Albuquerque Bernalillo County
Water Utility Authority

Agenda Item No. 8b

Meeting Date: April 23, 2014
Staff Contact: Stan Allred, Chief Financial Officer

TITLE: C-14-11 - Recommendation of Award, RFP Bond Counsel P2014000051

ACTION: Recommend Approval

SUMMARY:
The Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority (Water Authority) issued the referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit proposals from qualified vendors to provide Bond Counsel Services.

The RFP was posted on the Sicomm website and advertised in the local newspaper. Four responses were received and submitted for evaluation. The ad hoc evaluation committee reviewed, evaluated, and scored the responses in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP.

The responses were reviewed, evaluated, and scored by an ad hoc committee consisting of John M. Stomp III, P.E., Chief Operating Officer; Stan Allred, Chief Financial Officer; and Charles W. Kohlberg, Chief Counsel. The committee recommended the award of a contract to Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A., as that firm had the highest composite score, is qualified to perform the work, and meets the requirements of the RFP. Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A., will also provide Disclosure Counsel until the Authority completes an RFP for that Service

FISCAL IMPACT:
The fee is based on $1 for $1,000 dollars of bond proceeds and varies depending on the size of each individual bond transaction. This fee is added to the cost of issuance for each bond transaction...

Albuquerque Bernalillo County
Water Utility Authority

PO Box 568
Albuquerque, NM 87103-0568
505-768-2500
www.abcwua.org

Memo

To: Mark S. Sanchez, Executive Director

From: Stan Allred, Chief Financial Officer

Date: 4/14/2014

Re: Recommendation of Award, P2014000051, Bond Counsel

The Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority issued the referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit proposals from qualified vendors to provide Bond Counsel services.

The RFP was posted on the Sicomm website and advertised in the local newspapers. Four (4) responses were received and submitted for evaluation. The ad hoc evaluation committee reviewed, evaluated, and scored the responses in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP.

Listed are all the respondents' composite scores with small and/or local preferences and the NM Resident Preference applied for the offeror with an asterisk. The largest total composite score possible without preferences applied is 4,000.

Offeror	Total Composite Score
Modrall	3019.0*
Rodey	2744.5*
Kutak	2563.9
Sherman	2360.0

The committee recommended the award of contract to Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris, & Sisk, P.A. as that company had the highest composite score and is qualified to perform the work. I concur with the committee's recommendation.

Water Authority Board approval is required for this procurement. Negotiations with the vendor shall begin immediately upon your approval.

Approved:

4/14/14

Mark S. Sanchez
Executive Director

Date

Recommended:

4/14/2014

Stan Allred
Chief Financial Officer

Date

PURCHASING DIVISION

Attachments: Composite Score Sheet

Original: Thomas Courtin, Senior Buyer
Copy: Lorraine Nunez, Purchasing Officer
File: P2014000051

BOND COUNCIL

P2014000051

EVALUATOR	EVALUATION CRITERIA	EVALUATION FACTORS	OFFERORS			
			Kutak	Rodey	Sherman	Mondrall
SA	Experience: Prior experience of firm and its practicing attorneys generally applicable to the scope and complexity of the Water Authority's public finance program.	Up to 100	100	80	90	100
JS			75	65	50	80
CK			100	100	100	100
	SUB TOTAL		**275**	**245**	**240**	**280**
SA	Other Factors as described in Section 2.1.9.	Up to 100	100	100	80	100
JS			65	60	50	80
CK			70	75	70	95
	SUB TOTAL		**235**	**235**	**200**	**275**
SA	Qualifications of The firm (100 pts.)	Up to 100	100	80	80	100
JS			80	70	50	80
CK			75	75	70	100
	SUB TOTAL		**255**	**225**	**200**	**280**
SA	Qualifications of lead attorney (s) assigned to the Water Authority's account (300 pts.)	Up to 300	280	250	280	290
JS			220	200	180	260
CK			270	275	275	290
	SUB TOTAL		**770**	**725**	**735**	**840**
SA	Approach (methodology) of firm to servicing the Water Authority's account as defined in the Scope of Services (Part 3 of this RFP).	Up to 300	280	280	250	290
JS			220	210	160	260
CK			270	275	275	290
	SUB TOTAL		**770**	**765**	**685**	**840**
	SUB TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE		**2305**	**2195**	**2060**	**2515**
SA	Cost Proposal – The costs proposed by the Contractor as described in Section 2.2 of this RFP to perform the tasks listed in Part3, Scope of Services. The evaluation of this section will occur after the technical evaluation, based on a cost/price analysis.	Up to 100	86.3	100	100	76.5
JS			86.3	100	100	76.5
CK			86.3	100	100	76.5
	COST PROPOSAL TOTAL		**258.9**	**300**	**300**	**229.5**
	SUB TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE	**Up to 3000**	**2564**	**2495**	**2360**	**2745**
	5% LOCAL BUSINESS PREFERENCE		0	125	0	137
	5% NM RESIDENT BUSINESS		0	125	0	137
	5% SMALL BUSINESS PREFERENCE		0	0	0	0
	TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE		**2563.9**	**2744.5**	**2360.0**	**3019.0**