also suggest that Item A 21 either be amended -- I have an amendment that 2.2 would -- because this rate adjustment was 23 preapproved and all of the numbers are recalculated, 24 so there is going to have to be an amendment to 25 delete the language off each page where it appears

to allow that rate ordinance to go forward. 1 2 So someone who voted in -- I quess you 3 both voted in the majority, but someone is going to 4 have to move an amendment or, either way, reconsider 5 that item and either amend it tonight, which we have 6 an amendment, if you choose to do that, or defer it. 7 CHAIR PENA: Councilor Davis? 8 COUNCILOR DAVIS: Mr. Sanchez, do we have 9 an obligation to submit a budget by a certain 10 deadline? Remind me. I just don't recall from last 11 year. 12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, 13 Councilor Davis, June 21 would be sufficient. 14 COUNCILOR DAVIS: Okay. 15 CHAIR PENA: Commissioner O'Malley? 16 COMMISSIONER O'MALLEY: Madam Chair, I 17 move to defer. 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, 19 first, you would have to vote to reconsider that 20 item. 21 CHAIR PENA: Reconsider Item A? 2.2 Is there a motion to reconsider Item A? 23 I'll make that motion to reconsider 24 Item A. 25 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Second.

CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a 1 2 second. 3 All those in favor say yes. 4 MEMBERS: Yes. 5 CHAIR PENA: Opposed? 6 Motion passes. 7 So now there needs to be a motion to 8 defer. 9 Commissioner? 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. 11 Madam Chair, what are we deferring on this 12 action? 13 We're reconsidering and now we're going to 14 defer action on the rate increase. 15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, 16 Commissioner Johnson, what you've done basically is 17 put the matter back on the table. 18 So now the question is, do you amend it 19 here today or do you defer it so that it could be 20 cleaned up? Because there's language in there, 21 based on this vote, that has to be removed. 2.2 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: The language in the 23 ordinance? 24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. So --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: 1 Because 2 that's what's suggesting the rates to reflect the 3 revenue adjustment. 4 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I would imagine, if 5 it's an ordinance, we couldn't make an amendment 6 today anyway, correct? 7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Well, it was 8 introduced and it has been published. So yes, you 9 could. 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 11 CHAIR PENA: Commissioner Stebbins? 12 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Thank you, 13 Madam Chair. 14 Mark, from the perspective of water 15 utility management, would you prefer that we defer 16 this until our next meeting or make the amendment 17 tonight so that you can move forward? Madam Chair, 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: 19 Commissioner Hart Stebbins, I don't think it 20 I think we can do it either way. matters. 21 COUNCILOR DAVIS: Madam Chair, if I could 2.2 make a motion that we could table this item until 23 the end of our agenda, and let's take up the other items that aren't related, perhaps Item E, for a 24 25 moment.

Is that in the form of a 1 CHAIR PENA: 2 motion? 3 COUNCILOR DAVIS: Yes, ma'am. 4 CHAIR PENA: Okay. There's a motion on 5 the floor to table this to the end of the agenda. 6 So all those in favor say yes. 7 MEMBERS: Yes. 8 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 9 Motion passes. 10 So we will move on to Item E. And that is 11 C-17-17, approving agreement with the City of 12 Rio Rancho and Intel for emergency sanitary sewer. 13 Mr. John Stomp. MR. STOMP: Madam Chair, and members of 14 15 the board, the agreement before you is an emergency 16 sewer agreement, that Rio Rancho asked if we would 17 be willing to accept some sewage from their Plant 18 Number 1, which is going to undergo construction. 19 And this would only be in an emergency 20 situation, if something happened during the 21 construction and they needed to reroute the flows, 2.2 which would have to go through Intel and then end up 23 back into our sewer system. So that's why there's a 24 three-party agreement. 25 There could be nothing that happens or

there could be an emergency where they have to 1 2 discharge for a certain period of time. 3 We have an agreement with Intel on certain 4 standards that have to be met in terms of what they 5 can discharge to our system, and so Rio Rancho would 6 be responsible for meeting -- meeting those 7 requirements. 8 And I stand for questions. Thank you, Mr. Stomp. 9 CHAIR PENA: 10 Actually, I think this is great, you know. 11 And I guess we're going to get reimbursed if there's 12 any emergency. 13 We don't anticipate or hope that that will 14 happen, but if there's an emergency we've got 15 Rio Rancho's back, right? Okay. 16 Well, then we have Item C-17-17. I make a 17 motion to approve. 18 All those in favor -- second? 19 COUNCILOR JONES: Second. 20 This motion is seconded. CHAIR PENA: All 21 those in favor say yes. 2.2 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Madam Chair? 23 CHAIR PENA: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: I'm sorry to 25 interrupt you.

1 Mr. Allred if I have some kind of financial relationship with Intel, do I need to 2 recuse myself from this vote? 3 4 MR. AUH: Commissioner Hart Stebbins, if 5 you're disclosing that you have a financial interest 6 or some relationship with Intel, it's up to you, if 7 you think that relationship will prevent you from 8 voting, you know, in an unbiased and impartial 9 manner. 10 If you feel that you can go ahead and 11 participate, you may. And that is subject to 12 challenge by anybody else on the dais. 13 But, Madam Chair, could I MR. STOMP: 14 just -- I would just add that there is no benefit 15 for Intel on this agreement. Intel is not 16 benefiting financially. All they were doing is 17 passing Rio Rancho's sewage through their system. We would be metering those flows separately and 18 19 billing Rio Rancho separately for that, and it 20 wouldn't affect Intel's current agreement with us, 21 nor would they benefit in any way. 2.2 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Thank you for 23 that explanation. 24 Thank you, Madam Chair. 25 CHAIR PENA: So there's a motion and a

1 second. All those in favor say yes. 2 3 MEMBERS: Yes. 4 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 5 Motion passes unanimously. 6 Next, Item A OB-17-6, the water report, 7 the annual operating plan. 8 Mr. John Stomp. If -- Mr. Stomp, if you 9 can kind of keep it brief, I think we have some 10 other big issues on the table right now. So thank 11 you. 12 MR. STOMP: Madam Chair, members of the 13 board, I will do that. I have three slides, and then Mr. Kelly is 14 15 going to come up and talk about the consumer 16 confidence report that we just sent to our 17 customers. I just have three slides to talk about. 18 19 And really, these are slides that you've seen 20 before, but it's a good educational opportunity to 21 show you how successful I think we've been overall 2.2 in water management. 23 I would like to address the issues with 24 the aquifer situation that was brought up earlier 25 during public comment, but I won't do that tonight.

I'll be glad to come back and talk about that in 1 another situation, so I could set the record 2 3 straight. This picture that's here before you shows 4 the difference in outdoor consumption and savings 5 that we've had since 1995. 6 We had a 60,000 acre foot of consumption. 7 8 That is how much water people are using. That's 9 outdoor use, swamp coolers. And last year we were 10 only about 37,000 to 38,000 acre feet. So in 20 years we've actually reduced the 11 12 actual consumption of water that we use, and that's 13 actually guite amazing, when you consider we purchased San Juan-Chama water, 48,200 acre feet, so 14 15 we can't fully consume the amount that we get on an annual basis. 16 This slide is a graphic you've seen 17 before. We've seen a reduce in our use over time. 18 19 You see the blue there, and contrasting with the 20 green, the green being the groundwater use, the blue 21 being the surface water use. 2.2 You can see that we've increased our 23 surface water use over time, while at the same time 24 we've reduced our per capita use and increased our 25 customers.

We implemented the San Juan-Chama 1 2 drinking water project over a period of time and 3 reached a 65 percent surface water use last year. 4 So in terms of our annual operating plan, 5 which we're required to submit to our official 6 wildlife service as part of our permit, we are 7 anticipating that we should be able to use surface 8 water all year long. 9 We were more conservative than that. We 10 showed the month of October potentially being shut 11 down for a month, but we don't anticipate that's 12 going to happen. But it's better for us to be 13 conservative than not be conservative. So that's what this picture shows is a 14 15 limited amount of groundwater use and more surface 16 water use. 17 I anticipate with a good year we're going to exceed our 65 percent surface water use that we 18 19 did last year. 20 And unless there's more I would be glad to 21 answer any questions. 2.2 CHAIR PENA: Are there any questions of 23 Mr. Stomp? 24 No questions? Thank you. 25 MR. STOMP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

1 CHAIR PENA: So next we have the consumer 2 confidence report with Mr. Mark Kelly. 3 MR. KELLY: Madam Chair, members of the 4 board. I'm here again about a year later to talk 5 about the customer confidence report that all 6 customers got in their mail within the last few 7 weeks. 8 And I'm pleased to present it this year It was another great year in terms of water 9 again. 10 quality for our drinking water, and there's not that 11 much to talk about. 12 The customer confidence report is required 13 by the Safe Drinking Water Act. It lets our 14 customers know about the quality of the water that 15 we're all drinking. And it also lets the Water 16 Authority show the customers that the drinking water 17 is in compliance with all regulations. 18 There's certain parts that are required: 19 Water system information, sources, definitions, what 20 contaminants were detected. 21 We have to talk about compliance with 2.2 other drinking water regulations, as well as certain educational information is required. 23 24 The first part that's required is the 25 water system information. All of your names are on

1 there as members of our board. Phone numbers and 2 ways of contacting the Water Authority are included 3 as well as websites where people can get additional 4 information.

5 We have to tell the customers about the 6 sources of their water, which for us are groundwater 7 and surface water.

8 We have to define our terms, because not 9 everyone that is reading the customer confidence 10 report is a water professional. We have to include 11 definitions.

12 The most important ones are the maximum 13 contaminant level. These are the levels set by the 14 Safe Drinking Water Act, that contaminants over 15 these concentrations are a violation of the Safe 16 Drinking Water Act. We didn't have any MCL 17 violations in our system again this year.

And we also have to define things like parts per million, which is a milligram per liter, and parts per billion, which is a microgram per liter. And we're measuring very small amounts.

If you want to think about it, an M&M is about a gram. If you cut an M&M into a thousand pieces and put it into a liter of wastewater, you've got 1 milligram per liter, or one part per million.

1 And if you cut that M&M into a million pieces and put that 1 millionth in a liter of water, 2 3 you have got a part per billion, which we can sense even -- we can detect contaminants at lower levels 4 5 than that. So it is very, very low levels of 6 contaminants. 7 We tell about what was detected in all of 8 our entry points. We do sampling. The environment 9 department conducts sampling in conjunction with us, 10 but we sample more than is required by the environment department, and we tell the average 11 detected as well as the maximum detected, and we 12 13 didn't have any Safe Drinking Water Act MCL issues 14 this year. 15 We -- we test not only at our entry 16 points, our reservoirs, but also in the distribution 17 system. We're taking 240 bacteriological samples every month that my staff collects. 18 In 2016 we 19 didn't have any hits for bacteriologicals at all, 20 which is very good. 21 We're also testing inside people's homes, 2.2 not only for bacteriological, but for lead and 23 copper. We'll get into that a little bit more. 24 We test at the surface water treatment 25 plant. We have to test for various things there

like Cryptosporidium coming into the source water, 1 as well as we test for turbidity, which is the 2 3 actual cloudiness of the water leaving the plant. 4 And all of the surface water treatment plants met 5 all of the requirements all the time last year. We do have additional information 6 7 available online. Customers can go and look up 8 their particular zone and find out the special blend 9 in their part of the system and see what -- what the 10 water quality looks like at their particular house. 11 And that red dot is my house. 12 We also have to tell about compliance with 13 other drinking water regulations, like our 14 unregulated contaminant monitoring rule. 15 We have to test for substances that don't 16 have maximum contaminant levels set by the EPA yet, 17 but EPA requires that we test for those and give them the data so that they can determine if safe 18 19 levels are needed for those contaminants. So we do 20 those. 21 We also test for things like the 2.2 Cryptosporidium, that are a little above and beyond. 23 We have to include educational information 24 This is boilerplate from the US EPA. in there. 25 It's the same in every customer confidence report.

There's also an EPA hotline to call if 1 2 people would like to call that one. 3 We also include our own water quality information line in there. 4 5 Like I said, we go above and beyond. We 6 have optional information that's not required by EPA 7 that we still tell our consumers about. This 8 includes water 2120. It talks about some of our 9 schematics for our processes, what we're doing in terms of conservation, and then some issues in the 10 11 news like our voluntary lead testing. 12 Last year I appeared before you and 13 announced that we are going to have voluntary lead 14 testing for people's homes that were concerned about their lead levels. 15 16 177 customers took us up on that and the 17 results are presented here. They are very similar to our -- our required testing done in 2015. We did 18 19 have a maximum of 13PPB found for lead in one home, 20 which is near the action level of 15PPB, but still 21 below it. That was in an old home. It -- the 2.2 service line was verified to not be a lead service 23 line, and the water authority went out and talked to 24 that customer and let them know that they had some 25 kind of issue inside of their home.

The lead testing is continuing this year. 1 Customers can sign up online. It's in the consumer 2 3 confidence report where they can do that, where they 4 can call our water quality information line to sign 5 up. 6 So far, 31 -- 31 customers have requested 7 it this year. 8 And lastly, we're going to be doing some 9 additional monitoring. The second unidentified 10 contaminant monitoring rule is coming up, and we're 11 also revamping and redoing a report that we did in 12 2011 concerning pharmaceutical and personal care 13 products monitoring from our source water through our treatment plant into the wastewater treatment 14 15 plant, and then looking at the levels that get 16 discharged through there. 17 That's all I have, and I will stand for 18 questions. 19 CHAIR PENA: Thank you. Are there any questions for Mr. Kelly? 20 21 No questions? 2.2 Thank you. I appreciate all the 23 information. 24 So next we are going back to Item A 25 0-17-1, amending the Albuquerque Bernalillo County

Water Utility Authority and sewer rate ordinance for 1 2 reconsideration. So... 3 Yes, Councilor. 4 COUNCILOR JONES: I make a motion to 5 approve. 6 CHAIR PENA: There's a motion on the floor 7 to approve 0-17-1. 8 All those in favor say yes. 9 MEMBERS: Yes. 10 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: You need a 11 second. 12 CHAIR PENA: That's the second time 13 tonight I did that. 14 MEMBER: Second. 15 CHAIR PENA: So there's a motion and a 16 second. 17 All those in favor say yes. 18 MEMBERS: Yes. 19 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 20 MEMBER: No. 21 CHAIR PENA: Motion passes. 2.2 Okay. So now I guess we can go back to 23 Item B R-17-9 and R-17-10. 24 There was a motion and a second on the 25 floor already. Do --

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, 2 I think the motion was to defer or postpone. So I 3 think a motion to reconsider those items would be 4 required. 5 CHAIR PENA: The same thing. Okay. 6 Is there a motion to reconsider Items B, 7 C, and D? 8 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: I make a 9 motion we reconsider Items B, C, and D. 10 COUNCILOR JONES: Second. 11 CHAIR PENA: We have a motion and a second 12 to reconsider Items B, C, and D. 13 All those in favor say yes. 14 MEMBERS: Yes. 15 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 16 Motion passes. 17 So do we take each one individually? 18 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Yes. 19 CHAIR PENA: Okay. So is there a motion 20 to approve R-17-9? 21 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Move 2.2 approval. 23 CHAIR PENA: There is a motion and a 24 second. 25 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I have an amendment

1 to R-17-9. 2 CHAIR PENA: Yes, sir. 3 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: On page 3, the end 4 of the resolution, section -- a new Section 6 would 5 be added, and it would read: 6 "Based on savings of power and chemical, 7 supplemental fluoride will be added to achieve the 8 national guideline established by the Centers for Disease Control and the US Environmental Protection 9 10 Agency. 11 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Second. 12 CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a second to add the fluoride recommendation. 13 14 All those in favor say yes. 15 MEMBERS: Yes. 16 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 17 MEMBER: No. 18 CHAIR PENA: That motion passes three-two. 19 Okay. 20 So then we're back on the resolution, so 21 the amended resolution. So... 2.2 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I move approval as 23 amended. 24 COMMISSIONER HART STEBBINS: Second. 25 CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a

1 second. 2 All those in favor say yes. 3 MEMBERS: Yes. 4 CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. 5 MEMBER: No. 6 CHAIR PENA: Motion passes. 7 Next item, we have Item C R-17-10. 8 I'll --9 COUNCILOR JONES: Move approval. 10 MEMBER: Second. CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a 11 12 second. 13 All those in favor say yes. 14 MEMBERS: Yes. 15 CHAIR PENA: Opposed? 16 MEMBERS: No. 17 CHAIR PENA: Motion passes. 18 Last, Item D C-17-8. 19 Is there a motion for approval of the 20 decade plan? 21 COUNCILOR JONES: Move approval. 2.2 MEMBER: Second. 23 CHAIR PENA: There's a motion and a 24 second. 25 All those in favor say yes.

MEMBERS: Yes. CHAIR PENA: Opposed, no. Motion passes. With that, I see no further business. This meeting is adjourned. Thank you. (Proceedings concluded at 6:03 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Paul Baca, RPR, CCR in and for the
4	State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the
5	above and foregoing contains a true and correct
6	record, produced to the best of my ability via
7	machine shorthand and computer-aided transcription,
8	of the proceedings had in this matter.
9	
10	
11	PAUL BACA, RPR, CCR
12	Certified Court Reporter #112 License Expires: 12-31-17
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	