
Meeting Date: May 23, 2018

Staff Contact: Frank Roth, Senior Policy Manager

TITLE: C-18-17 – FY2018 Third Quarter Performance Indicator Report**ACTION: Receipt be Noted****SUMMARY:**

The Third Quarter Performance Report provides a snapshot of utility performance. The Scorecard Indicators are categorized by Level of Service areas. The Scorecard Indicators are developed through benchmarking and performance assessments to identify performance gaps and to establish targets to address the gaps. The Scorecard Indicators Targets are linked to performance benchmarking, the Goals and Objectives, Customer Opinion Survey responses, and Effective Utility Management. The purpose of this report is to provide a one-page snapshot of the utility's performance so that stakeholders can easily gauge how the utility is performing in these Level of Service areas which is consistent with feedback received through the Customer Conversation forums.

The report identifies the fiscal year-to-date performance compared to the established target. A status of each indicator is provided in three categories: target achieved, work in progress, or target not met. Below is a summary status of the 22 Scorecard Indicators.

Summary Status

On Target / Target Achieved	Work in Progress / Below Target	Target Not Met
19 of 22	3 of 22	0 of 22

FISCAL IMPACT:

None

Quarterly Performance Indicators FY18 3rd Quarter Scorecard

Level of Service Area	Indicator	FY18 3Q Actual	FY18 Target	Status
Regulatory	Number of Permit Excursions	0	<= 5	▲
	Reported Overflows	31	< 40	▲
	Sewer Use/Wastewater Control Ordinance Compliance	92% Permitted Industrial Users 86% Food Service Est. 100% Dental Offices	87% Permitted Industrial Users 87% Food Service Est. 87% Dental Office	▲
Reliability	Facility Planned Maintenance Ratios	59% ground water 59% surface water 32% water reclamation	66% ground water 55% surface water 30% water reclamation	■
	Leak Detection Leaks Located / GPY Water Loss Reduction	604 miles surveyed 3,131 miles monitored 58 leaks found 55 MGY water loss reduced	650 miles surveyed 2,200 miles monitored > 80 leaks found 105 MGY water loss reduced	▲
	Miles of Small Diameter Sewer Line Cleaned	426	Btw. 400 to 600 miles	▲
	Miles of Sewer Line Televised	25	Btw. 20 to 30 miles	▲
	Injury Time	1,809 hours	< 2,700 hours	■
Quality	Water Quality Complaints Rate (per 1,000 customers)	2.0	< 3	▲
	% of Biosolids to Compost	63%	> 30%	▲
	Renewable Energy	20% Bio Gas 6% Solar	20% Bio Gas 5% Solar	▲
	Water Consumption	6.7 BGY GW 14.9 BGY SW	< 18 BGY GW > 16 BGY SW	▲
Customer Service	Wait Time (minutes)	0:31 minutes	< 2 minutes	▲
	Contact Time (minutes)	3:47 minutes	< 4 minutes	▲
	Abandoned Call Ratio	2%	<8%	▲
	First Call Resolution	98%	> 90%	▲
	Bill Exception Rate (per 10,000 Bills)	8	<= 8	▲
Finance	Rehabilitation Spending	\$82 million	\$53 million	▲
	Pipe Infrastructure Emergency vs. Planned Spending	53% Planned 47% Emergency	50% Planned 50% Emergency	▲
	Cash Reserves (Days)	405 days	Btw. 90-179 days	▲
	Revenue to Expenditures	99%	> 100%	■
	Expenditures to Budget	93%	< 100%	▲

Performance Key

▲
On Target/Target Achieved

■
Work in Progress / Below Target

▼
Target Not Met