

Meeting Date: August 19, 2015 Staff Contact: H. Warren, Customer Services Manager

TITLE: C-15-20 - Recommendation of Award, P2015000004, Barricade Services

ACTION: Recommend Approval

SUMMARY:

The Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority (Water Authority) issued the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit responses from qualified vendors to provide barricade services. The RFP was posted on the SICOMM website and advertised in the local newspapers.

Three responses were received and submitted for evaluation. On July 7, 2015, the Ad Hoc Evaluation Committee reviewed, evaluated, and scored the responses in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP.

The Ad Hoc Evaluation Committee included: Patrick Griego, ABCWUA-Field Superintendent; H. Warren, ABCWUA Customer Services Division Manager; Dennis Martinez-Field Superintendent, Victor Sanchez-Field Superintendent, and Karen Hovey-Dispatch Supervisor. Listed below are the scores for the three respondents.

<u>Offeror</u>	Total Composite Score		
Highway Supply	4,214.7		
Advantage Barricade & RoadMarks, LLC	3,239.5		
Southwest Safety Services, Inc.	3,144.9		

The committee recommended the award of a contract to **Highway Supply**, as that company had the highest composite score, is qualified to perform the work, and meets the requirements of the RFP.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The funding is within the Field and Customer Services Divisions' approved FY/15 budgets but will serve any other Water Authority barricading needs.

PO Box 568 Albuquerque, NM 87103-0568 505-768-2500 www.abcwua.org

Memo

To:

Mark S. Sanchez, Executive Director

From: Patrick Griego, Operations Maintenance Supervisor, WR Collections

Date:

7/13/2015

Re:

Recommendation of Award, P2015000004, Barricades Services

The Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority issued the referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit proposals from qualified vendors to provide Barricade services.

The RFP was posted on the Sicomm website and advertised in the local newspapers. Three (3) responses were received and submitted for evaluation. The ad hoc evaluation committee reviewed. evaluated, and scored the responses in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP.

Listed are all the respondents' composite scores with small and/or local preferences and the NM Resident Preference applied for the offeror with an asterisk. The largest total composite score possible without preferences applied is 5.000.

Offeror

Total Composite Score

Highway Supply

4,214.7*

Advantage Barricade & RoadMarks, LLC

3,239.5*

Southwest Safety Services, Inc.

3,144.9*

The committee recommended the award of contract to Highway Supply as that company had the highest composite score and is qualified to perform the work. I concur with the committee's recommendation.

Water Authority Board approval is required for this procurement. Negotiations with the vendor shall begin immediately upon your approval.

Approved:

Recommended:

Mark S. Sanchez

Executive Director

Çmes H. O∥sen, Jr., Ph.D, PE

Field Division Manager

Attachments:

Composite Score Sheet

Original:

Thomas Courtin, Senior Buyer

Copy:

Lorraine Nunez, Purchasing Officer

File:

P2015000004

BARRICADES P2015000004

			OFFERORS		
EVALUATOR	EVALUATION CRITERIA	EVALUATION FACTORS	Advantage Safety	Highway Supply	SW Safety
PG	Personnel Experience, including adequacy of proposed project management to be utilized by the Offeror	Up to 200	60	160	100
vs			80	169	90
DM			100	150	95
KH			75	150	80
HW			150	190	170
	SUB TOTAL		465	819	535
PG	Company Experience, including the Offeror's past performance on projects of similar scope and size.	Up to 300	110	250	150
vs			120	257	110
DM			150	250	150
KH			120	250	150
HW			240	290	280
	SUB TOTAL		740	1297	840
PG	Proposed Approach to Tasks, including the project management plan, availability of staff and resources, and the Offeror's general approach and detailed plans to meet the objectives of each task or activity of the Scope of Services.	2104	110	250	150
VS		Up to 300	110	268	109
DM			150	250	160
KH			140	250	175
HW			230	290	260
	SUB TOTAL		740	1308	854
	SUB TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE		1945	3424	2229
PG	Cost Proposal		200	118	126
vs		Up to 200	200	118	126
DM			200	118	126
KH			200	118	126
HW			200	118	126
	COST PROPOSAL TOTAL		1000	590	630
	SUB TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE	Up to 5000	2945	4014	2859
	5% LOCAL BUSINESS PREFERENCE		147.3	200.7	143.0
	5% NM RESIDENT PREFERENCE		0.0	0.0	0.0
	5% SMALL BUSINESS PREFERENCE		147.3	0.0	143.0
	TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE		3239.5	4214.7	3144.9