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CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I call this September 19th, 2018 meeting of the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility to order. Commissioner Quezada will participate by telephone and Commissioner O'Malley is excused. All other members are present.

Let's start with the Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. We'll have a moment of silence, then the Pledge of Allegiance led by Ms. Nair.
(Invocation/Pledge of Allegiance)
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you. Thanks for being here this evening. We're going to change the agenda a bit. We're going to move Item 10A up to the first of the meeting, so that is Other Business, which is a Water Report. We have two people giving presentations. The first is New Mexico Drought Report by Mr. Bustos. Or not. By Katherine Yuhas.

MS. YUHAS: I'm so glad I could be here with you this evening.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you.
MS. YUHAS: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, we are still in a severe drought, but just like last month our customers are doing a great job conserving water, now that we're getting a little bit of rain. You'll see over on the right that we have

1 used 386 million gallons less than we did for this same time period last year. That equates to about one-and-a-half gallons per person per day, and it means that we will probably finish this year at about a two-gallon per person per day reduction, which is fantastic, given the drought conditions we've been experiencing all year. So we have some very savvy customers with their water use.

And more good news for you. This is the map showing the probability of precipitation during the months of December, January and February, so this is our winter. And it looks like we're going to have a wet winter and we should be developing some good snowpack. So this should be a better year than last year that way.

And with that, I'll answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you for making that happen. My husband, who is a skier, will be happy.

Are there any questions, Commissioners?
Ms. Yuhas, thank you.
MS. YUHAS: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Next we have a Threat Assessment report by Diane Agnew.

MS. AGNEW: Good evening, Members of the

1 Board. I'm going to be sharing this presentation 2 with Kate Mendoza, together we make up the Source

1 that we may have and we developed a list of 2 protection measures that we can do to protect our source water and reduce and eliminate those potential sources from becoming actual sources.

Source water protection takes a team. The Water Authority is very much the head of that, but it takes partnerships with other entities, such as the City and the County, both, to participate in source water protection for our future.

So for the assessments we started off by delineating the source water protection areas for both groundwater and surface water, then we completed an inventory of all of those potential sources of contamination and that included land use, what the land was used for in the area. It also included sources from the New Mexico Environment Department, where they keep a whole database of things that they're tracking for source water protection. And then with those assessments we finally determined a susceptibility of our water supply to contamination by looking at the vulnerability to the potential sources of contamination and by looking at the sensitivity of the wells to contamination.

So to explain the source water protection area a little bit more, I have these two graphics up

1 for you. On the left you see the groundwater source 2 water protection area, SWPA, and the surface water 3 source water protection area.

So the outer ring, you see there's a well in

1 just upstream of that, three river miles, into the 2 Corrales area. So you may see lots of dots, there's

1 trying to figure out how to do is talk to our 2 customers about potential sources of contamination 3 without scarring them, but also educating them.

1 that could make it potentially more susceptible. We 2 also looked at hydrogeology, what is actually 3 occurring in the ground that could prevent

1 we can bring down in its score.

We also identified six priority groundwater contamination sites. So as part of our assessment Kate and I built an inventory of known groundwater contamination in Albuquerque, looked at those sites. Looked at where they were in the regulatory process, looked at proximity to our wells and came up with a priority list.

So we're tracking all the groundwater contamination sites, but these six are top priority sites that we will take to our regulators, to our local agencies so we can all focus our resources, make sure these sites get remediated rapidly.

The susceptibility scores were used to make the protection measures. The protection measures are things we believe that we can do to either take that score from high to moderate or low for the well, or maintain a low status for a well.

So what were the protection measures. Well, one of the things $I$ think is really exciting is that the groundwater source assessment, many of the recommendations were things that the Water Authority is already doing. I think that's really pretty incredible. For example, the Water Authority is already monitoring with increased frequency on a

1 voluntary basis at wells near known groundwater 2 contamination.

For example, the Kirtland bulk fuel spill wells are in close proximity to that site; we've been monitoring that monthly. We were already voluntarily looking at all of our wells for a wide range of analytes on an annual basis and that was one of the recommendations that came out in the assessment. So we were doing a lot of things already, including the coordination and collaboration with other agencies. So City and County, we are already talking to them on a regular basis, talking about the programs that they have, programs that we have, how we can partner on outreach efforts, education efforts.

And that goes to the third goal. The public education and outreach are key. So working with our customers to know that they have a role in this. Something I talked to Councilor Gibson about was the prescription drug disposal. Don't flush it down the toilet. And that's something that is pretty simple to send the message out on. And then that actually led to the development of the magnet that you each received tonight. This is a first edition Source Water Protection Program magnet. And we gave that out to all of our customers at the customer

1 conversations. Hang it on the refrigerator at home. 2 And that web site link on the magnet actually takes

MS. AGNEW: We did account for oil and gas

1 in our groundwater source water assessment. And we didn't include it in the presentation tonight because what it ended up being is that we found that there's no risk in our service area that -- we looked at permits, we looked at existing wells, found that they had either been abandoned or the permits were with companies that weren't in business anymore.

And then we also looked at the USGS study and also the New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources study that they had done for Sandoval County, to look at the potential.

So asking the question, is there potential for oil and gas in our service area in Bernalillo County? And what those two assessments found the answer to that is, no. And I highly recommend the Bureau of Geology study, and they have a great presentation that they can give to you.

But the clip notes are, that they looked at the data for our basin, they looked at what could potentially be occurring here, and if it was here, it would be at depths that would be to 28,000 feet below ground. And then if someone were to get down there to get to the gas, it was going to be methane, which is not an economic resource at this point. So we did account for it and we can give more detailed

1 information for sure. It was not anything that came 2 out of the assessment as being something that we were 3 concerned about.

COUNCILOR DAVIS: Thank you. Madam Chair, I just want to, if $I$ may, follow that and say, the staff has been really working at this. You all have been working on this for quite some time. As you can see, it's not just a quick look. It's a comprehensive look at all 150-some odd wells we have, sort of what are the contaminates, what are the concerns going forward for the next few years and really looking forward, because we've been looking long before $I$ was on this Board. Staff and this Board has been looking at, how do we get to a place with the Kirtland spill and how do we avoid that with all of these future other areas. And I have to say, having been able to sit in on some of these over the last few months, I'm incredibly impressed at the amount of data it took, and then compiling from all these different places and silos. I think the next step for us, and I think maybe Ms. Agnew and Ms. Mendoza maybe want to ask. But I think the next step for us is, as the Water Authority completes this report and we look at what are our threat assessments -- what are our threats around our wells

1 that -- maybe not the ones that are already slated to 2 be replaced, but the ones we certainly need to have 3 in service for the next 20 years, 50 years and 100 4 years for our water plant, it sounds to me like 5 there's a land use regulation role here for the City COUNCILOR DAVIS: Madam Chair, my last one

1 is, what are our next steps, Mr. Sanchez, Ms. Agnew, 2 Ms. Mendoza? What are the next things we need to do? 3 We now have a great program. Clearly we've got the

1 other source water protection programs are doing for 2 the wellhead protection areas. So some cities do

1 a meaningful way. Our last round of customer 2 conversations were on source water protection and we

1 policies, but I'm going to talk a lot more about 2 infrastructure tonight and kind of set the stage for 3 what's going to be required in terms of 4 infrastructure for those of you that weren't part of and is very hard to argue against is that the aquifer

1 is rising in the Middle Rio Grande. It's happening 2 everywhere in the Middle Valley. In Albuquerque 3 itself it's probably risen on average of about 40 4 feet.

These graphics show what happened as the aquifer was dropping before 2008, when the Drinking Water Project came online and there was a rapid increase and the aquifer continuing to rise. That little graphic that you see going down and coming back up, I'm going to talk about that later in our groundwater management strategy, because that plan is, the aquifer is going to continue to rise for the future, then what are we going to do to make sure that we protect from this happening again.

The plan was developed by analyzing what our future demands were going to be, looking at the supplies that we have, incorporating climate change and climate variability and the issues that we're going to face in the future in terms of a potential reduction of our water resources, how do we fill those gaps. That is the difference between our supply and our demand, and what are the alternative strategies.

And if you remember, we looked at 57 different alternatives in terms of these portfolios

1 and we started to put these together. The demand

1 "in the best case, what we got in the past is what we 2 got. In the worst case, we're going to have a 30 percent reduction over time."

So if you think about the gaps, we're going to continue to grow. Our demand is going to increase, but our supply availability could potentially decrease, or most likely will potentially decrease.

So the groundwater management reserve concept, or the Groundwater Reserve Management Plan is to protect the aquifer for the future generations. So where we were in the past is, the aquifer was dropping down. We didn't leave ourselves a lot of options. We brought the Drinking Water Project online, it began to rise. And we saw a pattern there that will allow us to manage the aquifer for the future.

So we voluntarily adopted policies, and when I say, "we," you, this Board, adopted policies that said, "we're going to reduce our overall groundwater use in the future and we're going to implement projects," that is, infrastructure to use our existing resources so that we don't get in that situation where the aquifer is dropping again. So our goal is, if you look at this graphic,

1 we got the dotted orange line coming down. If you
2 remember that picture $I$ showed you of the aquifer
3 dropping previously, that's a projection of that.
4 It's starting to rise and we think it's going to rise 5 for about ten years. And when we get to that top, so 6 to speak, where do we go from there?

So what we don't want to do is do nothing and end up in the same situation we were in the past, and that would be the white dotted line.

The orange dotted line is to implement that infrastructure, which I'm going to talk about here in a little bit. And so that we keep that aquifer above what we are calling the three-quarter full lines. We tried to remember if we had this concept, but trying to explain to the public the gas gauge concept. Like if you've got a full tank, the aquifer was full and we don't want to use a full tank, so we want to save some for the future. So we never want to get below three-quarters of a tank.

And what that means is, that this Board has set a policy that we are going to allow our kids and future generations options in the future for water resources management, that we're not going to use up all the supplies that we have in the next hundred years and leave our kids with no choices. So that's

1 what the Groundwater Management Plan is about.

The portfolio that we looked at, this was, what are some of the 47 alternatives. Of course we're going to use our existing supply, that's our groundwater and our surface water.

I talked about the conservation plan. We're going to do additional reuse. As we continue to pump less from the aquifer. As we pump we owe water to the river, we pay that back through our return flows. As that obligation reduces over time we're going to have excess return flows. And instead of just letting it flow down the stream, we're going to be using those return flows either to put on turf for irrigation or actually to treat and to use as a drinking water source.

Storm water capture is part of our long-term management. Indirect potable reuse, which I just talked about, and watershed management. So this was one of the three portfolios that we put together, some of those 47 alternatives, to fill those gaps.

So when we projected that gap for the future we used the medium supply and we used the medium demand. We had a gap that started in 2080 and went up to as high of about 40,000 acre feet. When we implemented portfolio number one that gap went away.

When we looked at the long-term supply problems associated with the hot/dry scenario we still had a gap and that gap started about 2085 and again went up to about 40,000 acre feet. We had alternatives in our bag that we could have put together to fill that gap, but we felt strongly, when I say, "we," again, this Board, felt very strong that rather than trying to project what's going to happen in 2085, wouldn't it be better to continue to implement this plan, come back and update this plan so we can continue to look at that gap. And if we still have that gap a few decades from now, then we should be able to fill that and come up with alternatives to do that.

Some of the key elements of this plan, if you remember, are, we are no longer purchasing water rights. So for many, many decades we were the leaders in transferring water rights out of agricultural use into our groundwater permit, we are no longer doing that. We stopped that when this plan was adopted. And I'm happy to say that the only one that's in the market anymore really is Rio Rancho. And so this has made a huge difference in terms of the long-term impacts in the Middle Valley and we're very pleased about that.

We consider climate change and using our existing resource, of course, as the primary element of the plan. I'm not going to go through every one of these key elements.

So in this plan we projected this infrastructure that we were going to need for the future, including aquifer storage and recovery and the decade in the 2020s and then going out to 2065 looking at additional reuse and indirect potable reused. That is, take effluent, either blend it with another source, treat it and drink it.

So these we implemented and looked at in decades, what kind of infrastructure would need to be built. And if you remember the discussion we had, this isn't an infrastructure necessarily that we're going to build, could be developer-funded or developer-built infrastructure that we accept or it could be infrastructure that our ratepayers do, it's going to be some combination of that. And it depends on the capacity needed at the time and the capacity that people potentially request from us in the future in terms of when these potential projects might fall in line.

So we have a series of projects here over in the next 45 years. In terms of 2020 implementation,

1 I started to have this discussion in terms of, where 2 are we at? So we've adopted the Conservation Plan. 3 In terms of our Groundwater Management Plan, I put a 4 nice graphic in here and it's a little bit a resolution to request funding from the Water Trust

1 Board for a Winrock reuse facility.

Water conservation, I'm not going to talk in detail about this. We adopted the plan. You know a lot about it, but we are more focused on outdoor use. So we're looking at reducing our consumptive use, which has a long-term impact on our Water Rights needs.

And then we looked at the West Side Reuse. So this is the bosque plant. For those of you who are not familiar, the Bosque High School is located about Montano and the river. We purchased land from the Bosque School about ten years ago. We're getting ready to put a fence around that site, grade that site. We're going to put a nice sign up that says, "The future home of a water reclamation facility," so that everybody knows that's what's happening. And we are moving forward with the phasing and construction of that site in the future. We think it's going to provide about 2 to 5,000 or 2 to 7,000 acre feet of water to serve existing turf areas on the West Side.

And one of the tremendous benefits from transitioning to non-potable is you take that demand off the potable system. So if we had, say, a four million gallon a day demand that was needed to serve these turf sites now, we now have four million

1 gallons of potable water available to use in some 2 other way by transitioning to a different source. So 3 that's one of the huge benefits of moving forward 4 with the West Side Reuse.

Here's some conceptual layouts of what that We're going to have free access for them to come to our site and work together. We're going to continue with the BEMP Program, which is the Bosque Environmental Monitoring Program, so we've provided funding for that. It will be completely enclosed. And odor control, of course, will be a big issue. We will not be treating solids at this plant. We'll be treating and separating out the liquids and using the liquids and pumping the solids to the plant, so we won't be handling any solids there.

So this is kind of what it might look like. I'm sure it's going to change a lot over the next few years, but we are moving forward with this.

On the East Side Reuse, we talked about the Tijeras Plant. We got 60 acres that were donated from Kirtland Air Force Base about six months ago. We're in the process of getting the survey and getting all the documents for that. But that plant would be located right on the Tijeras Interceptor, which runs right along the Tijeras Arroyo. And the concept there is, we would treat that effluent and we could use it for turf irrigation or we could clean it up enough, let it go into the Tijeras Arroyo, let it soak into the ground, build a series of wells and pump it out. You have an aquifer storage and recovery project where we're using some of our effluent for reuse and for aquifer storage and recovery. So that's the concept there.

The Tijeras Arroyo is a perfect arroyo. It's a direct contact with the deep aquifer, so it's a perfect spot to do SR. The only problem with it is, it's located with lots of contamination along the Tijeras Arroyo so we got to make sure, if you guys know, the bulk fuel facility is just upstream of this, but we'd have to be careful of where we're putting it in. But this is one of the projects that's moving forward.

> Direct and indirect potable reuse. We've

1 looked at, and our planning and engineering manager, 2 Dave Price, has started looking at advance wastewater 3 treatment at the South Side Water Reclamation Plant.

Abiquiu Reservoir where we're purchasing the easements to add 35,000 acre feet up there. We just processed the agreement this last month that you agreed to in September for 50,000 acre feet in Elephant Butte and future potential storage either in the aquifer or even potentially off-channel storage reservoir in and around Albuquerque as another option that was talked about in the plan. Storage gives you a lot of flexibility. So when we have excess water that's available we can store it and use it for future times. We don't get a lot of excess water, but when we do, rather than just wiping our brow and say, "thanks, you know, it's great," we may be able to save some of that and use

1 it for the future.

In terms of our environmental part of our water operations, I'm happy to say you guys approved the lease from the Bureau of Reclamation last month. They've been using that water. They've used about 3,500 acre feet of the 20,000 acre feet. So far the Albuquerque stretch of the river remains wet on the various quantities at different times depending on what's going on upstream of us. We're going to get rain. In fact, it was raining outside right now when I just came in. So that's going to increase the river flows, but you're going to see the Albuquerque stretch wet the rest of the remaining of the irrigation season, which is a very positive outlook in terms of endangered species act collaboration.

Adaptive management. This is a process that we're going to continue to update the strategy every five years.

This is just some pictures from Abiquiu and Elephant Butte in terms of the increase in storage. Madam Chair, that's all I have tonight. I'd be glad to answer any questions and I look forward to the October presentation to give you some additional information. And thank you so much for your patience.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Mr. Stomp, thank you. Great report. Very nice. Any questions? Thank you, you answered every question we might have. Thank you, Mr. Stomp, that's great news. Moving right along. I make a motion to approve the August 2018 minutes.

COUNCILOR PENA: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: There's a motion and a second to approve the minutes. All those in favor say yes.

MEMBERS: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Opposed? Motion carries.
Next we have Proclamations and Awards. Mr. Stomp, I think this is you again.

MR. STOMP: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, thank you so much.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Mr. Stomp, welcome.
MR. STOMP: I wanted to present to you this Peak Performance Award we got from the National Association of Clean Water Agencies. We received the Silver Award for having less than five violations at the Wastewater Plant. And I'm actually happy to say, we went 14 months without a violation at the Wastewater Plant the entire fiscal year 2018, so we have significantly done way better at the Wastewater

1 Plant. This is part of that performance. Our next award is the Gold Award, and that is when you have zero violations in a year. Five Gold Awards and you get the Platinum Award, so we have some strides to go. We're proud of this, but this isn't the end of where we're head. So I just wanted to present this award to you. And platinum is our goal, really. So thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Mr. Stomp, thank you. Thanks to all of your crew and everyone that makes this possible. It's not just one person or one division, so thank you all. This is great for Albuquerque and Bernalillo County.

MR. STOMP: We have an amazing staff, we really do.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you. Yes, you do. Thank you. I believe next we have Public Comment. Do we have any speakers? We have three speakers. Would you call the first one, please.

MS. CARREON: Elaine Cimino followed by Elaine Hebard.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: And a reminder, you will have three minutes, with a warning at two-and-a-half minutes.

MS. CIMINO: My name is Elaine Cimino. I

1 wrote 'The Soul Source Aquifer Designation' that EPA 2 granted to the State of New Mexico for 3,000 square 3 miles in Northern New Mexico. I've sat on the

1 was created for the Interstate Stream Commission, and 2 it was the Schumacher Report for 2009 and 2013. That 3 shows that if they pump that brine of 47,000 acre feet a year they would impact the Rio Grande. And that report was covered up. Then went to the legislature and still covered up that information, and then disallowed beneficial use on brine.

So this is really important, because if they frack with brine they can also impact a lot of the shallow aquifers. And so, this information isn't widely known. And it's important that this committee and your staff look into these things and integrate that into this report.

There's many more things that I would like to speak to you about, but I think we'll probably have some time coming up next month.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you, ma'am. If I may ask a question?

MS. CIMINO: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: You say that you wrote the report and we did the study. Who do you represent, please?

MS. CIMINO: I'm working with a group of residents in Rio Rancho and we're trying to protect our water.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: So it's a group of residents.

MS. CIMINO: I'm not a big NGO or anything like that. We're just trying to protect our drinking water out in Rio Rancho.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you. Thank you very much. Are there any other questions? Thank you, ma'am.

MS. CARREON: Elaine Hebard, followed by Nancy Bearce.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Good evening, Ms. Hebard.
MS. HEBARD: What's the chance of having two Elaines back to back.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Pretty Slim. But thank you.

MS. HEBARD: Hi. My name is Elaine Hebard. As always, given the short comment time, $I$ have to pick and choose among the various topics that I could discuss and I have to leave out a lot of detail, which would be helpful.

John Stomp's presentation is delightful. However, the devil is in the details. Let me just give you a couple of thoughts. As shown in the water report, the reduction per capita use and the rising levels is quite a story, but it leaves ample

1 opportunity for asking questions and making 2 suggestions. It tells us that less water was used

And you can see that the groundwater, which is the blue, is much less now, so that's a good thing. But is it enough? In this dry year that's been 43 percent. In the Water 2120 , the goal is that the aquifer levels will rise to be 50 feet below predevelopment level, that still leaves 50 feet not ever refilled. Right now, that hole, if you will, is about 95 to 100 feet, so those river depletions are caused by that hole. And those river depletions were about 60,000 acre feet, almost as much as what was produced so far this year total in water. What had to be going back to fill those holes by the river. What will it take then to fill that

1 extra 50 feet? Well, since we've seen in the last 2 eight years maybe 15 feet rise, and we've got 50 3 feet, that means it's going to have to rise like

I recently went to a very nice Sierra Club meeting that devoted itself to this 45-minute video that's out, Sacred Land, Sacred Water. And it is about primarily the fight in Sandoval County. And it's very well done. And I think what I really took away from it was that, you know, the 17 or 18 years that I've been following the Kirtland jet fuel spill and making sure that from a community level that that gets cleaned up, that, you know, we were on the right path for that. That you can have community, you can have residents come together and understand science. You can have scientists come and speak to community people in understandable ways. Although, I know people behind me are not surprised by that comment, especially Diane, who's probably looking at me right now. But what's really great about this video is to have a wonderful geologist, retired geologist, who's worked in the Rio Grande rift for years and years, this is where his profession was, and talk about educating elected officials like yourself, governing bodies, regular laycitizens, people who just, you know, go home and want to turn on their water and have safe water, and that a way we can do that is all engaging together. Because when you look at this picture, which is a cross-section of the Albuquerque

1 rift that we have, that really goes from Cochiti all 2 the way down to Belen, which is why we raised the 3 issue with the Kirtland jet fuel spill. Because we

1 handful of people who watch these meetings on T.V., 2 we'll ask them to come join us on October 14th and 3 I'd love to have you be there, because you've been a office.

We have no Introductions of Legislation. We have no Consent Agenda. So we'll move right along to the Approvals. And we have one speaker.

MS. CARREON: Elaine Hebard.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Hello again.
MS. HEBARD: Hi. Good evening, again. When discussing Item 9A, authorizing the borrowing of 83 million, I would also refer you to Item 9C being the resolution to approve the unaudited FY18 Financial Report and Item 9D, being the Fourth Quarter Performance Indicator Report.

According to the memo on the financial report, revenues were higher, expenses were lower, and there was $\$ 20$ million more in the cash reserves than at the beginning of FY17. So if there was such a surplus, why is borrowing going to be $\$ 27$ million more than what the plan had been when it was proposed a couple of years ago.

Indeed, why has there been the need to borrow more than the biennial amount of 56 million for the last three borrowings. Given the surplus of projection, which was projected -- sorry, the surplus of revenue, which was projected, why is there a need to borrow an additional 40 million above the 218 million that was supposed to be borrowed between now

1 and 2024?

If the cash reserves are actually more than the $1 / 12$ th necessary to have as reserved, why not use those to pay down some of the obligations and expenses? No matter what, is the CIP spending keeping up?

So this chart that I'm showing you right now is the graphic that was showed last April and it shows CIP spending to be for 2019 somewhere in the neighborhood of 64 million. However, as you can see from the budget, it was actually 84 million. That may be because, as shown by this graphic, there was a $\$ 20$ million carryover from the previous year. So in FY17 to FY18 there was a carryover. There's probably a good 25 to $\$ 30$ million carryover this time.

So again, I'm wondering how these decisions are being made. How does the ABCWUA compare to other utilities in its borrowing? Well, you'll notice that the red line, which is the Utility, is way higher than everybody else. This is the FY17 Performance Plan. Lower values are good. Not higher values. So that's not reported in the indicators that will be provided tonight.

But as you can see, my three minutes are up. It's not enough to give a full report. What $I$ would

1 suggest is there be some assistance provided to the Board to go through a lot of these issues, rather than a three-minute snapshot. Sort of a rapid-fire snapshot, if you will. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you, ma'am.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SANCHEZ: Madam Chair, I think all those issues will be addressed during the presentations.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Moving to Approvals. Is Commissioner Quezada on the phone? No, okay. First is $0-18-7$, authorizing the issuance and sale of the Water Authority Senior Lien Joint Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2018 in the aggregate principal amount not to exceed 83 million. Mr. Eric Harrigan from RBC. Good evening.

MR. HARRIGAN: Thank you. Good evening, Madam Chair, Members of the Authority. Eric Harrigan, RBC Capital Markets.

So I have before you a presentation that I'll walk you through that covers not only this agenda item, but the next agenda item, which is the conforming resolution.

So for the 2018 bonds, we expect to sell this at a negotiated sale on October 16 th, and the estimated borrowing rate, which is a little
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1 conservative, given current market conditions, it's a 2 little above three percent. This will be sold as a 3 12-year final maturity, which is conservative given where most utilities borrow, but you're able to retire more of your debt quicker and really provides for a very attractive debt maturity schedule for the bond-buying community.

The issuance of these 2018 bonds conforms with the Authority's debt policy. The Authority has ratings with Standard and Poor's, Moody's and Fitch. We had a rating meeting with Standard and Poor's and Moody's on Monday, but similar to the last time the Authority borrowed, we're not seeking a Fitch rating. We expect that those ratings will be maintained.

The proceeds of the 2018 bonds will be used for the following: 56 million for a general project; 12 million for special projects, specifically, clarifiers; 9 million for consolidated customer services and field operations; 2 million for IT projects; 2 million for aquifer storage and recovery; and then 3 million for the solids dewatering plant, for a total of 84 million.

From a time schedule standpoint, as I mentioned, we plan to, subject to your approval, we plan to market these bonds on and around the 16 th of

1 October, and then would schedule to come before the 2 Board and report the sale results to you. And then Authority's outstanding debt for the proposed 2018
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1 borrowing layered in. From a market standpoint, we have seen a rise in interest rates this year. We saw a little bit of a dip in August and early September, but with the very vibrant labor market, if you will, and increasing median wages, there's been some pressure on interest rates as of late because of what may be potentially looking at four increases by the Federal Reserve next year. Originally that was suggested to be two. But from a historical standpoint, if $I$ can go to the back, when you look at where we are historically from where interest rates have been, interest rates have been higher 82 percent of the time since they are now. So it's still an attractive time to be in the market and we do expect to achieve attractive interest rates on this bond sale.

Katherine Creagan with the Modrall Law Firm is here to answer any questions that you have. And I'll pause to see if you have any questions on the information that I've gone over.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you, Mr. Harrigan. Are there any questions? Great job. Thank you. Thank you, both. With that, I'll move approval of 0-18-7.

COUNCILOR PENA: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: There's a motion and a second. All those in favor say yes?

MEMBERS: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Opposed? Motion carries.
Also move approval of $R-18-20$.
COUNCILOR DAVIS: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: There's a motion and a second for approval of $R-18-20$. All those in favor say yes.

MEMBERS: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Motion carries. Next is approving fiscal year 2018 unaudited fourth quarter financial report year ending June 30th, 2018. Mr. Allred, good evening.

MR. ALLRED: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, my name is Stan Allred. I'm the Chief Financial Officer for the Water Authority. What we'll present to you is our fourth quarter financial dashboard. Part of this presentation is a resolution attached to this. There was a change made by the State of New Mexico Department of Finance Administrative Services that requires a resolution that I present the fourth quarter financials, and therefore they will approve our 2019 budget.

So what I have before you is our fourth

1 quarter. This is unaudited numbers. They're subject to change, but I don't expect them to change that much. Our revenues in 2018 were 223 million. Remember we had a rate increase effective July 1 of 2017. We had budgeted about $\$ 220$ million of revenue to be generated, and we generated 223, so we were about \$3 million above what we projected from the rate increase. That was primarily, by the way, we did the rate increases. We try to stabilize the water rates by putting more of the rate on the fix rate versus the commodity. So 49 percent of our rate now is based upon the base rate.

Due to our capital spending we put a little more on the sewer side, which is more stable than on the water side. So we're still conserving water, but then we're actually keeping our rates fairly stable so we don't have another 2011. But as you can see, it's been increasing from FY16 through '17, we had the rate increase and that was the spike in '18.

On the next page is our operating expenditures. As you can see, our general admin expenditures have been actually getting smaller from one year to the next, that's because we create a lot of efficiency within the Utility. Like AIM we basically took our water meter readers and made them

1 utility techs. So we kept people in. Our service expenditures in check.

On the next page, days cash on hand. At the end of FY18 we had 463 days cash on hand. In FY11 the market dropped. We had the drought come into place. We had people stop using water. Conservation was really kind of taking off and we had a complete drop off in $F Y 13$, which you kind of see was about 120 days cash on hand.

Our working capital balance at that point in time was a negative $\$ 18$ million. We've worked through that. At the end of this year it will be a positive \$34 million. We did this year in FY18 reduce -- I'm sorry, I'm not moving along with you. We did reduce, took some of that fund balance and we transferred \$3 million to capital from the operating to pay for some of the work in the South Valley.

We do have a fund balance now that's in place, but we're looking at -- we're expecting to do a rate increase in FY20, but $I$ think we'll offset not doing a rate increase and wait and pushing that out using that fund balance and giving a break to our ratepayers.

On the next slide, I'll move along with you guys now, is our year-to-date expenditures for capital. So for capital we actually appropriate money each year to that, and that appropriation never dies.

So for those projects we never have to re-appropriate them every year. So we appropriate $\$ 60$ million per project in 2016, that appropriation lives until that project is done and we encumber funds against those projects.

As you can see, in the prior years our spending was a lot less, actual cash going out the door, but the cash was sitting there. And in the last couple of years it actually increased in 2017/2018. So it wasn't really a fact that we appropriated money to make sure we had money to do projects, so we encumber funds against those projects. And then we really took off and did a lot projects in 2017 and 2018, and a lot of that was by using money that was appropriated in 2016. So that's kind of what was happening here. But we really picked up on a lot of our rehab spending in the last two years for capital.

This is our debt coverage ratio, it's 2.99 for our senior lien. In 2018 with covenants level of 1.33. It was 2.33 for all debt levels with a covenant level of 1.2. So as you can see, as we start our cash balances increasing, our debt coverage has increased dramatically along with that.

Real quick on our water use production. We actually used a little bit more water this year in

1 May. It was a lot drier in May, but it's been pretty consistent over the last two years. Same thing with our water consumption, it's been really consistent over the last two years.

Really quickly, this is our usage compared to rainfall. So September of last year there was a lot of rain and our consumption was down. When we have a lot less rain we use more water. So you kind of see how precipitation affects the water usage across our service area.

And I stand for any questions.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you, Mr. Allred. Are there any questions? Thank you. Yes, Councilor Davis.

COUNCILOR DAVIS: Mr. Allred, real quickly. I just noticed that in June of 18 we exceeded our expenditures, our projected expenditures, by a fairly notable amount, not a lot, but more than -- we had been keeping up pretty well ahead of that. Can you just tell us, what is that end-of-year sort of catch-up stuff there?

MR. ALLRED: So the way this graph works, Councilor Davis and Madam Chair, the budget expenditure is a straight line. And then we actually show what we actually spend in those months. For

1 June, primarily, that's purely chemicals for the Water Treatment Plan. We ramp up the Water Treatment Plant and we spend a lot of money on the chemicals in that month.

COUNCILOR DAVIS: Thanks.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you, Mr. Allred. Are there any other questions? I move approval of R-18-24.

COUNCILOR PENA: Second.
COUNCILOR JONES: There's a motion and a second for approval. All in favor say yes.

MEMBERS: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Opposed? Motion carries.
Next is Mr. Frank Roth with the FY18 Fourth Quarter Performance Indicator Report. Good evening.

MR. ROTH: Good evening, Madam Chair, Members of the Commission. These performance indicators are aligned to our goals and objectives, benchmarking and customer opinion survey. It's presented by the service level categories, regulatory, reliability, quality, customer service and finance.

Overall, we achieved our targets in 19 of the 22 indicators. Three are what we call a work in progress where we were very close in meeting our targets. And we set these targets very high and
challenge ourselves to be high-performing and meet our customers expectations in service delivery.

Sometimes it takes several years to realize the progress in these indicators. It may be a couple of years.

For example, in past years we didn't meet our targets in customer service, but this year we did and this is a continue improvement process where we re-evaluate our targets and look at whether or not we should increase these targets and where to improve our performance. Overall this is a year-end report for fiscal year '18.

Happy to answer any questions.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Are there any questions? Thank you, Mr. Roth. Do I need to move approval of this? I move approval of C-18-31.

COUNCILOR PENA: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: There's a motion and a second. All those in favor say yes.

MEMBERS: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Motion carries. And last is approving a service connection agreement for water and sewer service with Kids Academy Preschool, LLC at 10751 Fineland Drive, Northwest. Mr. Cadena, good evening.

MR. CADENA: Madam Chair, Members of the
Board. I present to you a service connection
agreement for a proposed Kids Academy located on the northeast quadrant of Unser and McMahon. The property is outside the adopted service area, therefore it needs Board approval. The development will pay the applicable water resource charge, as well as the UECs. It's just simple connections to existing infrastructure.

CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Thank you. Are there any questions? I move approval of C-18-32.

COUNCILOR PENA: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: There's a motion and a second to approve $\mathrm{C}-18-32$. All in favor say yes.

MEMBERS: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN JONES: Oppose? Motion carries. Thank you. That's the end of the meeting this evening. Thank you for attending. (Meeting adjourned at 6:15 p.m.)
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